

| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|--|----------|--------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| Proposal | | Done | | | |
| BRD | | Done | Matthew | 40 | 42 |
| Tech Spec | | Done | Jessie | 20 | 22 |
| HL Design | | Done | Viet | 20 | 20 |
| Site Map | | Done | Pammy | 10 | 11 |
| Project Plan | | Done | Jessie | 20 | 21 |
| Test Plan | | Done | Pammy | 10 | 14 |
| Network Diagram | | Done | Matthew | 10 | 9 |
| Project Plan Core Components | | Done | Matthew | 10 | 1 |
| BRD Revisions (Success conditions and refining error messages) | | Done | Matthew | 4 | 4 |
| BRD Revisions (Refining error results, NFRs) | | Done | Matthew | 4 | 4 |
| HL Infrastructure Revisions | | Done | Viet | 3 | 4 |
| HL Specify Components | | Done | Jessie | 2 | 3 |
| Tech Spec Revisions | | Done | Jessie | 2 | 3 |
| Site Map Revisions | | Done | Pammy | 2 | 1 |
| Test Plan Revisions | | Done | Pammy | 5 | 4 |
| UM Sequence Diagrams(Rough Draft for Create, Rough Draft for Update and Delete (only Success)) | | Done | Viet | 14 | 12 |
| Setting Up Visual Studio Environment | | Done | Jessie | 5 | 5 |
| BRD Core Components | | Done | Pammy | 10 | 3 |
| O/RM DAR | | Done | Jessie | 15 | 15 |
| Unit Testing DAR | | Done | Pammy | 3 | 12 |
| UM Sequence Diagrams (Revise Create, Revise Update and Delete Success, Update and Delete Error, Disable and Enable, Logging and Archiving) | | Done | Viet | 12 | 33 |
| UM Coding | | Done | Pammy | 30 | 28 |
| Archiving Coding | | Done | Matthew | 15 | 4 |

| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|--|----------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Network Diagram Revisions | | Postponed | Matthew Chen | 6 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Winter Work Items | | | | | |
| Project Plan Revisions | 6 | Done | Jessie | 5 | 11 |
| Cloud DAR benchmarks | 2 | Done | Viet | 12 | 13 |
| Cloud DAR setup | 1 | Done | Viet | 12 | 13 |
| Cloud DAR First Draft | 3 | Done | Viet | 2 | 1 |
| Cloud Data Store DAR First Draft | 4 | Done | Viet | 2 | 2 |
| Frontend DAR | 5 | | Pammy | 6 | 6 |
| Sequence Diagram Revisions (DA, Logging, Archiving) | 7 | Done | Matthew | 9 | 12 |
| Sequence Diagram Revisions (Authorization, Authentication, UM, | 8 | | Matthew | 27 | |
| Code Revisions (DAL, Logging, Archiving) | 9 | | Jessie | 10 | 15 |
| Code Revisions (UM) | 10 | | | | |
| Sequence Diagrams for Spring | 11 | | | | |
| BRD Core Component Revisions | 12 | Done | Viet | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Spring Work Items | | | | | |
| Database Setup | 1 | Partially Done | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 53 | |
| Datastore Access | 2 | In Progress | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 58 | |
| Progress Tracker - Design, Test Writeup | 36 | | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 40 | |
| Progress Tracker - Backend, Backend Testing | 37 | | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 45 | |

| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|---|----------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Progress Tracker - Frontend, Frontend Testing | 38 | | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 50 | |
| Progress Tracker - Documentation | 39 | | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | 5 | |
| Authentication - Milestone 3 Revisions | | Done | Matthew | 9 | 10 |
| Authorization - Milestone 3 Revisions | | Done | Matthew | 6 | 9 |
| User Management - Milestone 3 Revisions | | Done | Matthew | 6 | 13 |
| Usage Analysis Dashboard - Design, Test Writeup, Backend, Backend Testing | 10 | Partially Done | Jessie | 35 | 35 |
| Usage Analysis Dashboard - Backend, Backend Testing | 11 | In Progress | Jessie | 17 | 17 |
| Usage Analysis Dashboard - Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation | 12 | In Progress | Jessie | 13 | 13 |
| Create Node - Design | 17 | In Progress | Jessie | 20 | 20 |
| Create Node - Test Writeup, Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation | 18 | | Jessie | 33 | |
| Delete Node - Design, Test Writeup, Backend, Backend Testing | 19 | | Jessie | 37 | |
| Delete Node - Frontend Testing and Documentation | 20 | | Jessie | 6 | |
| Changing Parent of Node - Design, Test Writeup | 21 | | Jessie | 30 | |
| Changing Parent of Node - Implementation, Testing, Documentation | 22 | | Jessie | 38 | |
| Authentication - Sequence Diagrams for incorporating Cookies/Token, Test Writeup, Backend | 4 | Partially Done | Matthew | 30 | 30 |

| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|--|----------|----------------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| Authentication - Test Writeup, Backend | 5 | | Matthew | 7.5 | 14 |
| Authentication - Backend Testing, Front End, Frontend Testing, Documentaiton | 6 | | Matthew | 30 | 28 |
| Search - Sequence Diagrams, Test Writeup | 23 | | Matthew | 35 | |
| Search - Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing | 24 | | Matthew | 37 | |
| Search - Documentation | 25 | | Matthew | 3 | |
| Filter - Sequence Diagrams, Test Writeup, Backend | 26 | | Matthew | 35 | |
| Filter - Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation | 27 | | Matthew | 23 | |
| Cloud DAR Revisions - Hosting and Datastore/Database DAR | | Done | Viet | 4 | 8 |
| Cloud Setup - Implementation (Frontend and backend), testing | | Done | Viet | 38 | 5 |
| Account Deletion - Design, Backend, Backend testing, Frontend, Frontend testing, Documentation, Test Writeup | 13 | Partially Done | Viet | 33 | 37 |
| Account Deletion - Backend, Backend testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation, Test Writeup | 14 | In progress | Viet | 22 | 30 |
| Setting nodes public/private - Design, Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend | 28 | | Viet | 35 | 40 |
| UM - Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend, Frontend Testing | 29 | | Viet | 15 | |
| Copy Node - Design | 30 | | Viet | 25 | |
| Copy Node - Test Writeup, Backend implementation, backend testing, frontend implementation, frontend testing | 31 | | Viet | 43 | |


| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|---|----------|----------------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| Pasting - Design, Test Writeup, Backend implementation, Backend Testing | 32 | | Viet | 35 | |
| Changing contents - Design | 34 | | Viet | 15 | |
| Pasting - Frontend, Frontend Testing, Documentation | 33 | | Viet | 23 | |
| Changing contents - Test writeup, backend, backend testing, frontend, frontend testing, Documentation | 35 | | Viet | 33 | |
| PBKDF2 Frontend DAR | 3 | | Matthew | 8 | 8 |
| Authorization-Design, Backend, Frontend, Testing, Documentation | 8 | | Ryan | 40 | |
| Logout- Design, Backend, Frontend, Test, Document | 7 | | Ryan | 40 | |
| Registration - Design, Test Writeup, Backend, backend testing | 9 | Partially Done | Pammy | 35 | 35 |
| Front End DAR - Revisions | | Done | Pammy | 3 | 3 |
| Registration - frontend, frontend testing, documentation | 15 | In Progress | Pammy | 15 | 15 |
| Recovery - Design, backend | 16 | In Progress | Pammy | 30 | 32 |
| Tagging - Sequence Diagram | 40 | | Pammy | 10 | |
| Rating - sequence diagram | 41 | | Pammy | 10 | |
| Tagging - coding (backend and frontend), Test case | 42 | | Pammy | 35 | |
| Tagging- Test Implementaion and documenation | 43 | | Pammy | 8 | |
| Rating - coding (backend and front end), test case | 44 | | Pammy | 25 | |
| Rating - test implementation and documentation | 45 | | Pammy | 5 | |
| Final Deployment Setup | 46 | | | 25 | |
