

Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Proposal		Done			
BRD		Done	Matthew	40	42
Tech Spec		Done	Jessie	20	22
HL Design		Done	Viet	20	20
Site Map		Done	Pammy	10	11
Project Plan		Done	Jessie	20	21
Test Plan		Done	Pammy	10	14
Network Diagram		Done	Matthew	10	9
Project Plan Core Components		Done	Matthew	10	1
BRD Revisions (Success conditions and refining error messages)		Done	Matthew	4	4
BRD Revisions (Refining error results, NFRs)		Done	Matthew	4	4
HL Infrastructure Revisions		Done	Viet	3	4
HL Specify Components		Done	Jessie	2	3
Tech Spec Revisions		Done	Jessie	2	3
Site Map Revisions		Done	Pammy	2	1
Test Plan Revisions		Done	Pammy	5	4
UM Sequence Diagrams(Rough Draft for Create, Rough Draft for Update and Delete (only Success))		Done	Viet	14	12
Setting Up Visual Studio Environment		Done	Jessie	5	5
BRD Core Components		Done	Pammy	10	3
O/RM DAR		Done	Jessie	15	15
Unit Testing DAR		Done	Pammy	3	12
UM Sequence Diagrams (Revise Create, Revise Update and Delete Success, Update and Delete Error, Disable and Enable, Logging and Archiving)		Done	Viet	12	33
UM Coding		Done	Pammy	30	28
Archiving Coding		Done	Matthew	15	4

Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Network Diagram Revisions		Postponed	Matthew Chen	6	
<b>Winter Work Items</b>					
Project Plan Revisions	6	Done	Jessie	5	11
Cloud DAR benchmarks	2	Done	Viet	12	13
Cloud DAR setup	1	Done	Viet	12	13
Cloud DAR First Draft	3	Done	Viet	2	1
Cloud Data Store DAR First Draft	4	Done	Viet	2	2
Frontend DAR	5		Pammy	6	6
Sequence Diagram Revisions (DA, Logging, Archiving)	7	Done	Matthew	9	12
Sequence Diagram Revisions (Authorization, Authentication, UM,	8	Done	Matthew	27	
Code Revisions (DAL, Logging, Archiving)	9	Done	Jessie	10	15
Code Revisions (UM)	10	Done			
Sequence Diagrams for Spring	11	Done			
BRD Core Component Revisions	12	Done	Viet	1	1
<b>Spring Work Items</b>					
Code Review (4/25/22)			Matthew		
Code Review (4/27/22)			Pammy		
Code Review (5/2/22)			Viet		
Code Review (5/4/22)			Jessie		

Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Tests - DI for Tests	1	In Progress	Matthew	4	6
Switch To Token Based Authentication	2	In Progress	Matthew	16	16
Authorization - Add Check for Correct User	3	In Progress	Matthew	2	2
Archiving - Rollback functionality	4	In Progress	Matthew	3	3
Search - Sequence Diagram Success Case	5	In Progress	Matthew	10	10
Merge to Main	6	In Progress	Matthew	4	6
Registration - Design, Test Writeup, Backend, backend testing	7	Partially Done	Pammy	35	35
Usage Analysis Dashboard - Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation	8	Partially Done	Jessie	13	13
Account Deletion - Design, Backend, Backend testing	9	Partially Done	Viet	33	37
Account Deletion - Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation, Test Writeup	10	Partially Done	Viet	22	30
Account Deletion - Backend testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation	11	Partially Done	Viet	15	20
UM - Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing	12	In Progress	Viet	15	
Registration - frontend, frontend testing, documentation	13	In Progress	Pammy	15	15
Recovery - Design, backend	14	In Progress	Pammy	30	32
Create Node - Design, Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend	15	In Progress	Jessie	20	33
Create Node - Frontend Testing, Documentation	16	In Progress	Jessie	6	8
Tree History- Design	17	Partially Done	Ian	40	
Tree History- Backend and Backend Testing	18	In Progress	Ian	30	

Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Add hash column and destination parameter to logging	19		Matthew	1	
Setup UserHash table, separate log table for analytics logs	20		Matthew	1	
Change archiving to only archive unused logs table	21		Matthew	1	
Delete Node - Design, Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing and Documentation	22		Jessie	31	
Changing Parent of Node - Design, Test Writeup	23		Jessie	30	
Changing Parent of Node - Implementation, Testing, Documentation	24		Jessie	38	
Search - Sequence Diagrams, Test Writeup	25		Matthew	35	
Search - Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing	26		Matthew	37	
Search - Documentation	27		Matthew	3	
Filter - Sequence Diagrams, Test Writeup, Backend	28		Matthew	35	
Filter - Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation	29		Matthew	23	
Setting nodes public/private - Design, Backend implementation, Backend Testing	30		Viet	15	30
Setting nodes public/private - Frontend implementation, Frontend testing, documentation	31		Viet	25	30
Copy Node - Design	32		Viet	25	
Copy Node - Test Writeup, Backend implementation, backend testing, frontend implementation, frontend testing	33		Viet	43	


Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Pasting - Design, Test Writeup, Backend implementation, Backend Testing	34		Viet	35	
Pasting - Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation	35		Viet	23	
Changing contents - Design	36		Viet	15	
Changing contents - Test writeup, backend, backend testing, frontend, frontend testing, Documentation	37		Viet	33	
Progress Tracker - Design, Test Writeup	38		Ryan	40	
Progress Tracker - Backend, Backend Testing	39		Ryan	45	
Progress Tracker - Frontend, Frontend Testing	40		Ryan	50	
Progress Tracker - Documentation	41		Ryan	5	
Tagging - Sequence Diagram	42		Pammy	10	
Rating - sequence diagram	43		Ryan	10	
Tagging - coding (backend and frontend), Test case	44		Pammy	35	
Tagging- Test Implementaion and documenation	45		Pammy	8	
Rating - coding (backend and front end), test case	46		Ryan	25	
Rating - test implementation and documentation	47		Ryan	5	
Tree History- Frontend and Frontend Testing	48		Ian	30	
Tree History-Documentation	49		Ian	10	
Final Deployment Setup	50			25	
Final Deployment Setup	51			25	
Authentication - Milestone 3 Revisions		Done	Matthew	9	10
Authorization - Milestone 3 Revisions		Done	Matthew	6	9

Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
User Management - Milestone 3 Revisions		Done	Matthew	6	13
Cloud DAR Revisions - Hosting and Datastore/Database DAR		Done	Viet	4	8
Cloud Setup - Implementation (Frontend and backend), testing		Done	Viet	38	5
Front End DAR - Revisions		Done	Pammy	3	3
Database Setup		Done	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	53	
Datastore Access		Done	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	58	
PBKDF2 Frontend DAR		Done	Matthew	8	8
AJAX DAR		Done	Pammy		
Authentication - Sequence Diagrams for incorporating Cookies/Token, Test Writeup, Backend		Done	Matthew	30	30
Authentication - Test Writeup, Backend		Done	Matthew	7.5	14
Logout - Backend, Backend Testing		Done	Matthew	5	3
Authorization-Design, Backend, Frontend, Testing, Documentation		Done	Matthew	40	12
7Zip DAR		Done	Matthew	4	4
Authentication - Backend Testing, Front End, Frontend Testing, Documentation		Done	Matthew	30	28
Middleware - Authentication and Authorization		Done	Matthew	10	9
Authentication - Front End, Frontend Testing, Documentation		Done	Matthew	17	9
Request OTP - Everything		Done	Matthew	20	15
Request OTP - Front end, front end testing, documentation		Done	Matthew	6	4
Logout- Design, Backend, Frontend, Test, Document		Done	Jessie	40	6
Logout - Design, Frontend, Front end testing, documentation		Done	Matthew	7	6


Work Items	Priority	Status	Assignee	Work Estimate	New Estimate
Logging - Backend, backend testing		Done	Matthew	10	0
Archiving - Backend, backend testing		Done	Matthew	10	10
Account Deletion - Backend Revisions		Done	Viet	10	10
<b>Core Components</b>					
Data Access	Ian				
Authentication	Matt				
Authorization	Matt				
Logout	Jessie				
Registration (Account Creation)	Pammy				
Account Recovery	Ryan				
Account Deletion	Viet				
User Management	Viet		Not Demoable		
Usage Analysis Dashboard	Jessie				
Logging	Jessie		Not Demoable		
Archiving	Viet		Not Demoable		

Team Capacity											
	Weekly		Sprint 5 (10/31/2021 - 11/6/2021)			Sprint 6			Sprint 7		
Maximum Capacity											
Medium Capacity											
Minimum Capacity											
Average Expected Capacity											
Sprint 5											
10/31/21-11/3/21	Jessie (J)			Matthew (M)			Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Expected Individual Capacity	6			4			6		4		Total: 20
Work Items	Tech Spec Revisions			BRD Revisions					HL Revisions		
Expected Work Capacity	2			1					4		Total: 10
New Expected Work Capacity											Total: 8
Decisions											
Sprint 6											
11/5/21-11/10/21	Jessie (J)			Matthew (M)			Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		Total
Expected Individual Capacity	8			8			8		8		32
Work Items	HTML DAR			Cloud Provider DAR (Initial Draft)			NUnit DAR		React DAR		
				BRD Revisions (Success conditions and refining error messages)							
	Tech Spec Revisions						Site Map Revisions		HL Revisions		
	LL Research			LL Research			LL Research		LL Research		
Expected Work Capacity	8			8			8		8		32
New Expected Work Capacity	8			17			12		10		47
Decisions	After a breakdown, we found that some work items would take more work than we had initially predicted, so we divided some tasks for some work items up amongst people and split up the tasks for some work items to be done in this sprint and a future sprint.										
Final Expected Work Capacity	8			8			8		8		32
Sprint 7											
11/12/21-11/19/21	Jessie (J)			Matthew (M)			Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Expected Individual Capacity	9			11			9		9		38
Work Items	Frontend DAR			BRD Revisions (Refining error results, NFRs)			Site Map Revisions (1)		HL Infrastructure Revisions		
	Reviewing HL			Cloud DAR (Revising)			UM (Sequence Diagram)		Core Components Logging (Sequence Diagram)		
				Project Plan Revisions			Test Plan Revisions		HL Specify Components Revisions		
							Logging				
Expected Work Capacity	8			23.7			10		22		
New Expected Work Capacity	8.5			10			45		9		37.5
Decisions											
Final Expected Work Capacity	8.5			10			9		9		37.5
Sprint 8											
11/20/21-11/28/21	Jessie (J)			Matthew (M)			Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Expected Individual Capacity	12			16			15		20		63
Work Items	Revise HL (Specify Components)			Project Plan Revisions			NUnit DAR		Sequence Diagram (Create, update, delete accounts)		
	Setup Environment			Network Diagram Revisions			Test Plan Revisions		Sequence Diagram Revisions		
							UM (Sequence Diagram Revisions, Class Diagrams)				
Expected Work Capacity	8			16			8		16		
New Expected Work Capacity	8			16.7			7		20		52.45
Decisions											
Final Expected Work Capacity	8			16.7			7		20		52.45
Sprint 9											
11/30/21-12/15/21	Jessie (J)			Matthew (M)			Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Expected Individual Capacity	24			24			28		24		
Work Items	O/RM DAR			Logging Coding Archiving Coding			UM Coding NUnit DAR		Sequence Diagrams (Revise Create, Revise Update and Delete Success, Update and Delete Error, Disable and Enable, Logging, Archiving)		
Expected Work Capacity	27			33			31		12		
New Expected Work Capacity	19			18			38		48		123
Decisions											
Final Expected Work Capacity	29			46			28		20		124
Sprint 10											
1/5/22-1/11/22	Jessie (J)	Old	New	Matthew (M)	Old	New	Pammy(P)	Old	New	Viet (V)	Old New
Expected Individual Capacity	10			12			6			12	
Work Items	Project Plan Revisions	5	11	Sequence Diagrams (DA, Logging, Archiving)	9	12	Front-End DAR			BRD Revisions Cloud DAR setup	10 12 1
Expected Work Capacity	5			9			6			12	
New Expected Work Capacity	11			12			6			14	

Team Velocity	Actual	Expected	%Error
Sprint 1	38	66	-28.00%
Sprint 2	37	39	-2.00%
Sprint 3	17.5	19	-1.50%
Sprint 4	27.5	27	0.50%
Sprint 5	8	8	0.00%
Sprint 6	32	32	0.00%
Sprint 7	34	37.5	-3.50%
Sprint 8	28.6	51.7	-23.10%
Sprint 9	128.5	123	5.50%
Sprint 10	34	40	-6.00%
Sprint 11	60	60	0.00%
Sprint 12	74	74	0.00%
Sprint 13	146.5	230	-83.50%
Sprint 14	195	250	-55.00%
Sprint 15	192.5	253	-60.50%
16			



