

## Question 1

- a)  $H_0$  : The exponential model fits the data  
 $H_a$  : The exponential model does not fit the data

b)

Class	< 3	3 - 6	6 - 9	9 - 12	12 - 15	>15	$\Sigma$
$o_i$	56	24	12	6	1	1	100
$p_i$	0.577	0.244	0.103	0.044	0.018	0.014	
$100 \times p_i = e_i$	57.7	24.4	10.3	4.4	1.8	1.4	100

- c) We need to combine the last three columns so that all expected frequencies are bigger than 5.

Class	< 3	3 - 6	6 - 9	> 9	$\Sigma$
$o_i$	56	24	12	8	100
$e_i$	57.7	24.4	10.3	7.6	100
$\frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i}$	0.050	0.007	0.281	0.021	0.359

- d) There are 4 frequencies in the above table and we estimated  $\lambda$  to calculate the exponential probabilities  
 $\Rightarrow \nu = n_f - 1 - k = 4 - 1 - 1 = 2$ .

Thus, for the 5% level the critical value is  $\chi^2_{\nu, \alpha} = \chi^2_{2, 0.05} = 5.991$ .

- e) Since the test statistic  $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i} = 0.359$  is below the critical value, we accept the null hypothesis.

Conclusion: the exponential model fits this data.

## Question 2

- a)  $H_0$  : The examiner and grade awarded are *independent*  
 $H_a$  : The examiner and grade awarded are *dependent*

Observed	Examiner 1	Examiner 2	Examiner 3	$\Sigma$
Grade A	9	6	10	25
Grade B	11	20	26	57
Grade C	25	19	74	118
$\Sigma$	45	45	110	200

We need to calculate the expected frequencies using the formula:  $e_{ij} = \frac{r_i \times c_j}{\text{total}}$ .

For example,  $\frac{25(45)}{200} = 5.625$  corresponds to row one, column one.

## Question 2 Continued

a) The expected frequencies are:

Observed	Examiner 1	Examiner 2	Examiner 3	$\Sigma$
Grade A	$\frac{25(45)}{200} = 5.625$	$\frac{25(45)}{200} = 5.625$	$\frac{25(110)}{200} = 13.75$	25
Grade B	$\frac{57(45)}{200} = 12.825$	$\frac{57(45)}{200} = 12.825$	$\frac{57(110)}{200} = 31.35$	57
Grade C	$\frac{118(45)}{200} = 26.550$	$\frac{118(45)}{200} = 26.550$	$\frac{118(110)}{200} = 64.90$	118
$\Sigma$	45	45	110	200

To calculate the test statistic we need the following:

$\frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i}$	Examiner 1	Examiner 2	Examiner 3
Grade A	2.02	0.02	1.02
Grade B	0.26	4.01	0.91
Grade C	0.09	2.15	1.28

$$\Rightarrow \chi^2 = \sum \frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i} = 11.77.$$

Note that  $\nu = (n_r - 1) \times (n_c - 1) = (3 - 1)(3 - 1) = (2)(2) = 4$  and  $\alpha = 0.05 \Rightarrow \chi_{4,0.05}^2 = 9.488$ .

The test statistic  $\chi^2 = 11.77$  lies above the critical value  $\chi_{4,0.05}^2 = 9.488$  and, hence, we reject the hypothesis that there is no relationship between the two variables.

Conclusion: The grade awarded depends on the specific examiner.

b) The raw difference scores are:

$o_i - e_i$	Examiner 1	Examiner 2	Examiner 3
Grade A	3.38	0.38	-3.75
Grade B	-1.83	7.17	-5.35
Grade C	-1.55	-7.55	9.10

The main points are:

- Examiner 1 gives more As than expected but less Bs and Cs.
- Examiner 2 gives more Bs than expected but less Cs.
- Examiner 3 gives less As and Bs but more Cs.

It is better if Examiner 1 or 2 mark the exam.