| Final Deployment Setup | 47 | | | 25 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Work Items | Priority | Status | Assignee | Work Estimate | New Estimate |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | | | |
| Features | | | | | |
| Tree | Jessie | | | | |
| Search/Filter | Matthew | | | | |
| Private/Public | Viet | | | | |
| Rating | Pammy | | | | |
| One-to-One Chat/Report | Ian | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Core Components | | | | | |
| Data Access | Ian | | | | |
| Authentication | Matt | | | | |
| Authorization | Ryan | | | | |
| Logout | Ryan | | | | |
| Registration (Account Creation) | Pammy | | | | |
| Account Recovery | Pammy | | | | |
| Account Deletion | Viet | | | | |
| User Management | Viet | | Not Demoable | | |
| Usage Analysis Dashboard | Jessie | | | | |
| Logging | Jessie | | Not Demoable | | |
| Archiving | Viet | | Not Demoable | | |

| Team Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|---|-----|--|
| | Weekly | | | Sprint 5 (10/31/2021 - 11/6/2021) | | | Sprint 6 | | Sprint 7 |
| Maximum Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Medium Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Expected Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/31/21-11/3/21 | Jessie (J) | | | Matthew (M) | | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 6 | | | 4 | | | 6 | | 4 |
| Work Items | Tech Spec Revisions | | | BRD Revisions | | | | | HL Revisions |
| Expected Work Capacity | 2 | | | 1 | | | | | 4 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Decisions | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/21-11/10/21 | Jessie (J) | | | Matthew (M) | | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 8 | | | 8 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Work Items | HTML DAR | | | Cloud Provider DAR (Initial Draft) | | | NUnit DAR | | React DAR |
| | | | | BRD Revisions (Success conditions and refining error messages) | | | | | |
| | Tech Spec Revisions | | | | | | Site Map Revisions | | HL Revisions |
| | LL Research | | | LL Research | | | LL Research | | LL Research |
| Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 8 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 17 | | | 12 | | 10 |
| Decisions | After a breakdown, we found that some work items would take more work than we had initially predicted, so we divided some tasks for some work items up amongst people and split up the tasks for some work items to be done in this sprint and a future sprint. | | | | | | | | |
| Final Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 8 | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Sprint 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/12/21-11/19/21 | Jessie (J) | | | Matthew (M) | | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 9 | | | 11 | | | 9 | | 9 |
| Work Items | Frontend DAR | | | BRD Revisions (Refining error results, NFRs) | | | Site Map Revisions (1) | | HL Infrastructure Revisions |
| | Reviewing HL | | | Cloud DAR (Revising) | | | UM (Sequence Diagram) | | Core Components |
| | | | | Project Plan Revisions | | | Test Plan Revisions | | Logging (Sequence Diagram) |
| | | | | | | | Logging | | HL Specify Components Revisions |
| | | | | | | | UM | | |
| Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 23.7 | | | 10 | | 22 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | 8.5 | | | 10 | | | 45 | | 9 |
| Decisions | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Expected Work Capacity | 8.5 | | | 10 | | | 9 | | 9 |
| Sprint 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/20/21-11/28/21 | Jessie (J) | | | Matthew (M) | | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 12 | | | 16 | | | 15 | | 20 |
| Work Items | Revise HL (Specify Components) | | | Project Plan Revisions | | | NUnit DAR | | Sequence Diagram (Create, update, delete accounts) |
| | Setup Environment | | | Network Diagram Revisions | | | Test Plan Revisions | | Sequence Diagram Revisions |
| | | | | | | | UM (Sequence Diagram Revisions, Class Diagrams) | | |
| Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 16 | | | 8 | | 16 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 16.7 | | | 7 | | 20 |
| Decisions | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Expected Work Capacity | 8 | | | 16.7 | | | 7 | | 20 |
| Sprint 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/30/21-12/15/21 | Jessie (J) | | | Matthew (M) | | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 24 | | | 24 | | | 28 | | 24 |
| Work Items | O/RM DAR | | | Logging Coding Archiving Coding | | | UM Coding NUnit DAR | | Sequence Diagrams (Revise Create, Revise Update and Delete Success, Update and Delete Error, Disable and Enable, Logging, Archiving) |
| Expected Work Capacity | 27 | | | 33 | | | 31 | | 12 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | 19 | | | 18 | | | 38 | | 48 |
| Decisions | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Expected Work Capacity | 29 | | | 46 | | | 28 | | 20 |
| Sprint 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1/5/22-1/11/22 | Jessie (J) | Old | New | Matthew (M) | Old | New | Pammy(P) | Old | New |
| Expected Individual Capacity | 10 | | | 12 | | | 6 | | 12 |
| Work Items | Project Plan Revisions | 5 | 11 | Sequence Diagrams (DA, Logging, Archiving) | 9 | 12 | Front-End DAR | | BRD Revisions Cloud DAR setup |
| Expected Work Capacity | 5 | | | 9 | | | 6 | | 12 |
| New Expected Work Capacity | 11 | | | 12 | | | 6 | | 14 |

| Team Velocity | Actual | Expected | %Error |
|---------------|--------|----------|---------|
| Sprint 1 | 38 | 66 | -28.00% |
| Sprint 2 | 37 | 39 | -2.00% |
| Sprint 3 | 17.5 | 19 | -1.50% |
| Sprint 4 | 27.5 | 27 | 0.50% |
| Sprint 5 | 8 | 8 | 0.00% |
| Sprint 6 | 32 | 32 | 0.00% |
| Sprint 7 | 34 | 37.5 | -3.50% |
| Sprint 8 | 28.6 | 51.7 | -23.10% |
| Sprint 9 | 128.5 | 123 | 5.50% |
| Sprint 10 | 34 | 40 | -6.00% |
| Sprint 11 | 60 | 60 | 0.00% |
| Sprint 12 | 74 | 74 | 0.00% |
| Sprint 13 | 146.5 | 230 | -83.50% |
| Sprint 14 | | 283 | |

Percentage Error Trend Chart



[illegible]

| Sprint 6 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----|--|-----|---|----|---|----|--|
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | |
| Task Breakdown | TS - Specfy Environments | 1 | Success Conditions | 0.5 | Research NUnit | 3 | Research into javascript REACT frameworks | 2 | |
| | TS - Research SQL Alternative | 1 | Refine Error Messages | 0.5 | Research XUnit | 3 | HL Infrastructure revisions | 2 | |
| | TS - Research Windows 10 Alternative | 1 | Refine Error Results | 1 | Research MStTeams | 2 | HL Specify components revisions | 2 | |
| | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | Usability NFR | 0.5 | Revise Format of Site Map | 1 | User Management research | 2 | |
| | Create DAR for HTML | 2 | Maintainability NFR | 2 | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | Logging research | 2 | |
| | | | Security NFR | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Scalability NFR | 0.5 | | | | | |
| | | | Research Azure | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | Research AWS | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | | | | | |
| | | | Create DAR for Azure and AWS | 1 | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8 | | 17 | | 12 | | 10 | |
| Assigned Tasks | TS - Specfy Environments | 1 | Research Azure | 3 | Research NUnit | 3 | Research AWS firewall | 2 | |
| | TS - Research SQL Alternative | 1 | Research AWS | 3 | Research XUnit | 3 | Research Azure firewall | 2 | |
| | TS - Research Windows 10 Alternative | 1 | Create DAR for Azure and AWS | 1 | Research MStest | 2 | HL Infrastructure revisions | 2 | |
| | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | Success Conditions | 0.5 | | | Research into javascript REACT frameworks | 2 | |
| | Create DAR for HTML | 2 | Refine Error Messages | 0.5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8 | | 8 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Leftover Tasks | | | Refine Error Results | 1 | Revise Format of Site Map | 1 | User Management research | 2 | |
| | | | Usability NFR | 0.5 | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | Logging research | 2 | |
| | | | Maintainability NFR | 2 | | | HL Specify components revisions | 2 | |
| | | | Security NFR | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Scalability NFR | 0.5 | | | | | |
| | | | Research Technologies for LL | 3 | | | | | |
| Total: | | | | 8 | | 4 | | 4 | |
| Sprint 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | |
| Task Breakdown | Research HTML & CSS | 0.5 | Refine Error Results | 1 | Revise Format of Site Map | 1 | BRD Core components(2) | 4 | |
| | Research Angular | 1 | Usability NFR | 0.5 | UM: Identify Main Responsibilities | 2 | Site Map Core components(3) | 2 | |
| | Research React | 1 | Maintainability NFR | 1 | UM: Identify Process | 2 | Project plan/roadmap Core component(1) | 2 | |
| | Research React.js | 0.5 | Security NFR | 1 | Revise Test Plan Test Data | 2 | Test plan core components(4) COPY OVER | 3 | |
| | Research Vue.js | 0.5 | Scalability NFR | 0.5 | Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case | 2 | Logging: Identify Main Responsibilities | 2 | |
| | Draft DAR Report | 1 | Revise Cloud DAR | 3 | UM: Coding | 16 | Logging: Identify Process | 2 | |
| | Review High Level For System | 2 | Risk Mitigation Planning | 3 | | | Logging: Coding | 16 | |
| | Identify Key Factors for Tech | 2 | Total estimate with units for project | 0.5 | Logging: Identify Main Responsibilities | 2 | | | |
| | | | Identify human resources and associated costs | 0.5 | Logging: Identify Process | 2 | | | |
| | | | Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints | 0.1 | Logging: Coding | 16 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|--|------|---|----|---------------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| | | | Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Align specific test cases to planned Sprints | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Clearer format in general | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our control) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable) | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Specify component names | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Label input and outputs | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Remove things that will be for the future | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | IP's (put list as separate doc and reference) | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8.5 | | 23.7 | | 45 | | 31 | | | |
| Assigned Tasks | Research HTML & CSS | 0.5 | Refine Error Results | 1 | Revise Format of Site Map | 1 | BRD Core components | 2 | | | |
| | Research Angular | 1 | Usability NFR | 0.5 | Core components to Site Map | 2 | HL Specify components revisions | 2 | | | |
| | Research React | 1 | Maintainability NFR | 1 | UM Sequence Diagram | 4 | Logging Sequence Diagram | 5 | | | |
| | Research React.js | 0.5 | Security NFR | 1 | Test plan core components(4) COPY OVER | 3 | | | | | |
| | Research Vue.js | 0.5 | Scalability NFR | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | Draft DAR Report | 1 | Revise Cloud DAR | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Review High Level For System | 2 | Project plan/roadmap Core component | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | Identify Key Factors for Tech | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8.5 | | 10 | | 10 | | 9 | | | |
| Leftover Tasks | Identify Key Factors for Tech | 1.5 | Risk Mitigation Planning | 3 | UM Diagram Revisions | 1 | Logging: Coding | 16 | | | |
| | Review High Level for System | 0.5 | Total estimate with units for project | 0.5 | UM Class Diagram | 1 | HL Specify components revisions | 2 | | | |
| | | | Identify human resources and associated costs | 0.5 | Unit Testing DAR | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints | 0.1 | Revise Test Plan Test Data | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment | 0.5 | Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Align specific test cases to planned Sprints | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Clearer format in general | 2 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|--|------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our controll) | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable) | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Specify component names | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Label input and outputs | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Remove things that will be for the future | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | IP's (put list as separate doc and reference) | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | | | 16.7 | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | | | | |
| Task Breakdown | HL Design - Specify Components | 3 | Risk Mitigation Planning | 3 | UM Diagram Revisions | 2 | Sequence Diagram: Creating account success | 3 | | | | |
| | Setup VS Environment | 2 | Total estimate with units for project | 0.5 | NUnit DAR | 1 | Sequence Diagram: Updating account success | 3 | | | | |
| | Setup Database | 2 | Identify human resources and associated costs | 0.5 | Revise Test Plan Test Data | 2 | Sequence Diagram: Deleting account success | 2 | | | | |
| | Connect Database | 1 | Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints | 0.1 | Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case | 2 | Sequence Diagram Disable account sucess | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment | 0.5 | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account sucess | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place | 0.1 | | | Sequence Diagram: Creating account fail case | 3 | | | | |
| | | | Align specific test cases to planned Sprints | 0.5 | | | Sequence Diagram: Updating account fail case | 2 | | | | |
| | | | Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization | 2 | | | Sequence Diagram: Deleting account fail case | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Clearer format in general | 2 | | | Sequence Diagram Disable account fail case | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our controll) | 1 | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account fail case | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable) | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Specify component names | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Label input and outputs | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Remove things that will be for the future | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | IP's (put list as separate doc and reference) | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8 | | 16.7 | 7 | | 18 | | | | | |
| Assigned Tasks | HL Design - Specify Components | 3 | Risk Mitigation Planning | 3 | UM Document | 4 | Sequence Diagram: Creating account success | 3 | | | | |
| | Setup VS Environment | 2 | Total estimate with units for project | 0.5 | Revise Test Plan Pass/Failure Case | 2 | Sequence Diagram: Updating account success | 3 | | | | |