Team Velocity	Actual	Expected	%Error
Sprint 1	38	66	-28.00%
Sprint 2	37	39	-2.00%
Sprint 3	17.5	19	-1.50%
Sprint 4	27.5	27	0.50%
Sprint 5	8	8	0.00%
Sprint 6	32	32	0.00%
Sprint 7	34	37.5	-3.50%
Sprint 8	28.6	51.7	-23.10%
Sprint 9	128.5	123	5.50%
Sprint 10	34	40	-6.00%
Sprint 11	60	60	0.00%
Sprint 12	74	74	0.00%
Sprint 13	146.5	230	-83.50%
Sprint 14	195	220	-25.00%
Sprint 15	192.5	223	-30.50%
16			







[illegible]



Sprint 6									
	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)		Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Task Breakdown	TS - Specify Environments	1	Success Conditions	0.5	Research NUnit	3	Research into javascript REACT frameworks	2	
	TS - Research SQL Alternative	1	Refine Error Messages	0.5	Research XUnit	3	HL Infrastructure revisions	2	
	TS - Research Windows 10 Alternative	1	Refine Error Results	1	Research MSTeams	2	HL Specify components revisions	2	
	Research Technologies for LL	3	Usability NFR	0.5	Revise Format of Site Map	1	User Management research	2	
	Create DAR for HTML	2	Maintainability NFR	2	Research Technologies for LL	3	Logging research	2	
			Security NFR	1					
			Scalability NFR	0.5					
			Research Azure	5					
			Research AWS	5					
			Research Technologies for LL	3					
			Create DAR for Azure and AWS	1					
Total:		8		17		12		10	
Assigned Tasks	TS - Specify Environments	1	Research Azure	3	Research NUnit	3	Research AWS firewall	2	
	TS - Research SQL Alternative	1	Research AWS	3	Research XUnit	3	Research Azure firewall	2	
	TS - Research Windows 10 Alternative	1	Create DAR for Azure and AWS	1	Research MSTest	2	HL Infrastructure revisions	2	
	Research Technologies for LL	3	Success Conditions	0.5			Research into javascript REACT frameworks	2	
	Create DAR for HTML	2	Refine Error Messages	0.5					
Total:		8		8		8		8	
Leftover Tasks			Refine Error Results	1	Revise Format of Site Map	1	User Management research	2	
			Usability NFR	0.5	Research Technologies for LL	3	Logging research	2	
			Maintainability NFR	2			HL Specify components revisions	2	
			Security NFR	1					
			Scalability NFR	0.5					
			Research Technologies for LL	3					
Total:				8		4		4	
Sprint 7									
	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)		Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		
Task Breakdown	Research HTML & CSS	0.5	Refine Error Results	1	Revise Format of Site Map	1	BRD Core components(2)	4	
	Research Angular	1	Usability NFR	0.5	UM: Identify Main Responsibilities	2	Site Map Core components(3)	2	
	Research React	1	Maintainability NFR	1	UM: Identify Process	2	Project plan/roadmap Core component(1)	2	
	Research React.js	0.5	Security NFR	1	Revise Test Plan Test Data	2	Test plan core components(4) COPY OVER	3	
	Research Vue.js	0.5	Scalability NFR	0.5	Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case	2	Logging: Identify Main Responsibilities	2	
	Draft DAR Report	1	Revise Cloud DAR	3	UM: Coding	16	Logging: Identify Process	2	
	Review High Level For System	2	Risk Mitigation Planning	3			Logging: Coding	16	
	Identify Key Factors for Tech	2	Total estimate with units for project	0.5	Logging: Identify Main Responsibilities	2			
			Identify human resources and associated costs	0.5	Logging: Identify Process	2			
			Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints	0.1	Logging: Coding	16			

			Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment	0.5							
			Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place	0.1							
			Align specific test cases to planned Sprints	0.5							
			Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization	2							
			Clearer format in general	2							
			Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our control)	1							
			Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable)	3							
			Specify component names	0.5							
			Label input and outputs	0.5							
			Remove things that will be for the future	0.5							
			IP's (put list as separate doc and reference)	2							
Total:		8.5		23.7		45		31			
Assigned Tasks	Research HTML & CSS	0.5	Refine Error Results	1	Revise Format of Site Map	1	BRD Core components	2			
	Research Angular	1	Usability NFR	0.5	Core components to Site Map	2	HL Specify components revisions	2			
	Research React	1	Maintainability NFR	1	UM Sequence Diagram	4	Logging Sequence Diagram	5			
	Research React.js	0.5	Security NFR	1	Test plan core components(4) COPY OVER	3					
	Research Vue.js	0.5	Scalability NFR	0.5							
	Draft DAR Report	1	Revise Cloud DAR	4							
	Review High Level For System	2	Project plan/roadmap Core component	2							
	Identify Key Factors for Tech	2									
Total:		8.5		10		10		9			
Leftover Tasks	Identify Key Factors for Tech	1.5	Risk Mitigation Planning	3	UM Diagram Revisions	1	Logging: Coding	16			
	Review High Level for System	0.5	Total estimate with units for project	0.5	UM Class Diagram	1	HL Specify components revisions	2			
			Identify human resources and associated costs	0.5	Unit Testing DAR	1					
			Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints	0.1	Revise Test Plan Test Data	2					
			Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment	0.5	Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case	2					
			Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place	0.1							
			Align specific test cases to planned Sprints	0.5							
			Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization	2							
			Clearer format in general	2							



	Connect Database	1	Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints	0.1			Sequence Diagram Disable account sucess	1			
			Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment	0.5			Sequence Diagram Enable account sucess	1			
			Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place	0.1			Sequence Diagram: Creating account fail case	3			
			Align specific test cases to planned Sprints	0.5			Sequence Diagram: Updating account fail case	2			
			Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization	2			Sequence Diagram: Deleting account fail case	1			
			Clearer format in general	2			Sequence Diagram Disable account fail case	1			
			Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our control)	1			Sequence Diagram Enable account fail case	1			
			Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable)	3							
			Specify component names	0.5							
			Label input and outputs	0.5							
			Remove things that will be for the future	0.5							
			IP's (put list as separate doc and reference)	2							
Total:		8		16.7	8			18			
Leftover Tasks							Sequence Diagram Disable account sucess	1			
							Sequence Diagram Enable account sucess	1			
							Sequence Diagram Disable account fail case	1			
							Sequence Diagram Enable account fail case	1			
Total:											
Sprint 9											
	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)		Pammy(P)		Viet (V)				
Task Breakdown	Draft DAR Deliverable	1	Logging Code	4	Resarch Nunit testing Unit-Testing	1	Sequence Diagram-Create-Account Revision	3			
	Find Suitable ORMs for Comparison	2	Logging Unit Test Write Up	1	Research XUnit Testing Unit-Testing	1	Sequence Diagram-Update-Account Revision	2			
	Create Tests for Dapper	3	Archiving Code	4	Research MSTest Unit Testing	1	Sequence Diagram-Delete-Account Revision	2			
	Create Tests for EFCore	3	Archiving Unit Test Write Up	1	Create Unit Test Write Up	1	Sequence Diagram-Enable-Account	2			
	Create Tests for nHibernate	3	Code for UM View	4	Delete Unit Test Write Up	1	Sequence Diagram-Disable-Account	2			
	Create Comparison Matrix	3	Database Setup	4	Update Unit Test Write Up	1	Sequence Diagram-Create-Account Error Case Revision	2			
	Revise DAR ORM	2			Enable Unit Test Write Up	1	Sequence Diagram-Update-Account Error Case	2			





