- 1. Drew Sadler
- 2. (For a size of 10)

[asadler1@hopper3 Studio_14]\$./mpthread

Thread number: 3
Thread number: 6
Thread number: 2
Thread number: 5
Thread number: 4
Thread number: 8
Thread number: 1
Thread number: 7
Thread number: 9
Thread number: 0

3. [asadler1@hopper3 Studio_14]\$./mpthread

Thread number: 20

4. [asadler1@hopper3 Studio_14]\$./mpthread

Thread number: 1
Thread number: 0
Thread number: 0
Thread number: 3
Thread number: 3
Thread number: 4
Thread number: 4
Thread number: 2
Thread number: 2

- 5. Each thread handles 5 iterations then changes to a different number
- 6. It could be a bad idea due to if you had a program where a thread were able to complete the iteration faster than the other, maybe not needing as many threads assigned to it, so that it was wasted on a simpler iteration that could have been used more efficiently elsewhere
- 7. Hypothesis: I think the program overall will take 2 seconds to compile since the threads that aren't asleep should be able to complete their iterations faster, so the only thing we would wait on is the 1 seconds sleep.

Time with sleep: 1648245270

Time no sleep: 1648245389

Showing the hypothesis was wrong and actually took 119 seconds longer with the wait on certain threads

- 8. 1648245569 which is 180 seconds faster than the program with no sleep now!
- 9. Now the program schedules all of the iterations to one thread (3) until 21 iterations later and runs through the remaining 0,4,2, and 1 when reaching the last 4 iterations effectively making it only have to sleep for 1 iteration rather than 5
- 10. The least amount of times a certain thread number should appear in the iterations given, since when i changed it to 5, 5 appeared of each number. But when changed to 3, a lot more than 3 appeared for some but I noticed it was the minimum number of times some of the threads did appear