| | Setup Database | 2 | Identify human resources and associated costs | 0.5 | | | Sequence Diagram: Deleting account success | 2 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|------|-------------------------------------|---|---|----|--|--|--|
| | Connect Database | 1 | Specify stand-alone work item for deploying solutions to production environment within Sprints | 0.1 | | | Sequence Diagram Disable account sucess | 1 | | | |
| | | | Get better estimate for effort needed to setup the production environment | 0.5 | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account sucess | 1 | | | |
| | | | Explicitly identify date that production deployment will take place | 0.1 | | | Sequence Diagram: Creating account fail case | 3 | | | |
| | | | Align specific test cases to planned Sprints | 0.5 | | | Sequence Diagram: Updating account fail case | 2 | | | |
| | | | Have a Gantt chart showing resources as rows with plan work as columns to view critical paths and resource utilization | 2 | | | Sequence Diagram: Deleting account fail case | 1 | | | |
| | | | Clearer format in general | 2 | | | Sequence Diagram Disable account fail case | 1 | | | |
| | | | Focus on infrastructure of network traffic of application (things in our controll) | 1 | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account fail case | 1 | | | |
| | | | Show system level details (CPU, RAM, etc. when applicable) | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Specify component names | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Label input and outputs | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Remove things that will be for the future | 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | IP's (put list as separate doc and reference) | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 8 | | 16.7 | | 8 | | 18 | | | |
| Leftover Tasks | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram Disable account sucess | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account sucess | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram Disable account fail case | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram Enable account fail case | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sprint 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | | | |
| Task Breakdown | Draft -DAR-Deliverable | 1 | Logging-Code | 4 | Resarch Nunit testing-Unit-Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Create-Account-Revision | 3 | | | |
| | Find-Suitable-ORMs-for-Comparison | 2 | Logging-Unit-Test-Write-Up | 1 | Research XUnit-Testing-Unit-Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Update-Account-Revision | 2 | | | |
| | Create-Tests-for-Dapper | 3 | Archiving-Code | 4 | Research-MSTest-Unit-Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Delete-Account-Revision | 2 | | | |
| | Create-Tests-for-EFCore | 3 | Archiving-Unit-Test-Write-Up | 1 | Create-Unit-Test-Write-Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Enable-Account | 2 | | | |
| | Create-Tests-for-nHibernate | 3 | Code-for-UM-View | 4 | Delete-Unit-Test-Write-Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Disable-Account | 2 | | | |
| | Create-Compairson-Matrix | 3 | Database-Setup | 4 | Update-Unit-Test-Write-Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram-Create-Account-Error-Case-Revision | 2 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|----|--|--|--|
| | Revise DAR-ORM | 2 | | | Enable Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram Update-Account Error-Case | 2 | | | |
| | BRD-Core-Components | 2 | | | Disable Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram Delete-Account Error-Case | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Authentication Unit Test Write-Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram Enable-Account Error-Case | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Authorization Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram Disable-Account Error-Case | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Create | 4 | Sequence Diagram Logging | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Delete | 4 | Sequence Diagram Archiving | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Update | 4 | Sequence Diagram for-Authentication | 4 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Enable | 4 | Sequence Diagram for-Authorization | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Disable | 4 | Sequence Diagram for-Error-Authentication-Case | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Authentication | 4 | Sequence Diagram for-Error-Authorization | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Code for Authorization | 4 | Sequence Diagram for-Error-Logging | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram for-Error-Archiving | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram UM View | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sequence Diagram UM View-Error-Cases | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 19 | | 18 | | 38 | | 48 | | | |
| Assigned Tasks | Draft DAR Deliverable | 1 | Logging Code | 4 | Research Nunit testing Unit Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram Create Account Error Case Revision | 2 | | | |
| | Find Suitable ORMs for Comparison | 2 | Logging Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Research XUnit Testing Unit Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram Update Account Error Case | 2 | | | |
| | Create Tests for Dapper | 3 | Archiving Code | 4 | Research MSTest Unit Testing | 1 | Sequence Diagram Delete Account Error Case | 2 | | | |
| | Create Tests for EFCore | 3 | Archiving Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Code for Enable | 4 | Sequence Diagram Enable Account Error Case | 2 | | | |
| | Create Tests for nHibernate | 3 | Sequence Diagram UM View | 5 | Code for Authentication | 4 | Sequence Diagram Disable Account Error Case | 2 | | | |
| | Create Comparision Matrix | 3 | Sequence Diagram Enable Account | 2 | Code for Authorization | 4 | Sequence Diagram for Error Authentication Case | 2 | | | |
| | Revise ORM DAR | 2 | Sequence Diagram Disable Account | 2 | BRD Core Components | 2 | Sequence Diagram for Error Authorization | 2 | | | |
| | Code for Create | 4 | Sequence Diagram Logging | 3 | Code for Disable | 4 | Sequence Diagram for Error Logging | 2 | | | |
| | Code for Delete | 4 | Sequence Diagram Archiving | 3 | Create Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram for Error Archiving | 2 | | | |
| | Code for Update | 4 | Sequence Diagram for Authentication | 4 | Delete Unit Test Write Up | 1 | Sequence Diagram UM View Error Cases | 2 | | | |
| | | | Sequence Diagram for Authorization | 2 | Update Unit Test Write Up | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Sequence Diagram Create Account Revision | 3 | Enable Unit Test Write Up | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Sequence Diagram Update Account Revision | 2 | Disable Unit Test Write Up | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Sequence Diagram Delete Account Revision | 2 | Authentication Unit Test Write Up | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Code for UM View | 4 | Authorization Unit Test Write Up | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Database Setup | 4 | | | | | | | |

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|----|---|-----|---|----|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|----|
| Total: | | | | | 0 | | 2 | | | | | |
| Sprint 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | Ian (I) | | Ryan (R) | |