[illegible]

			UM Diagram Revision	3								
			Bulk Operation Diagram Revision	3								
			Create Diagram Revision	3								
			Update Diagram Revision	3								
			Delete Diagram Revision	3								
			Disable Diagram Revision	3								
			Enable Diagram Revision	3								
Total:				27	0		2					
Sprint 11												
Task Breakdown	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)	Pammy(P)	Viet (V)							
	Code Revision - DAL	5	Authorization Diagram Revision	Finalize Front end DAR	5	Cloud hosting DAR first draft	2					
	Code Revision - Logging	5	Authentication Diagram Revision			Cloud Data Store DAR	2					
	Code Revision - Archiving	5	UM Diagram Revision			Azure Cloud benchmark	4					
			Bulk Operation Diagram Revision			AWS Cloud benchmark	4					
			Create Diagram Revision			Google Cloud benchmark	4					
			Update Diagram Revision									
			Delete Diagram Revision									
			Disable Diagram Revision									
			Enable Diagram Revision									
Total:		15		24	5		16					
Assigned Tasks	Code Revision - DAL	5	Authorization Diagram Revision	Front end metric revisions	3	Cloud hosting DAR first draft	2					
	Code Revision - Logging	5	Authentication Diagram Revision	front end recommendation	1	Cloud Data Store DAR first draft	2					
	Code Revision - Archiving	5	UM Diagram Revision	Front end metric descriptions	1	Azure Cloud benchmark	4					
			Bulk Operation Diagram Revision			AWS Cloud benchmark	4					
			Create Diagram Revision			Google Cloud benchmark	4					
			Update Diagram Revision									
			Delete Diagram Revision									
			Disable Diagram Revision									
			Enable Diagram Revision									
Total:		15		24	5		16					
Leftover Tasks	Code Revision and Testing - Logging	2				Cloud hosting DAR first draft						
	Code Revision and Testing - Archiving	3				Cloud Data Store DAR first draft						
Total:		5			0		2					
Sprint 12												



[illegible]

Total:		17					2		2				
Sprint 14													
	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)		Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		Ian (I)		Ryan (R)		
Task Breakdown	UAD - Backend Testing	5	Request-OTP-Test Writeup	2	Registration Test Case Writeup	5	Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend)	8	Datastore Access - Design	30			
	UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View	10	Authentication-Test Writeup	2	Registration - Testing	3	Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5	Datastore Access - Implementation	10			
	UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View	2	Authorization-Test Writeup	2	Registration - implementation (create account, confirm account) backend	5	Account Deletion - Frontend Testing	3	Datastore Access - Testing	15			
	UAD - Frontend Testing	5	Request-OTP Backend	4	Registration - implementation (front end)	10	Account Deletion - Backend Testing	3	Datastore Access - Documentation	3			
	UAD - Frontend Implementation	5	Authentication Backend	6	Registration - documentation	3	Account Deletion - Documentation	3	Database Setup - Implementation - Ian's Tables	5			
	UAD - Documentation	3	Authorization Backend	4	Registration - testing frontend	2							
	Logout - Design	5	Request-OTP Backend Testing	3	AJAX DAR	3							
	Logout - Test Writeup	3	Authentication Backend Testing	4									
	Logout - Backend Implementation	5	Authorization Backend Testing	4									
	Logout - Backend Testing	2	Request-OTP Frontend	4									
	Logout - Frontend Implementation	3	Authentication Frontend	6									
	Logout - Frontend Testing	2	Authentication Frontend Testing	2									
			Request-OTP Frontend Testing	2									
			Authentication Documentation	3									
			PBKDF2 Frontend DAR	8									
Total:		35		52		31				58			
Assigned Tasks	UAD - Backend Testing	5	Request-OTP-Test Writeup	2	Registration Test Case Writeup	5	Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend)	8	Datastore Access - Design	20			
	UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View	10	Authentication-Test Writeup	2	Registration - Testing	3	Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5	Datastore Access - Implementation	7			
	UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View	2	Authorization-Test Writeup	2	Registration - implementation (create account, confirm account) backend	5	Account Deletion - Frontend Testing	3	Datastore Access - Testing	5			
	UAD - Frontend Testing	5	Request-OTP Backend	4	Registration - implementation (front end)	10	Account Deletion - Backend Testing	3	Datastore Access - Documentation	2			
	UAD - Frontend Implementation	5	Authentication Backend	6	Registration - documentation	3	Account Deletion - Documentation	3	Database Setup - Implementation - Ian's Tables	5			
	UAD - Documentation	3	Authorization Backend	4	Registration - testing frontend	2	Datastore Access - Design	10					
	Logout - Design	5	Request-OTP Backend Testing	3			Datastore Access - Implementation	3					
	Logout - Test Writeup	3	Authentication Backend Testing	4	Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5	Datastore Access - Testing	5					
	Logout - Backend Implementation	5	Authorization Backend Testing	4	Logout - Frontend Testing	2	Datastore Access - Documentation	1					
	Logout - Backend Testing	2	Request OTP Frontend	4	Ajax DAR	3							
	Logout - Frontend Implementation	3	Authentication Frontend	6									
			Authentication Frontend Testing	2									
			Request OTP Frontend Testing	2									
			Authentication Documentation	3									
			PBKDF2 Frontend DAR	8									
Total:		50		52		38		41		39			
Leftover Tasks	UAD - Backend Testing	2	Request OTP Frontend	4			Account Deletion - Backend Testing	3	Datastore Access	3			
	UAD - Frontend Implementation	5	Authentication Frontend	6			Account Deletion - Documentation	3					

