

# More Sorting

---

*Terminology &c.*

# Stable vs. Unstable

...vs. anti-stable.



# Stable Preserves Order of "Equal" Els

**name: Harry  
role: student**

**name: McGonagall  
role: professor**

**name: Hermione  
role: student**

**Sort by role (stable):**

**name: McGonagall  
role: professor**

**name: Harry  
role: student**

**name: Hermione  
role: student**


**Harry and Hermione in original order**



# Unstable Might Not Preserve Order of "Equal" Els



**Sort by role (unstable):**



**OR**



**Harry and Hermione in different order**



# Anti-Stable Always Switches Order of "Equal" Els

**name: Harry  
role: student**

**name: McGonagall  
role: professor**

**name: Hermione  
role: student**

**Sort by role (anti-stable):**

**name: McGonagall  
role: professor**

**name: Hermione  
role: student**

**name: Harry  
role: student**

**Harry and Hermione in different order**

# Sorting Stability: (Some) Examples

**Stable**

**Unstable\***

**Bubble**

**Quick†**

**Merge**

**Heap**

**Insertion**

**Selection**

**Bucket**

**Shell**

\* Any sort can be made stable with  $O(n)$  extra space

† If implemented in a standard way

WHAT ABOUT JS ?

**ES `sort` is *not required* to be stable.**

**V8 `sort` is unstable.**  
**SpiderMonkey is stable.**

# In-Place

# In-Place & In-Place Sorting

- An in-place algorithm uses only a *small, constant* amount of extra space ( $O(1)$  space complexity) to achieve its goal

```
function sumArray (arr) {  
    return arr.reduce(function (sum, el) { return sum + el; });  
}
```

- As a **consequence** (but not summary!) of this definition, in-place sorting algorithms **mutate the input array**
  - This is intuitive; any sort that doesn't mutate the array must copy it, and if it copies the array then it has minimum  $O(n)$  space complexity.

# Sorting Memory: (Some) Examples

In-Place ( $O(1)$ )

Not In-Place

Bubble

Merge:  $O(n)$

Heap

Quick:  $O(\log(n))$  |  $n$

Insertion

Tim:  $O(n)$

Shell

Cube:  $O(n)$

WHAT ABOUT JS ?

**ES *doesn't require* .sort to be in-place.**  
**But it *does require* it to mutate the array.**

**V8 .sort is *not* in-place.**  
**But it *does* mutate the array.**

*(Note: many programmers misuse "in-place" to mean "mutates the array")*

# JavaScript Native Sort Summary

- **ECMAScript**

- Must mutate input array
- *Not required* to be **stable** (though it is allowed)
- *Not required* to be **in-place** (though it is allowed)
- Takes an optional comparator function which returns negative, 0, or positive num

- **V8 (Node, Chrome — but not other browsers)**

- Hybrid approach — source code here
  - Insertion sort for small arrays (< 11)
  - Quicksort for larger arrays
- **Unstable**
- **Not in-place** (but does **mutate** array!)



# Bubble vs. Merge Sort, One More Time

	Bubble	Merge
Time Complexity	$O(n^2)$	$O(n \cdot \log(n))$
Space Complexity / In-Place	$O(1) \rightarrow \text{Yes}$	$O(n) \rightarrow \text{no}$
Stable	Yes	Yes

# Other Sorting Considerations

- Some sorts are far better or far worse when data is:
  - Random
  - Nearly / already sorted
  - Backwards
  - Duplicated
- Some sorts are significantly faster in the average case
  - Quicksort is  $O(n^2)$  worst-case, yet is often preferred over merge sort ( $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ ) because it can be implemented with less memory and faster average (i.e. typical) time!
- [\*\*Click here for animations\*\*](#)