| Task Breakdown | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate Success | 5 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Research Cookies and Token | 15 | Registration - Sequence Diagrams | 15 | Cloud Data Store DAR Revisions | 2 | Database Setup - Design | 25 | Logout-Design | 15 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate Authorization Failure | 2 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Cookie/Token Success Case | 4 | Front End DAR - Revision | 3 | Cloud Hosting DAR Revisions | 2 | Database Setup - Implementation | 10 | Logout-Implementation | 20 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate View Load Failure | 2 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Error Cases | 4 | Registration Test Case Writeup | 5 | Account Deletion - Design(Sequence Diagram) | 5 | Database Setup - Testing | 10 | Logout-Testing | 5 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Failure | 2 | Authentication - Test Writeup | 3 | Registration - Implementation (backend) | 10 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend) | 10 | Database Setup - Documentation | 3 | Logout-Documentation | 3 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Totality Failure | 2 | Authentication - Backend | 6 | Registration - testing (nbackend) | 3 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend) | 5 | Database Setup - Test Case Write-up | 5 | Logout-Test Case Writeup | 5 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Timeout Failure | 2 | | | | | Account Deletion - Frontend Testing | 5 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View | 10 | | | | | Account Deletion - Backend Testing | 5 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View | 5 | | | | | Account Deletion - Documentation | 3 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Testing | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 35 | | 32 | | 36 | | 37 | | 53 | | 48 |
| Assigned Tasks | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate Success | 5 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Research Cookies and Token | 15 | Registration - Sequence Diagrams | 15 | Cloud Data Store DAR Revisions | 2 | Database Setup - Design | 25 | Logout-Design | 15 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate Authorization Failure | 2 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Cookie/Token Success Case | 4 | Front End DAR - Revision | 3 | Cloud Hosting DAR Revisions | 2 | Database Setup - Implementation | 10 | Logout-Implementation | 20 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - Navigate View Load Failure | 2 | Authentication - Sequence Diagrams - Error Cases | 4 | Registration Test Case Writeup | 5 | Account Deletion - Design(Sequence Diagram) | 5 | Database Setup - Testing | 10 | Logout-Testing | 5 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Failure | 2 | | | Registration - Implementation (backend) | 10 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend) | 10 | Database Setup - Documentation | 3 | Logout-Documentation | 3 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Totality Failure | 2 | | | Registration - testing (nbackend) | 3 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend) | 5 | Database Setup - Test Case Write-up | 5 | Logout-Test Case Writeup | 5 |
| | UAD - Design : Sequence Diagrams - KPI Refresh Timeout Failure | 2 | | | | | Account Deletion - Frontend Testing | 5 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View | 10 | | | | | Account Deletion - Backend Testing | 5 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View | 5 | | | | | Account Deletion - Documentation | 3 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Testing | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 35 | | 23 | | 36 | | 37 | | 53 | | 48 |
| Leftover Tasks | UAD - Backend Testing | 5 | Authentication - Test Writeup | 1.5 | Registration Test Case Writeup | 5 | Account Deletion - Coding, Implementation, testing | 8 | | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View | 10 | Authentication - Backend | 6 | Registration - Testing | 3 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----|--|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|----------|--|--|
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View | 2 | | | Registration - implementation (create account, confirm account) | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 17 | | | | | | 2 | | | 2 | | |
| Sprint 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jessie (J) | | Matthew (M) | | Pammy(P) | | Viet (V) | | Ian (I) | | Ryan (R) | | |
| Task Breakdown | UAD - Backend Testing | 5 | Authentication - Test Writeup - Request OTP | 1 | Registration Test Case Writeup | 5 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend) | 8 | Datastore Access - Design | 30 | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View | 10 | Authentication - Test Writeup - OTP | 2 | Registration - Testing | 3 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend) | 5 | Datastore Access - Implementation | 10 | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View | 2 | Authentication - Test Writeup - Verify Authentication | 1 | Registration - implementation (create account, confirm account) backend | 5 | Account Deletion - Frontend Testing | 3 | Datastore Access - Testing | 15 | | | |
| | UAD - Frontend Testing | 5 | Authentication - Backend Request OTP | 4 | Registration - implementation (front end) | 10 | Account Deletion - Backend Testing | 3 | Datastore Access - Documentation | 3 | | | |
| | UAD - Frontend Implementation | 5 | Authentication - Backend OTP | 5 | Recovery - Sequence Diagrams | 20 | Account Deletion - Documentation | 3 | Database Setup - Implementation - Ian's Tables | 2 | | | |
| | UAD - Documentation | 3 | Authentication - Backend Verify Authentication | 1 | Recovery - Implementation (backend) | 10 | Setting nodes private/public - Design (Sequence diagram) | 10 | Database Setup - Implementation - Ryan's Tables | 3 | | | |
| | Create Node - Sequence Diagrams | 20 | Authentication - Backend Testing - Request OTP | 3 | Registration - documentation | 3 | Setting nodes private/public - Backend | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication - Backend Testing - OTP | 4 | Registration - testing frontend | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication - Backend Testing - Verify Authentication | 1 | | | Setting nodes public/private - Design, Backend, Backend Testing, Frontend | 33 | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication - Frontend Request | 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication - Frontend Testing | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication Documentation | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PBKDF2 Frontend DAR | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total: | | 50 | | 50 | | 58 | | | | 58 | | | |
| Assigned Tasks | UAD - Backend Testing | 5 | Authentication - Test Writeup - Request OTP | 1 | Registration Test Case Writeup | 5 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Backend) | 8 | Datastore Access - Design | 30 | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Navigate View | 10 | Authentication - Test Writeup - OTP | 2 | Registration - Testing | 3 | Account Deletion - Implementation (Frontend) | 5 | Datastore Access - Implementation | 10 | | | |
| | UAD - Backend Implementation : Refresh View | 2 | Authentication - Test Writeup - Verify Authentication | 1 | Registration - implementation (create account, confirm account) backend | 5 | Account Deletion - Frontend Testing | 3 | Datastore Access - Testing | 15 | | | |
