

1 Set Theory

A set, in mathematics, is a collection of distinct entities, called its elements, considered as a whole. The early study of sets led to a family of paradoxes and apparent contradictions. It therefore became necessary to abandon "naïve" conceptions of sets, and a precise definition that avoids the paradoxes turns out to be a tricky matter. However, some unproblematic examples from naïve set theory will make the concept clearer. These examples will be used throughout this article:

- A = the set of the numbers 1, 2 and 3.
- B = the set of primary light colours red, green and blue.
- C = the empty set (the set with no elements).
- D = the set of all books in the British Library.
- E = the set of all positive integers, 1, 2, 3, 4, and so on.

Note that the last of these sets is infinite.

A set is the collection of its elements considered as a single, abstract entity. Note that this is different from the elements themselves, and may have different properties. For example, the elements of D are flammable (they are books), but D itself is not flammable, since abstract objects cannot be burnt.

1.1 Notation - Listing Method

By convention, a set can be written by **listing** its elements, separated by commas, between braces.

Using the sets defined above:

- $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$
- $B = \{red, green, blue\}$
- $C = \{\}$

This is impractical for large sets (D), and impossible for infinite ones (E).

1.2 Notation - Building Method

Thus a set can also be described by naming a particular property that is shared by all its elements and only by them. A common notation uses a bar (—) to separate a variable name (e.g. "x") from a property of the variable that elements of the set must have. For example:

- $D = \{x \mid x \text{ is a book and } x \text{ is in the British Library} \}$
- $E = \{x \mid x \text{ is a positive integer}\}$

A simple translation of this notation is that "*D is the set of all x, where x is a book and x is in the British Library*" or "*E is the set of all x, where x is a positive integer*".

2 Definition of a set

A set is defined completely by its elements. Formally, sets X and Y are the same set if they have the same elements; that is, if every element of X is also an element of Y, and vice versa. For example, suppose we define:

$$F = \{x \mid (x \text{ is an integer}) \text{ and } 0 < x < 4\}$$

The equivalence of empty sets has a *metaphysical* consequence for some theories of the metaphysics of properties that define the property of being x as simply the set of all x, then if the two properties are uninstantiated or coextensive they are equivalent - under this theory, because there are no unicorns and there are no pixies, the property of being a unicorn and being a pixie are the same - but if there were a unicorns and pixies, we could tell them apart. (See Universals for more on this.)

2.1 Elements and subsets

The \in sign indicates set membership. If x is an element (or "member") of a set X, we write $x \in X$; e.g. $3 \in A$. (We may also say "X contains x" and "A contains 3")

A very important notion is that of a subset. X is a subset of Y, written $X \subseteq Y$ (sometimes simply as $X \subset Y$), if every element of X is also an element of Y. From before $C \subseteq A \subseteq E$.

2.2 Sets containing Sets

Sets can of course be elements of other sets; for example we can form the set $G = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$, whose five elements are the sets we considered earlier. Then, for instance, $A \in G$. (Note that this is very different from saying $A \subseteq G$)

2.3 Set operations

Suppose X and Y are sets. Various operations allow us to build new sets from them.

Union The union of X and Y , written $X \cup Y$, contains all the elements in X and all those in Y . Thus $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, red, green, blue\}$. As A is a subset of E , the set $A \cup E$ is just E .

Intersection The intersection of X and Y , written XY , contains all the elements that are common to both X and Y . Thus $1, 2, 3, red, green, blue \cap 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 = 2$.

Set difference The difference X minus Y , written XY or $X \setminus Y$, contains all those elements in X that are not also in Y . For example, EA contains all integers greater than 3. AB is just A ; red, green and blue were not elements of A , so no difference is made by excluding them.

2.4 Complement and universal set

The universal set (if it exists), usually denoted U , is a set of which everything conceivable is a member. In pure set theory, normally sets are the only objects considered (unlike here, where we have also considered numbers, colours and books, for example); in this case U would be the set of all sets. (Non-set objects, where they are allowed, are called 'urelemente' and are discussed below.)

In the presence of a universal set we can define X , the complement of X , to be UX . X contains everything in the universe apart from the elements of X . We could alternatively have defined it as

$$X = \{x | (\tilde{x} \in X)\}$$

and U as the complement of the empty set.

2.5 Cardinality

The cardinality $\|X\|$ of X is, roughly speaking, its size. The empty set has size 0, while $B = \{red, green, blue\}$ has size 3.

This method of expressing cardinality works for finite sets but is not helpful for infinite ones. A more useful notion is that of two sets having the same size: if a direct one-to-one correspondence can be found between the elements of X and those of Y , they have the same size.

Consider the sets, both infinite, of positive integers $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and of even positive integers $\{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$. One is a subset of the other. Nevertheless they have the same cardinality, as is shown by the correspondence mapping n in the former set to $2n$ in the latter. We can express this by writing $\|X\| = \|Y\|$, or by saying that X and Y are "equinumerous".

2.6 Power set

The power set of X , $P(X)$, is the set whose elements are all the subsets of X . Thus

$$P(A) = \{\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$$

. The power set of the empty set $P(\{\}) = \{\{\}\}$.

Note that in both cases the cardinality of the power set is strictly greater than that of base set: No one-to-one correspondence exists between the set and its power set.