

More Sorting

Terminology &c.


Stable vs. Unstable ...vs. anti-stable.



Stable Preserves Order of "Equal" Els



Sort by role (stable):




Harry and Hermione in original order



Unstable *Might Not* Preserve Order of "Equal" Els



Sort by role (unstable):



OR



Harry and Hermione in different order



Anti-Stable *Always Switches* Order of "Equal" Els



Sort by role (anti-stable):



Harry and Hermione in different order

Sorting Stability: (Some) Examples

Stable	Unstable*
Bubble	Quick†
Merge	Heap
Insertion	Selection
Bucket	Shell

* Any sort can be made stable with $O(n)$ extra space

† If implemented in a standard way

WHAT ABOUT JS?

ES `.sort` is *not required* to be stable.

V8 `.sort` is unstable.

SpiderMonkey is **stable**.

In-Place

In-Place & In-Place Sorting

- An in-place algorithm uses only a *small, constant* amount of extra space ($O(1)$ space complexity) to achieve its goal

```
function sumArray (arr) {  
  return arr.reduce(function (sum, el) { return sum + el; });  
}
```

- As a *consequence* (but not summary!) of this definition, in-place *sorting* algorithms **mutate the input array**
 - This is intuitive; any sort that doesn't mutate the array must copy it, and if it copies the array then it has minimum $O(n)$ space complexity.

Sorting Memory: (Some) Examples

In-Place ($O(1)$)

Not In-Place

Bubble

Merge: $O(n)$

Heap

Quick: $O(\log(n) \mid n)$

Insertion

Tim: $O(n)$

Shell

Cube: $O(n)$

WHAT ABOUT JS?

ES *doesn't require* `.sort` to be in-place.
But it *does require* it to mutate the array.

V8 `.sort` is *not* in-place.
But it *does* mutate the array.

(Note: many programmers misuse "in-place" to mean "mutates the array")

JavaScript Native Sort Summary

- **ECMAScript**

- Must **mutate** input array
- *Not required* to be **stable** (though it is allowed)
- *Not required* to be **in-place** (though it is allowed)
- Takes an optional comparator function which returns negative, 0, or positive num

- **V8 (Node, Chrome — but not other browsers)**

- Hybrid approach — source code here
 - Insertion sort for small arrays (< 11)
 - Quicksort for larger arrays
- **Unstable**
- **Not in-place** (but does **mutate** array!)



Bubble vs. Merge Sort, One More Time

	Bubble	Merge
Time Complexity	$O(n^2)$	$O(n \cdot \log(n))$
Space Complexity / In-Place	$O(1) \rightarrow \text{Yes}$	$O(n) \rightarrow \text{no}$
Stable	Yes	Yes

Other Sorting Considerations

- ◎ **Some sorts are far better or far worse when data is:**
 - Random
 - Nearly / already sorted
 - Backwards
 - Duplicated
- ◎ **Some sorts are significantly faster in the average case**
 - Quicksort is $O(n^2)$ *worst-case*, yet is often preferred over merge sort ($O(n \cdot \log(n))$) because it can be implemented with less memory and faster *average* (i.e. typical) time!
- ◎ [Click here for animations](#)