| | UAD - Frontend Testing | 5 | Authentication - Backend Request OTP | 4 | Registration - implementation (front end) | 10 | Account Deletion - Backend Testing | 3 | Datastore Access - Documentation | 3 | | | |
| | UAD - Frontend Implementation | 5 | Authentication - Backend OTP | 5 | Recovery - Sequence Diagrams | 20 | Account Deletion - Documentation | 3 | Database Setup - Implementation - Ian's Tables | 2 | | | |
| | UAD - Documentation | 3 | Authentication - Backend Verify Authentication | 1 | Recovery - Implementation (backend) | 10 | Setting nodes private/public - Design (Sequence diagram) | 10 | Database Setup - Implementation - Ryan's Tables | 3 | | | |
| | Create Node - Sequence Diagrams | 20 | Authentication - Backend Testing - Request OTP | 3 | Registration - documentation | 3 | Setting nodes private/public - Backend | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | Authentication - Backend Testing - OTP | 4 | Registration - testing frontend | 2 | | | | | | | |

[illegible]

| Sprint 5 | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | |
| What went well | Through feedback and office hours we were able to refine our Scrum process by being more detailed | We acted on some of the feedback on our Scrum, this can be seen in our new project sheets. | Our scrum process was much more refined than last time, thanks to the feedback provided in our last retrospective and in office hours. | We got a lot of feedback from office hours and improved how we performed scrum | |
| Issues | Low sprint capacities as well as unexpected interruptions from other classes | We were still missing some things from showing off our sprint planning process and everyone's capacities were quite low for this sprint. We did not have a set time for updating our burnup charts, which resulted in some misinterpreted charts and data. | Low sprint capacities made us not able to do much. We were pretty inconsistent with our burnup chart. | Some people were busy with classes (me included) so it made it hard to finish tasks that were assigned this sprint | |
| Improvements | Update scrums and burnup charts at 11pm everyday | We will have all of our burnup chart updates and Scrums posted by 11pm everyday. | Burnup charts and scrum will be required to be posted by 11 PM everyday | We now have a set time to update our scrums and burnup charts | |
| | | | | | |
| Sprint 6 | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | |
| What went well | More strict enforcement of Daily Scrum Logs and Burnup chart updates gave better insight into Team Progress | We improved our Sprint planning process by following all the steps that we discussed with the professor during office hours. This can be seen in our new Project Sheet Document. We improved the documenting of our daily Scrums and updating our Burnup Charts by setting a deadline of 11pm. | Burnup charts and scrum updated at 11pm every night. This in turn made our Project Sheet Document more accurate. | Our scrum process was more in line with what Professor had in mind | |
| Issues | Work capacities were fairly low due to other issues that had presented themselves | Despite getting everyone's initial capacities, issues arose that resulted in less work than predicted. | Low initial capacities and low morale. | Other classes started kicking in, and I had less time capacities | |
| Improvements | Team Lead will send reminders and a report of the daily performance | Everyday at the end of our daily meetings, the team leader will send out a notification in regards to everyone's daily performance, according to their burnup charts and daily Scrums, and what improvements they need to make in the remaining time of the sprint. | Team leader will send a notification on daily performance, reminders on burnup charts and daily scrums | Our team lead would give a daily performance report at the end of our scrums | |
| | | | | | |
| Sprint 7 | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | |
| What went well | The team was able to complete most of the work we had for this Sprint despite any issues that presented themselves | Despite the issues that we ran into, the team was able to mostly complete all of the work that we brought in for this sprint. We also made further adjustments to our project and sprint planning. | | Even though we ran into problems, the team as a whole was able to finish up a lot of the work that was assigned this sprint | |
| Issues | Timing with other class assignments created problems completing assigned work on time | At the start of the sprint, we were still unsure of what exactly we needed to be doing in order to work towards the completion of Milestone 3. Once again, we ran into slight issues with capacities due to outside factors such as other classes. Not everyone was complying with the deadlines for Scrums and Burnup chart updates. | Issues in understanding what was needed in low level design prevented us from really contributing much this sprint. In general, this is due to a lack of information on what is needed | I was behind on my backlog, and busy working on other classes, I did not get much done in my sprint | |
| Improvements | Improved task breakdown through discussion of work items and tasks during meetings to allow for better allocation of time thereby preventing any time creep | Team lead will send continue to send out notifications for posting Scrums and updating burnup charts by the designated time in addition to the notification regarding everyone's daily performance. Team lead will individually contact members who forget to post their Scrums and update burnup charts by the designated time. | Go to office hours and clarify everything that is needed | Our team leader will send out multiple notifications a day, more often after scrums for the team to update the burnup chart | |
| | | | | | |
| Sprint 8 | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | |
| What went well | Considering the fact that it was a break we were able to effectively plan and get to a decent amount of work | We were able to get an alright amount of work done, considering it was a break, and we also learned a lot about LL design from the bonus lecture. | Team made a more conscious effort to attend every office hours | I got an adequate amount of work during break, and learned sequence diagrams and how to create them. I was also able to hash out ideas with my teammates | |
| Issues | As it was break we admittedly did not get to complete as much as we would have liked to | It was a break week, so we weren't able to get as much done as we would have normally gotten done or planned to do. | Was not able to do much due to break | It was a sprint through break, so obviously we couldn't get that much work done but we did some good information and stuff done for milestone 3 | |
| Improvements | Be more aware of the amount of work that will get done during breaks and give more appropriate estimates and assignments of work | Take better consideration of the fact that breaks usually mean people will get less work done, so in the future we will apply a default deduction to everyone's expected capacity in order to provide ourselves a better buffer. | Take low capacities due to break. We need to take account to this otherwise we will assign work that cannot be done | don't try to assign too much work during a break, since we know not much work will get done. This will be different from Christmas break though, because we have free time and our main priority will be to get a headstart on the project. We will have sprints throughout break, but with reasonable capacities | |
| | | | | | |
| Sprint 9 | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|--|--|------|