	UAD - Frontend Testing	5	Authentication Frontend Testing	2			Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5				
	UAD Documentation	3	Request OTP Frontend Testing	2			Account Deletion - Frontend Testing	3				
			Authentication Documentation	3								
Total:		15										
Sprint 15												
	Jessie (J)		Matthew (M)		Pammy(P)		Viet (V)		Ian (I)		Ryan (R)	
Task Breakdown	UAD - Backend Testing	2	Authentication Frontend	4			Account Deletion - Backend Testing	3	Datastore Access - Testing	3		
	UAD - Frontend Implementation	5	Authentication Frontend Testing	4	Registration - testing frontend	2	Account Deletion - Documentation	3	Tree History - Design	40		
	UAD - Frontend Testing	2	Authentication Documentation	1	Tagging-Sequence Diagramas	15	Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5				
	UAD - Documentation	2	Middleware Authentication/Authorization Code	3	Registration Frontend Testing	2	Account Deletion - Frontend Testing	3				
	Create Node - Design	15	Middleware Authentication/Authorization Testing	6	Registration Documentation	3	Setting nodes private/public - Design (Sequence diagram)	8				
	Create Node - Backend	10	Request OTP Frontend	1	Recovery - Sequence Diagramas	10	Setting nodes private/public - Implementation(backend)	5				
	Create Node - Backend Testing	5	Request OTP Frontend Testing	2	Recovery - Backend Implementation	20	Setting nodes private/public - Implementation(frontend)	10				
	Create Node - Frontend Implementation	6	Request OTP Documentation	1			Setting nodes private/public - Backend Testing	5				
			Logout Design	2								
			Logout Frontend	1								
			Logout Frontend Testing	2								
			Logout Documentation	1								
			Logging Backend	3								
			Logging Backend Testing	5								
			Archiving Backend	4								
			Archiving Backend Testing	6								
			7Zip DAR	4								
Total:		47		50		52						
Assigned Tasks	UAD - Backend Testing	2	Authentication Frontend	4			Account Deletion - Backend Testing	5	Datastore Access - Testing	3		
	UAD - Frontend Implementation	5	Authentication Frontend Testing	4	Registration - testing frontend	2	Account Deletion - Documentation	5	Tree History - Design - Database	10		
	UAD - Documentation	2	Authentication Documentation	1	Tagging-Sequence Diagramas	15	Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend)	5	Tree History - Design - Diagrams	30		
	UAD - Frontend Testing	2	Middleware Authentication/Authorization Code	3	Registration Frontend Testing	2	Account Deletion - Frontend Testing	5				
	Create Node - Design	15	Middleware Authentication/Authorization Testing	6	Registration Documentation	3	UM - Implementation (Backend)	4.5				
	Create Node - Backend	10	Request OTP Frontend	1	Recovery - Sequence Diagramas	10	UM - Implementation (Frontend)	4.5				
	Create Node - Backend Testing	5	Request OTP Frontend Testing	2	Recovery - Backend Implementation	20	UM - Backend testing	3				
	Create Node - Frontend Implementation	10	Request OTP Documentation	1			UM - Frontend testing	3				
			Logout Design	2			Account Deletion - Backend Revisions	10				



[illegible]



Sprint 5					
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	
What went well	Through feedback and office hours we were able to refine our Scrum process by being more detailed	We acted on some of the feedback on our Scrum, this can be seen in our new project sheets.	Our scrum process was much more refined than last time, thanks to the feedback provided in our last retrospective and in office hours.	We got a lot of feedback from office hours and improved how we performed scrum	
Issues	Low sprint capacities as well as unexpected interruptions from other classes	We were still missing some things from showing off our sprint planning process and everyone's capacities were quite low for this sprint. We did not have a set time for updating our burnup charts, which resulted in some misinterpreted charts and data.	Low sprint capacities made us not able to do much. We were pretty inconsistent with our burnup chart.	Some people were busy with classes (me included) so it made it hard to finish tasks that were assigned this sprint	
Improvements	Update scrums and burnup charts at 11pm everyday	We will have all of our burnup chart updates and Scrums posted by 11pm everyday.	Burnup charts and scrum will be required to be posted by 11 PM everyday	We now have a set time to update our scrums and burnup charts	
Sprint 6					
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	
What went well	More strict enforcement of Daily Scrum Logs and Burnup chart updates gave better insight into Team Progress	We improved our Sprint planning process by following all the steps that we discussed with the professor during office hours. This can be seen in our new Project Sheet Document. We improved the documenting of our daily Scrums and updating our Burnup Charts by setting a deadline of 11pm.	Burnup charts and scrum updated at 11pm every night. This in turn made our Project Sheet Document more accurate.	Our scrum process was more in line with what Professor had in mind	
Issues	Work capacities were fairly low due to other issues that had presented themselves	Despite getting everyone's initial capacities, issues arose that resulted in less work than predicted.	Low initial capacities and low morale.	Other classes started kicking in, and I had less time capacities	
Improvements	Team Lead will send reminders and a report of the daily performance	Everyday at the end of our daily meetings, the team leader will send out a notification in regards to everyone's daily performance, according to their burnup charts and daily Scrums, and what improvements they need to make in the remaining time of the sprint.	Team leader will send a notification on daily performance, reminders on burnup charts and daily scrums	Our team lead would give a daily performance report at the end of our scrums	
Sprint 7					
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	
What went well	The team was able to complete most of the work we had for this Sprint despite any issues that presented themselves	Despite the issues that we ran into, the team was able to mostly complete all of the work that we brought in for this sprint. We also made further adjustments to our project and sprint planning.		Even though we ran into problems, the team as a whole was able to finish up a lot of the work that was assigned this sprint	
Issues	Timing with other class assignments created problems completing assigned work on time	At the start of the sprint, we were still unsure of what exactly we needed to be doing in order to work towards the completion of Milestone 3. Once again, we ran into slight issues with capacities due to outside factors such as other classes. Not everyone was complying with the deadlines for Scrums and Burnup chart updates.	Issues in understanding what was needed in low level design prevented us from really contributing much this sprint. In general, this is due to a lack of information on what is needed	I was behind on my backlog, and busy working on other classes, I did not get much done in my sprint	
Improvements	Improved task breakdown through discussion of work items and tasks during meetings to allow for better allocation of time thereby preventing any time creep	Team lead will send continue to send out notifications for posting Scrums and updating burnup charts by the designated time in addition to the notification regarding everyone's daily performance. Team lead will individually contact members who forget to post their Scrums and update burnup charts by the designated time.	Go to office hours and clarify everything that is needed	Our team leader will send out multiple notifications a day, more often after scrums for the team to update the burnup chart	
Sprint 8					
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	
What went well	Considering the fact that it was a break we were able to effectively plan and get to a decent amount of work	We were able to get an alright amount of work done, considering it was a break, and we also learned a lot about LL design from the bonus lecture.	Team made a more conscious effort to attend every office hours	I got an adequate amount of work during break, and learned sequence diagrams and how to create them. I was also able to hash out ideas with my teammates	
Issues	As it was break we admittedly did not get to complete as much as we would have liked to	It was a break week, so we weren't able to get as much done as we would have normally gotten done or planned to do.	Was not able to do much due to break	It was a sprint through break, so obviously we couldn't get that much work done but we did some good information and stuff done for milestone 3	
Improvements	Be more aware of the amount of work that will get done during breaks and give more appropriate estimates and assignments of work	Take better consideration of the fact that breaks usually mean people will get less work done, so in the future we will apply a default deduction to everyone's expected capacity in order to provide ourselves a better buffer.	Take low capacities due to break. We need to take account to this otherwise we will assign work that cannot be done	don't try to assign too much work during a break, since we know not much work will get done. This will be different from Christmas break though, because we have free time and our main priority will be to get a headstart on the project. We will have sprints throughout break, but with reasonable capacities	
Sprint 9					
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	

