

## PHY604: homework 1

2025-09-17

**1** *understanding round-off error (no program required)*

Consider a quadratic equation of the form  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ . The two solutions of this are:

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

- 1(a)** Explain how this expression may be problematic with respect to roundoff errors if  $b$  is much larger than  $a$  and  $c$ . Recall that such errors often occur when subtracting close large numbers.

- 1(b)** Provide an alternative expression that will have smaller errors in the situation you describe in (a).

**2** *round-off error and accurate calculation of the exponential series*

Consider the series expansion for an exponential function:

$$e^x \approx S_n(x) := 1 + \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

- 2(a)** Write a program that computes the exponential function using this series expansion for a given number of terms  $n$ .

- 1(b)** For  $n$  ranging between 0 and 100, compare the result with the exponent calculated with a built-in function or function from a numerical library (e.g. `numpy.exp`) in the following way. Plot the error defined by

$$\epsilon_n := \frac{|e^x - S_n(x)|}{e^x}$$

on a log-log plot for a large positive and large negative exponent (e.g.,  $x = 20$  and  $x = -20$ ). Describe what you see.

- 1(c)** Consider the following (trivial) equality:  $e^{-x} = (e^{-1})^x$ . Write a program that utilizes this equality to get a more accurate series expansion for large negative exponents. Plot  $\epsilon_n$  on a log-log plot to demonstrate that you have achieved this.

**3** *errors in numerical differentiation*

Calculate the derivative of the function  $f(x) = \sin x$  at the point  $x = \pi/4$  using the first-order forward difference. Plot on a log-log plot the error with respect to the analytical derivative for a wide range of  $\Delta x$ . Describe the behavior you see (especially for very small  $\Delta x$ ) and the reason for the trends. How does it change if you use a second-order central difference? How about a fourth-order central difference?

#### 4 comparing methods of integration

Consider the variable

$$I = \int_0^1 (\sin \sqrt{100x})^2 dx$$

4(a) Plot the integrand over the range of the integral.

4(b) Write a program that uses the *adaptive trapezoid rule* to calculate the integral to an approximate accuracy of  $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ , using the following procedure. Start with the trapezoid rule using a single subinterval. Double the number of subintervals and recalculate the integral. Continue to double the number of subintervals until the error is less than  $10^{-6}$ . Recall that the error is given by  $\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{3}(I_i - I_{i-1})$  where the number of subintervals  $N_i$  used to calculate  $I_i$  is twice that used to calculate  $I_{i-1}$ . To make your implementation more efficient, use the fact that

$$I_i = \frac{1}{2}I_{i-1} + h_i \sum_k f(a + kh_i)$$

where  $h_i$  is the width of the subinterval for the  $i$ th iteration, and  $k$  runs over *odd numbers* from 1 to  $N_i - 1$ .

4(c) Write a separate program that uses *Romberg integration* to solve the integral, also to an accuracy of  $10^{-6}$  using the following procedure. First calculate the integral with the trapezoid rule for 1 subinterval (as you did in part (b)); we will refer to this as step  $i = 1$ , and the result as  $I_1 = R_{1,1}$ . Then calculate  $I_2 = R_{2,1}$  using 2 subintervals. Using these two results, we can construct an improved estimate of the integral as:  $R_{2,2} = R_{2,1} + \frac{1}{3}(R_{2,1} - R_{1,1})$ . In general

$$R_{i,m+1} = R_{i,m} + \frac{1}{4^m - 1}(R_{i,m} - R_{i-1,m}).$$

Therefore, for each iteration  $i$  (where we double the number of subintervals), we can obtain improved approximations up to  $m = i - 1$  with very minor extra work. For each  $i$  and  $m$ , we can calculate the error at previous steps as

$$\epsilon_{i,m} = \frac{1}{4^m - 1}(R_{i,m} - R_{i-1,m}).$$

Use these two equations to iterate until the error in  $R_{i,i}$  is less than  $10^{-6}$ . How significant is the improvement with respect to number of subintervals necessary compared to the approach of part (b)?

- 4(d) Use the Gauss–Legendre approach to calculate the integral. What order (i.e., how many points) do you need to obtain an accuracy below  $10^{-6}$ ? You can find tabulated weights and points online.

## 5 *integration to $\infty$*

Consider the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

We want to evaluate this numerically, and we will focus on  $a > 1$ . Consider a variable transformation of the form:

$$z = \frac{x}{x+c}.$$

This will map  $0 \leq x < \infty$  to  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ , allowing us to do this integral numerically in terms of  $z$ . For convenience, we express the integrand as  $\phi(x) = x^{a-1} e^{-x}$ .

- 5(a) Plot  $\phi(x)$  for  $a \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ .

- 5(b) For what value of  $x$  is the integrand  $\phi(x)$  maximum?

- 5(c) Choose the value  $c$  in our transformation such that the peak of the integrand occurs at  $z = 1/2$ . What value is  $c$ ?

This choice spreads the interesting regions of integrand over the domain  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ , making our numerical integration more accurate.

- 5(d) Find  $\Gamma(a)$  for a few different values of  $a > 1$  using any numerical integration method you wish, integrating from  $z = 0$  to  $z = 1$ . Keep the number of points in your quadrature to a reasonable amount ( $N \leq 50$ ).

Don't forget to include the factors you pick up when changing  $dx$  to  $dz$ .

Note that roundoff error may come into play in the integrand. Recognizing that you can write  $x^{a-1} = e^{(a-1)\ln x}$  can help minimize this.