| What went well | We were able to devote a good amount of time towards finishing the Milestone and Sprint putting in however many hours were necessary. | For the most part, the team was able to put in a lot of time in order to finish the Milestone. We were able to finish the design of all the Milestone items and code a majority of them. | We were able finish all the milestone documents as well as the sequence diagrams for the milestone. I think we got a good idea as a time how much effort will be needed to complete this project | We were able to get all the required documents and diagrams done for milestone 3 | | |
| Issues | Our work as not as complete as it could have been and there were some issues in our design that we were not aware of until after we had implemented it. | We had some issues with our diagrams that we only became aware of after actually coding. We did not have sufficient unit tests and not everything worked by the due date. Due to other finals, as well as personal reasons, capacity took a down turn during some moments. | We were not able to complete the work we assigned to us as our design had a lot of issues. We were not aware of the issues until after we implemented. These issues included libraries that pointed to each other | We underestimated issues in both design and coding and were rushed towards the end and our deliverable wasn't the as clean as it could have been | | |
| Improvements | As a team we realized how our capacities need to increase as more work in the future is going to require more dedication to the class. We will also spend more time on design as flawed designs will impact later work. | After having gone through the process of creating the Milestone 3 items, we are more aware of how much work we can expect in the future, so we will be able to give better estimates when the time comes. Put more time into design and researching technology so we can try and avoid running into similar issues and check with the professor more often about our designs. | As a team we realized that we will need to put more time into design as this is something that will have future consequences. Therefore we will be attending office hours more frequently to discuss our designs | We will ask Professor about how to streamline our breakdowns and design to not run into issues, and also perform breakdowns with a little bit more of a buffer in order to account for said issues | | |
| Sprint 10 | | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | | |
| What went well | We had fixed Milestone 3 Work Items as they were needed | We were able to make some of the necessary revisions to some Milestone 3 items. | Was able to make revisions to milestone 3 items. | We made considerable progress on our cloud technologies due to past work from group members and were able to create instances and databases | | |
| Issues | Since it was the first sprint after the end of the semester, team members had a fairly low capacity. | This was our first sprint after the end of the semester, so everyone was at a low capacity. | Reallyyyyy low capacity due to break. Not really an issue as we don't really have any work items beside next semesters planning | This was our sprint in winter break after taking time off, so all our capacities were low and we were rusty getting back into the process. | | |
| Improvements | We will change the sprint schedule so that it better fits team members' schedules as well as meeting times. | In our future sprints we will be upping our capacity so that once the semester starts, we will be good to go. We will also be trying out different sprint schedules and meeting times in preparation for the coming semester. | No improvements needed to be made | We will be reupping our capacities by at least 1/2 and review our past sprints in order to get back into the groove of things . | | |
| Sprint 11 | | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | | |
| What went well | The team was able to increase their sprint capacities and we did a good job at meeting those capacities | Almost everyone was able to up their capacity more and hit them. We were able to make good progress in revising more Milestone 3 items as well as working on finishing DARs. | Most of us were able to hit our sprint capacities which meant we were able to improve from our last sprint | We all increased our sprint capacity and were able to do much more work than our last sprint. | | |
| Issues | Although there was an increase, we were not yet at our desired sprint capacity that we would like to have seen for this semester | This was our second sprint after the end of the semester, so our capacities were still lower than what we wanted. | Some of us were sick as well as winding down from a semester so we weren't able to do much | We weren't at our optimal capacities during the school year, and I had contracted COVID and had to take care of family members, so I was not able to do as much work as I would have liked | | |
| Improvements | We will increase our sprint capacity that way we can have better alotment of tasks | We will continue to work on upping our capacity. | I don't think we have to improve much as our issues were due it being break as well as not really any work items to work on | Getting sick was unavoidable, but I will reestimate capacities. I also spent excess time on DARs, so I will send more frequent emails to clarify confusion instead of wasting time | | |
| Sprint 12 | | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | | |
| What went well | We were able to make the necessary adjustments and revisions to the Project Plan | We were able to finish making revisions to our project plan as needed. | We were able revise the project plan and BRD | Our team did well under pressure by revising the project plan in the short amount of time we were given, as we had to push many work items back and make space for new work items | | |
| Issues | Re-estimations of work items caused a realization of there being more work we need to do. Also being the first sprint of the semester, capacities were fairly low. | This was our first sprint of the semester, but our capacities were still a bit on the low side. Some of our items we realized would take longer than estimated, and that we also had to make changes to account for new information that the professor lectured on. | We had to split up the features among our group again as are group expanded. We were not really sure of what our estimations would look like. We also did not put in enough into our sprint capacity as we were still in winter break. | Certain things were rushed in the revision of the project plan because our BRD also had to be revised, and so our project plan estimation is not as accurate as it could have been. It was also our first sprint hitting the ground running since the break, so our capacities were suddenly spiked and it made it hard to hit said capacities. | | |
| Improvements | The team will continue increasing their sprint capacity to what we need it to be. We will also follow the Project Plan more closely that way we can be efficient in the work delegated to team members. | We will continue upping our capacity so that we can adhere as best we can to the project plan. We will try to estimate better how much work things should take, also taking into consideration what the professor might be lecturing on. | We will increase capacity as well as get a better feel of what the team can do for our estimations. | Improvements that could be made are to slowly ramp up capacities, because otherwise the sudden increase of capacities would be hard to hit. An improvement would be to add 1 or 2 hours of capacity everyday for the duration of the sprint, and depending on how those capacities are hit we can continue increasing. | | |