What went well	We were able to devote a good amount of time towards finishing the Milestone and Sprint putting in however many hours were necessary.	For the most part, the team was able to put in a lot of time in order to finish the Milestone. We were able to finish the design of all the Milestone items and code a majority of them.	We were able finish all the milestone documents as well as the sequence diagrams for the milestone. I think we got a good idea as a time how much effort will be needed to complete this project	We were able to get all the required documents and diagrams done for milestone 3		
Issues	Our work as not as complete as it could have been and there were some issues in our design that we were not aware of until after we had implemented it.	We had some issues with our diagrams that we only became aware of after actually coding. We did not have sufficient unit tests and not everything worked by the due date. Due to other finals, as well as personal reasons, capacity took a down turn during some moments.	We were not able to complete the work we assigned to us as our design had a lot of issues. We were not aware of the issues until after we implemented. These issues included libraries that pointed to each other	We underestimated issues in both design and coding and were rushed towards the end and our deliverable wasn't the as clean as it could have been		
Improvements	As a team we realized how our capacities need to increase as more work in the future is going to require more dedication to the class. We will also spend more time on design as flawed designs will impact later work.	After having gone through the process of creating the Milestone 3 items, we are more aware of how much work we can expect in the future, so we will be able to give better estimates when the time comes. Put more time into design and researching technology so we can try and avoid running into similar issues and check with the professor more often about our designs.	As a team we realized that we will need to put more time into design as this is something that will have future consequences. Therefore we will be attending office hours more frequently to discuss our designs	We will ask Professor about how to streamline our breakdowns and design to not run into issues, and also perform breakdowns with a little bit more of a buffer in order to account for said issues		
Sprint 10						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet		
What went well	We had fixed Milestone 3 Work Items as they were needed	We were able to make some of the necessary revisions to some Milestone 3 items.	Was able to make revisions to milestone 3 items.	We made considerable progress on our cloud technologies due to past work from group members and were able to create instances and databases		
Issues	Since it was the first sprint after the end of the semester, team members had a fairly low capacity.	This was our first sprint after the end of the semester, so everyone was at a low capacity.	Reallyyyyy low capacity due to break. Not really an issue as we don't really have any work items beside next semesters planning	This was our sprint in winter break after taking time off, so all our capacities were low and we were rusty getting back into the process.		
Improvements	We will change the sprint schedule so that it better fits team members' schedules as well as meeting times.	In our future sprints we will be upping our capacity so that once the semester starts, we will be good to go. We will also be trying out different sprint schedules and meeting times in preparation for the coming semester.	No improvements needed to be made	We will be reupping our capacities by at least 1/2 and review our past sprints in order to get back into the groove of things .		
Sprint 11						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet		
What went well	The team was able to increase their sprint capacities and we did a good job at meeting those capacities	Almost everyone was able to up their capacity more and hit them. We were able to make good progress in revising more Milestone 3 items as well as working on finishing DARs.	Most of us were able to hit our sprint capacities which meant we were able to improve from our last sprint	We all increased our sprint capacity and were able to do much more work than our last sprint.		
Issues	Although there was an increase, we were not yet at our desired sprint capacity that we would like to have seen for this semester	This was our second sprint after the end of the semester, so our capacities were still lower than what we wanted.	Some of us were sick as well as winding down from a semester so we weren't able to do much	We weren't at our optimal capacities during the school year, and I had contracted COVID and had to take care of family members, so I was not able to do as much work as I would have liked		
Improvements	We will increase our sprint capacity that way we can have better alotment of tasks	We will continue to work on upping our capacity.	I don't think we have to improve much as our issues were due it being break as well as not really any work items to work on	Getting sick was unavoidable, but I will reestimate capacities. I also spent excess time on DARs, so I will send more frequent emails to clarify confusion instead of wasting time		
Sprint 12						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet		
What went well	We were able to make the necessary adjustments and revisions to the Project Plan	We were able to finish making revisions to our project plan as needed.	We were able revise the project plan and BRD	Our team did well under pressure by revising the project plan in the short amount of time we were given, as we had to push many work items back and make space for new work items		
Issues	Re-estimations of work items caused a realization of there being more work we need to do. Also being the first sprint of the semester, capacities were fairly low.	This was our first sprint of the semster, but our capacities were still a bit on the low side. Some of our items we realized would take longer than estimated, and that we also had to make changes to account for new information that the professor lectured on.	We had to split up the features among our group again as are group expanded. We were not really sure of what our estimations would look like. We also did not put in enough into our sprint capacity as we were still in winter break.	Certain things were rushed in the revision of the project plan because our BRD also had to be revised, and so our project plan estimation is not as accurate as it could have been. It was also our first sprint hitting the ground running since the break, so our capacities were suddenly spiked and it made it hard to hit said capacities.		
Improvements	The team will continue increasing their sprint capacity to what we need it to be. We will also follow the Project Plan more closely that way we can be efficient in the work delegated to team members.	We will continue upping our capacity so that we can adhere as best we can to the project plan. We will try to estimate better how much work things should take, also taking into consideration what the professor might be lecturing on.	We will increase capacity as well as get a better feel of what the team can do for our estimations.	Improvements that could be made are to slowly ramp up capacities, because otherwise the sudden increase of capacities would be hard to hit. An improvement would be to add 1 or 2 hours of capacity everyday for the duration of the sprint, and depending on how those capacities are hit we can continue increasing.		
Sprint 13						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	Ryan
What went well	Throughout this sprint I was able to have a much better scheduling of work due to the revised Project Plan that was much more detailed in the breakdowns as well as the reevaluations of work items.	During this sprint, I was able to get a solid understanding of the requirements for Authentication and what exactly needs to be done for this core component. Almost everyone went to most of the available office hours and were able to get a lot of questions answered and feedback from the professor.	I think I was able to really understand how much work will go into a single feature (planning, implementing, testing). Most of the team was able to meet daily as well as attend office hours.	Our team was able to get our cloud DARs approved, which were leftover from last sprint. Those were crucial because we need those technologies order to set up the database and VMs, and to store and deploy our application. I was also able to get a good understanding of account deletion and how to delete all references of the account by performing a stored procedure.	This is my first completed sprint with my new team. I understand the SCRUM methodology better than my previous attempt. I managed to get the tables and UML model. I managed to get the business rules and requirements from most of my teammates and managed to implement them in the tables. Getting used to the daily meetings and slowly getting used to the accountability was the best thing for me.	

