1 Kappa Statistic

The Kappa statistic (or value) is a metric that compares an Observed Accuracy with an Expected Accuracy (random chance). The kappa statistic is used not only to evaluate a single classifier, but also to evaluate classifiers amongst themselves. In addition, it takes into account random chance (agreement with a random classifier), which generally means it is less misleading than simply using accuracy as a metric (an Observed Accuracy of 80

1.1 Computation

Cats Dogs Cats— 10 — 7 — Dogs— 5 — 8 — Assume that a model was built using supervised machine learning on labeled data. This doesn't always have to be the case; the kappa statistic is often used as a measure of reliability between two human raters. Regardless, columns correspond to one "rater" while rows correspond to another "rater". In supervised machine learning, one "rater" reflects ground truth (the actual values of each instance to be classified), obtained from labeled data, and the other "rater" is the machine learning classifier used to perform the classification. Ultimately it doesn't matter which is which to compute the kappa statistic, but for clarity's sake lets say that the columns reflect ground truth and the rows reflect the machine learning classifier classifications.

From the confusion matrix we can see there are 30 instances total ($10 + 7 + 5 + 8 = 30$). According to the first column 15 were labeled as Cats ($10 + 5 = 15$), and according to the second column 15 were labeled as Dogs ($7 + 8 = 15$). We can also see that the model classified 17 instances as Cats ($10 + 7 = 17$) and 13 instances as Dogs ($5 + 8 = 13$).

Observed Accuracy is simply the number of instances that were classified correctly throughout the entire confusion matrix, i.e. the number of instances that were labeled as Cats via ground truth and then classified as Cats by the machine learning classifier, or labeled as Dogs via ground truth and then classified as Dogs by the machine learning

model. To calculate Observed Accuracy, we simply add the number of instances that the machine learning classifier agreed with the ground truth label, and divide by the total number of instances. For this confusion matrix, this would be 0.6 ((10 + 8) / 30 = 0.6).

Before we get to the equation for the kappa statistic, one more value is needed: the Expected Accuracy. This value is defined as the accuracy that any random classifier would be expected to achieve based on the confusion matrix. The Expected Accuracy is directly related to the number of instances of each class (Cats and Dogs), along with the number of instances that the machine learning classifier agreed with the ground truth label. To calculate Expected Accuracy for our confusion matrix, first multiply the marginal frequency of Cats for one "rater" by the marginal frequency of Cats for the second "rater", and divide by the total number of instances. The marginal frequency for a certain class by a certain "rater" is just the sum of all instances the "rater" indicated were that class. In our case, 15 (10 + 5 = 15) instances were labeled as Cats according to ground truth, and 17 (10 + 7 = 17) instances were classified as Cats by the machine learning classifier. This results in a value of 8.5 (15 * 17 / 30 = 8.5). This is then done for the second class as well (and can be repeated for each additional class if there are more than 2). 15 (10 + 5 = 15) instances were labeled as Dogs according to ground truth, and 13 (10 + 7 = 17) instances were classified as Dogs by the machine learning classifier. This results in a value of 6.5 (15 * 13 / 30 = 6.5). The final step is to add all these values together, and finally divide again by the total number of instances, resulting in an Expected Accuracy of 0.5 ((8.5 + 6.5) / 30 = 0.5). In our example, the Expected Accuracy turned out to be 50

The kappa statistic can then be calculated using both the Observed Accuracy (0.60) and the Expected Accuracy (0.50) and the formula:

Kappa = (observed accuracy - expected accuracy)/(1 - expected accuracy)

So, in our case, the kappa statistic equals: (0.60 - 0.50)/(1 - 0.50) = 0.20.

As another example, here is a less balanced confusion matrix and the corresponding calculations:

Cats Dogs Cats— 22 — 9 — Dogs— 7 — 13 — Ground truth: Cats (29), Dogs (22) Machine Learning Classifier: Cats (31), Dogs (20) Total: (51) Observed Accuracy: ((22 + 13) / 51) = 0.69 Expected Accuracy: ((29 * 31 / 51) + (22 * 20 / 51)) / 51 = 0.51 Kappa: (0.69 - 0.51) / (1 - 0.51) = 0.37

In essence, the kappa statistic is a measure of how closely the instances classified by the machine learning classifier matched the data labeled as ground truth, controlling for the accuracy of a random classifier as measured by the expected accuracy. Not only can this kappa statistic shed light into how the classifier itself performed, the kappa statistic for one model is directly comparable to the kappa statistic for any other model used for the same classification task.

Interpretation

There is not a standardized interpretation of the kappa statistic. According to Wikipedia (citing their paper), Landis and Koch considers 0-0.20 as slight, 0.21-0.40 as fair, 0.41-0.60 as moderate, 0.61-0.80 as substantial, and 0.81-1 as almost perfect. Fleiss considers kappas ¿ 0.75 as excellent, 0.40-0.75 as fair to good, and ¡ 0.40 as poor. It is important to note that both scales are somewhat arbitrary. At least two further considerations should be taken into account when interpreting the kappa statistic. First, the kappa statistic should always be compared with an accompanied confusion matrix if possible to obtain the most accurate interpretation. Consider the following confusion matrix:

Cats Dogs Cats— 60 — 125 — Dogs— 5 — 5000—

The kappa statistic is 0.47, well above the threshold for moderate according to Landis and Koch and fair-good for Fleiss. However, notice the hit rate for classifying Cats. Less than a third of all Cats were actually classified as Cats; the rest were all classified as Dogs. If we care more about classifying Cats correctly (say, we are allergic to Cats but not to Dogs, and all we care about is not succumbing to allergies as opposed to maximizing the number of animals we take in), then a classifier with a lower kappa but better rate of classifying Cats might be more ideal.

Second, acceptable kappa statistic values vary on the context. For instance, in

many inter-rater reliability studies with easily observable behaviors, kappa statistic values below 0.70 might be considered low. However, in studies using machine learning to explore unobservable phenomena like cognitive states such as day dreaming, kappa statistic values above 0.40 might be considered exceptional.

So, in answer to your question about a 0.40 kappa, it depends. If nothing else, it means that the classifier achieved a rate of classification 2/5 of the way between whatever the expected accuracy was and 100

Classifiers built and evaluated on data sets of different class distributions can be compared more reliably through the kappa statistic (as opposed to merely using accuracy) because of this scaling in relation to expected accuracy. It gives a better indicator of how the classifier performed across all instances, because a simple accuracy can be skewed if the class distribution is similarly skewed. As mentioned earlier, an accuracy of 80

That's about all I have. If anyone notices anything left out, anything incorrect, or if anything is still unclear, please let me know so I can improve the answer.

References I found helpful:

Includes a succinct description of kappa: http://standardwisdom.com/softwarejournal/2011/12/co
matrix-another-single-value-metric-kappa-statistic/