| Sprint 13 | | | | | | |
| | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | Ryan |
| What went well | Throughout this sprint I was able to have a much better scheduling of work due to the revised Project Plan that was much more detailed in the breakdowns as well as the reevaluations of work items. | During this sprint, I was able to get a solid understanding of the requirements for Authentication and what exactly needs to be done for this core component. Almost everyone went to most of the available office hours and were able to get a lot of questions answered and feedback from the professor. | I think I was able to really understand how much work will go into a single feature (planning, implementing, testing). Most of the team was able to meet daily as well as attend office hours. | Our team was able to get our cloud DARs approved, which were leftover from last sprint. Those were crucial because we need those technologies order to set up the database and VMs, and to store and deploy our application. I was also able to get a good understanding of account deletion and how to delete all references of the account by performing a stored procedure. | This is my first completed sprint with my new team. I understand the SCRUM methodology better than my previous attempt. I managed to get the tables and UML model. I managed to get the business rules and requirements from most of my teammates and managed to implement them in the tables. Getting used to the daily meetings and slowly getting used to the accountability was the best thing for me. | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|---|------|
| Issues | <p>This sprint I had issues with the sequence diagram designs for the Usage Analysis Dashboard as I was not necessarily clear on all aspects of the core component, in addition I was not sure how certain aspects of the design would be shown in the sequence diagrams. I was also not able to make it to office hours as much as I would have liked to, therefore any questions that I had about design had me blocked until I recieved help from teammates. Due to these issues I was not able to complete all assigned tasks in this sprint (testing writeup and backend implementation), therefore I must carry it over to the next sprint.</p> | <p>Because I did not have a solid grasp of what exactly was needed for Authentication and how to put the parts together, I spent a lot more time on research, diagraming, and getting feedback on the flow during office hours, which resulted in my being unable to complete the test writeup and backend code for Authentication. An issue that we had overall as a team was along the same lines. I would say that almost everyone needed to get more clarification on how best to design their component, which resulted in more time designing and researching, and less time implementing. These reasons are why some people were not able to finish the coding that we had previously planned to do. Another issue is that Ryan has not been attending meetings (the last meeting he attended was last Sunday, so an entire week ago), he has not attended almost any office hours, and he has not been keeping me updated on his work progress. In addition, he did not update his burn up charts with his daily work, nor did he provide his forecast burn for the sprint, which is why the burnup chart for this sprint is so messed up (forecast burn is lacking and overall team work is even lower with the addition of the previously mentioned issues)During one of the previous office hours, I talked with the professor on how to deal with the situation, and conveyed his words to Ryan, albeit over text since I was still unable to get him to meet with me.</p> | <p>I really underestimated my estimated hours that would be needed to design and implement the requirement feature. I spent most of my time designing and writing the DAR (understanding and testing). This left some work leftover for the next sprint that I will have to make up for. The rest of the team had the same issues with not allocating enough time to implement the project and spending more time on the design portion. We also had issues with a member not joining daily meetings as well as a general lack of communication.</p> | <p>I had remaining work from last sprint leftover, and that also seems to be the case for this sprint as well. The design portion of my core component took much more time than expected, so backend implementation has been pushed back to next sprint. That caused me to miss my points target by a lot since i wasn't able to get to backend implementation. I also had to spend almost half of my sprint finishing the cloud DARs, as creating and estimating metrics took longer than expected. I prioritized the DARs since they were leftover from last sprint, but that caused the chain effect of me now pushing back this sprint's work to next sprint, and so on. The design for the account deletion also came along slow because I was only able to make half of the office hours meeting this sprint due to other matters.</p> | <p>Despite my successes, I need to catch up with the ASP.NET framework. I need to read up on it to prepare for my feature. Since I joined this team, I spent a significant portion of the sprint understanding what all the components of the application do. I had to spend time with my teammates going over what they need for their feature. Communications with my teammates was not perfect, especially those who do not communicate readily. Part of creating the tables was hampered because I was not aware of one of my teammates work schedule rendered him unavailable for the majority of the week. I also overestimated how much I could do for the two week period of the sprint. I also had a slow start which meant for me more cramming in the second week of the sprint.</p> | |
| Improvements | <p>In the future, I will try and attend more office hours with presentable work so that I may recieve feedback and answers to any questions that I may have that are causing me to be blocked in my work.</p> | <p>In the future, I will be allocating even more time to research and design as when I am able to fully understand what needs to be done, I am able to create a design that is easily convertible to code and ultimately spend less time coding. I will also be trying to attend every office hours and work to have something to show at each in order to get further feedback so that I can keep constant track of my progress. We will be discussing Ryan's situation with the professor during Monday's team review.</p> | <p>I will estimate more hours that will be needed. I've allocated more time in my personal life to work on my estimated work items. As such, I've increased my capacity for the next sprint.</p> | <p>In the future, I will do my best to attend all office hours, and also come with a draft and questions, because not only will I get feedback on my draft, but it will also branch off into other related topics or details which were not considered before. I will also be dedicating much more time on design with the professor and my team in order to quickly finalize design to code things up.</p> | <p>I will attend more office hours with questions. I will prioritize the task items in the sprint more in the early days of the sprint. I will also read more into ASP.NET and get myself up to speed.</p> | |
| Sprint 13 | | | | | | |
| What went well | Jessie | Matthew | Pammy | Viet | Ian Ho-Sing-Loy | Ryan |
| Issues | | | | | | |
| Improvements | | | | | | |