Issues	<p>This sprint I had issues with the sequence diagram designs for the Usage Analysis Dashboard as I was not necessarily clear on all aspects of the core component, in addition I was not sure how certain aspects of the design would be shown in the sequence diagrams. I was also not able to make it to office hours as much as I would have liked to, therefore any questions that I had about design had me blocked until I recieved help from teammates. Due to these issues I was not able to complete all assigned tasks in this sprint (testing writeup and backend implementation), therefore I must carry it over to the next sprint.</p>	<p>Because I did not have a solid grasp of what exactly was needed for Authentication and how to put the parts together, I spent a lot more time on research, diagraming, and getting feedback on the flow during office hours, which resulted in my being unable to complete the test writeup and backend code for Authentication. An issue that we had overall as a team was along the same lines. I would say that almost everyone needed to get more clarification on how best to design their component, which resulted in more time designing and researching, and less time implementing. These reasons are why some people were not able to finish the coding that we had previously planned to do. Another issue is that Ryan has not been attending meetings (the last meeting he attended was last Sunday, so an entire week ago), he has not attended almost any office hours, and he has not been keeping me updated on his work progress. In addition, he did not update his burn up charts with his daily work, nor did he provide his forecast burn for the sprint, which is why the burnup chart for this sprint is so messed up (forecast burn is lacking and overall team work is even lower with the addition of the previously mentioned issues)During one of the previous office hours, I talked with the professor on how to deal with the situation, and conveyed his words to Ryan, albeit over text since I was still unable to get him to meet with me.</p>	<p>I really underestimated my estimated hours that would be needed to design and implement the requirement feature. I spent most of my time designing and writing the DAR (understanding and testing). This left some work leftover for the next sprint that I will have to make up for. The rest of the team had the same issues with not allocating enough time to implement the project and spending more time on the design portion. We also had issues with a member not joining daily meetings as well as a general lack of communication.</p>	<p>I had remaining work from last sprint leftover, and that also seems to be the case for this sprint as well. The design portion of my core component took much more time than expected, so backend implementation has been pushed back to next sprint. That caused me to miss my points target by a lot since i wasn't able to get to backend implementation. I also had to spend almost half of my sprint finishing the cloud DARs, as creating and estimating metrics took longer than expected. I prioritized the DARs since they were leftover from last sprint, but that caused the chain effect of me now pushing back this sprint's work to next sprint, and so on. The design for the account deletion also came along slow because I was only able to make half of the office hours meeting this sprint due to other matters.</p>	<p>Despite my successes, I need to catch up with the <a href="#">ASP.NET</a> framework. I need to read up on it to prepare for my feature. Since I joined this team, I spent a significant portion of the sprint understanding what all the components of the application do. I had to spend time with my teammates going over what they need for their feature. Communications with my teammates was not perfect, especially those who do not communicate readily. Part of creating the tables was hampered because I was not aware of one of my teammates work schedule rendered him unavailable for the majority of the week. I also overestimated how much I could do for the two week period of the sprint. I also had a slow start which meant for me more cramming in the second week of the sprint.</p>	
Improvements	<p>In the future, I will try and attend more office hours with presentable work so that I may receive feedback and answers to any questions that I may have that are causing me to be blocked in my work.</p>	<p>In the future, I will be allocating even more time to research and design as when I am able to fully understand what needs to be done, I am able to create a design that is easily convertible to code and ultimately spend less time coding. I will also be trying to attend every office hours and work to have something to show at each in order to get further feedback so that I can keep constant track of my progress. We will be discussing Ryan's situation with the professor during Monday's team review.</p>	<p>I will estimate more hours that will be needed. I've allocated more time in my personal life to work on my estimated work items. As such, I've increased my capacity for the next sprint.</p>	<p>In the future, I will do my best to attend all office hours, and also come with a draft and questions, because not only will I get feedback on my draft, but it will also branch off into other related topics or details which were not considered before. I will also be dedicating much more time on design with the professor and my team in order to quickly finalize design to code things up.</p>	<p>I will attend more office hours with questions. I will prioritize the task items in the sprint more in the early days of the sprint. I will also read more into <a href="#">ASP.NET</a> and get myself up to speed.</p>	
Sprint 14						
What went well	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	Ryan
	Was able to work at a capacity higher than previous sprints	I was able to get the backend for all of my components done and tested for functionality.	Was able to finish my front end and my backend	I was able to get certain parts of my components done	I was able to get my parts of the InMemoryDAO and SQLDAO setup more or less.	

		<p>Some issues that I ran into were testing different libraries for the PBKDF2 DAR and solving problems from pushing and pulling from GitHub. Another thing was that my reestimate for the amount of time needed to complete the backend for my components was an underestimate. I was only taking into consideration the time for the functionality with the Sql Database, and did not consider the amount of time needed in order to test my components In Memory. No one on our team was able to fully complete their component within the time of the sprint. Although I was over in my capacity, it was due to my having underestimated the work for the backend and the DAR and having to put in more work for those tasks. Despite having accepted the of work that he did during our sprint planning for this sprint, Jessie did not hit his capacity, nor did he complete his work items. He partially completed the backend for UAD, did not get to any frontend, and did not do anything for the logout component. Part of the reason is due to his job. Pammy also did not hit her capacity, nor did she complete all her tasks. For the most part, she completed her Registration component, only left with a little bit of the front end and actually connected through the middleware (which was not completed yet), but did not get to her other tasks in helping to implement the front end for other components (but she was only assigned these additional frontend tasks in order to get her to her estimated capacity and the backend for these components was not complete yet either). Part of the reason is due to her having family emergencies during the sprint. Viet also did not hit his capacity and did not complete his component. His actual indicated completed capacity should be lower as he did not in fact do any front end work for his work item during the sprint, despite having indicated so on his burnup chart. He partially completed the backend for his Logout component, but did not get to his front end, and he did not complete all the tasks for the DAO that he was given in order to reach his estimated capacity. Ian indicated that he hit his capacity and his estimates for all his tasks, but he still has testing left to do.</p>				
Issues	<p>Issues that caused a delay of progress was having to take a lot of time to work on additional SqlDAO and InMemorySQLDAO methods in order for my component of UAD to work. In addition the methods that had been implemented for the UAD weren't functional and I had to spend time fixing their logic. Another issue that came was a relatively late decision to change Column names in the database because that caused an additional sweep of changes that needed to be done in order to fix the SqlDAO.</p>		<p>Ran into a couple issues with family emergency but managed to finish my part of the project. I was not able to do other group members front ends because they did not finish their backend in time. Or in some cases was asked to make a front end within hours of the project being due. I had to spend some extra time on DARS that were not originally accounted for (AJAX) as well as issues with cross origin resource sharing. We had an issue with the front end and the backend not wanting to communicate with each other. In regards to connecting to the middleware, I was not able to connect to it because it was not finished by a reasonable time before the sprint ended. Additionally, there were changes made to the database relatively late in the sprint that affected my code, therefore, I had to account more time into making sure that my SQL DAO accounted for those changes. We also had an issue with our project corrupting due to github mistakes</p>	<p>I ran into issues of overestimating my capacity as backend implementation took much longer than I expected, and I had to spend a lot of time debugging and fixing errors. I also happened to get sick again, which brought down the capacities of 2 days near the end of the sprint, which were vital for getting my component done or near close to done. I also switched over to DAO tasks, but then was told to switch back to my assigned work item a few days after. The name changes made to the tables by Matthew were a huge issue to deal with, since we had already used those names in our code and I had to stop working on my component and spend 2-3 hours fixing up column names because he would only change the tables that he needed. The name changes weren't a pressing issue since we had more important work to get done, but was a major roadblock since he was adamant on changing them for maintainability, which could easily have been done after the team code review. Ian's table design was also problematic, as certain tables had almost identical field names to other tables, and certain primary and foreign key constraints were not made properly. I had to spend more time than expected fixing up those tables, and all team members besides Matthew had to go back and change up the names in their code. I did discuss and set up my front end with Pammy, so I indicated that I did work on it on my burnup chart, however the changes weren't uploaded to github since we were having major merge issues.</p>	<p>The issues this time were more related to communication. I had a fear that I was doing duplicate work. That held me back a little. Thankfully, I was able to clarify my other teammates did that did not exactly affect my work. Still, I need to communicate better with my teammates about work I have done and work that they have done. Another thing that threw me off was the in memory dao. While doing the estimates, I did not take into account implementing and testing the in memory dao. I at first did not know exactly what entailed an in memory dao. Afterwards, it was simpler than I thought. It still took me time to test out the in memory dao and sql dao. All of that took more time than estimated.</p>	
Improvements	<p>I will take better consideration how long unit and integration testing can be and devote more time a day so that high sprint capacities aren't an issue</p>	<p>I will take into consideration the amount of time needed for both integration and unit testability for backend work when making my estimates. In order to</p>		<p>I will lower my capacity by 5 and reestimate the work in my sprints so that I will be able to get work done to prevent this issue from happening again</p>	<p>Now that I have done some unit testing, I will be able to provide better estimates of unit testing in the future.</p>	
Sprint 15						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	Ryan
What went well	<p>I was able to implement new changes we needed to make to our backend and became more familiar with the process of implementation therefore future Backend Implementations should be more straight forward</p>	<p>I was able to meet my capacity for this sprint in regards to all my work items. I got lots of questions answered from office hours and help/direction from the professor regarding some things. Implementing logging took less time than estimated, so I was able to instead spend more time working on the Cookei Middleware.</p>	<p>I was able to understand doing the front end and was able to make another front end pretty quickly</p>	<p>I was able to further complete my backend and frontend implementation of my account deletion component that was left unfinished from last sprint</p>	<p>I was able to get the sqldao and in memory dao done</p>	
Issues	<p>I overestimated my sprint capacity as well as underestimated certain work items therefore I was not able to meet my expected capacity</p>	<p>Once again, many people ended the sprint under capacity, some more so than others. As such, we are still behind in our work, and our cumulative sprint chart is still under. Part of this is due to poor planning by individuals. Another reason is finding out additional necessary work or requiring revision work after getting feedback from the professor. We also had issues with merging code to GitHub.</p>	<p>I was a little off completing all my work items as I had not allocated enough time to do revisions before I can finish testing. Therefore, I was not able to finish the testing work item.</p>	<p>The team code review highlighted a lot of flaws and missed opportunities in my code, which meant I needed to revise much of it. But for this sprint, I didnt make a backend implementation work item, so most of my points did not show up in the burnup chart.</p>	<p>I underestimated the amount of time and effort needed to design tree histories feature. It is more complicated than the other tables I worked on in the previous sprint. I made a mistake on when the sprint would end. That threw my forecast and required burn off. I have a few hours left from the sprint as a result.</p>	
Improvements	<p>I will be more realistic with my sprint capacity in addition to better estimation of future work items as I have more knowledge of various processes/implementations</p>	<p>We will have dedicated work items for code merges. In terms of individual capacities, I will be monitoring more closely everyone's work and discuss any other issues that arise in regards to meeting capacity with said individuals. While not exactly quantitative, members need to be making better estimates based on past sprint data and knowledge of potential future impediments.</p>	<p>I've made sure to include revisions in my next sprint (sprint 16)</p>	<p>There was a lot of revisions and design I made which had no work item for, so this sprint I will be sure to add a work item that accounts for revisions of past tasks and work items. I will continue to try to reach old capacities, so my workload is back up to my old capacities.</p>	<p>I will be taking into account the complexity of the task at hand. I will also be more careful about planning my sprints.</p>	
Sprint 16						
	Jessie	Matthew	Pammy	Viet	Ian Ho-Sing-Loy	Ryan
What went well						
Issues						
Improvements						