# CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

# **Normal Forms : CNF Validity**

Let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_n$  be in CNF.

- ▶ Checking if  $\varphi$  is satisfiable is NP-complete.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Checking if  $\varphi$  is valid is polynomial time. Why?
- Question raised in class: If validity check is polynomial time, so should be satisfiability. Is this true?
- If  $\varphi$  is valid, it is indeed satisfiable
- If  $\varphi$  is not valid, then...?

## **Normal Forms: DNF Satisfiability**

Let  $\varphi = D_1 \vee D_2 \vee \cdots \vee D_n$  be in DNF.

- ▶ Checking if  $\varphi$  is valid is NP-complete. Why?
- ▶ Checking if  $\varphi$  is satisfiable is polynomial time. Why?

## **Normal Forms from Truth Tables**

Assume you are given the truth table of a formula  $\varphi$ . Then it is very easy to obtain the equivalent CNF/DNF of  $\varphi$ .

## **Normal Forms from Truth Tables**

Assume you are given the truth table of a formula  $\varphi$ . Then it is very easy to obtain the equivalent CNF/DNF of  $\varphi$ .

- ▶ Consider for example  $\varphi = \mathbf{p} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}$ .
- ▶ Truth table of  $\varphi$  :  $\varphi$  is false when p = T, q = F and p = F, q = T.

## **Normal Forms from Truth Tables**

Assume you are given the truth table of a formula  $\varphi$ . Then it is very easy to obtain the equivalent CNF/DNF of  $\varphi$ .

- ▶ Consider for example  $\varphi = \mathbf{p} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}$ .
- ▶ Truth table of  $\varphi$  :  $\varphi$  is false when p = T, q = F and p = F, q = T.
- ▶ CNF equivalent is  $(\neg p \lor q) \land (p \lor \neg q)$ .

- ▶ What is the equivalent DNF formula?

$$\varphi = (p_1 \vee q_1) \wedge (p_2 \vee q_2) \wedge \dots (p_n \vee q_n)$$

▶ What is the equivalent DNF formula?

$$arphi' = \bigvee_{S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}} (\bigwedge_{i \in S} p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i \notin S} q_i)$$

- What is the equivalent DNF formula?

•

$$arphi' = \bigvee_{S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}} (\bigwedge_{i \in S} p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i \notin S} q_i)$$

▶ Prove that any equivalent DNF formula has 2<sup>n</sup> clauses

- What is the equivalent DNF formula?

$$arphi' = igvee_{\mathcal{S} \subset \{1,...,n\}} (igwedge_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_i \wedge igwedge_{i 
otin \mathcal{S}} q_i)$$

- ▶ Prove that any equivalent DNF formula has 2<sup>n</sup> clauses
- ▶ Call an assignment *minimal* if it maps exactly one of  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$  to 1

- What is the equivalent DNF formula?

$$arphi' = igvee_{S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}} (igwedge_{i \in S} p_i \wedge igwedge_{i 
otin S} q_i)$$

- ▶ Prove that any equivalent DNF formula has 2<sup>n</sup> clauses
- ▶ Call an assignment *minimal* if it maps exactly one of  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$  to 1
- ▶ There are  $2^n$  minimal assignments, satisfying clauses in  $\varphi'$  ✓
- Show that no two *minimal* assignments satisfy the same clause of  $\varphi'$  (hence there must be  $2^n$  clauses in  $\varphi'$ )

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two minimal assignments such that  $\alpha(p_i) \neq \beta(p_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ 

- Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two minimal assignments such that  $\alpha(p_i) \neq \beta(p_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$
- ▶ Define a new assignment  $\min(\alpha, \beta)$  as a pointwise min of  $\alpha, \beta$
- **∂** =  $\min(\alpha, \beta)(p) = \min(\alpha(p), \beta(p))$  for each variable p with the assumption that 0 < 1, 0 represents false and 1 represents true

- Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two minimal assignments such that  $\alpha(p_i) \neq \beta(p_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$
- ▶ Define a new assignment  $\min(\alpha, \beta)$  as a pointwise min of  $\alpha, \beta$
- ▶  $\min(\alpha, \beta)(p) = \min(\alpha(p), \beta(p))$  for each variable p with the assumption that 0 < 1, 0 represents false and 1 represents true
- $\blacktriangleright \min(\alpha,\beta) \nvDash p_i \vee q_i, \min(\alpha,\beta) \nvDash \varphi'$

- Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two minimal assignments such that  $\alpha(p_i) \neq \beta(p_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$
- ▶ Define a new assignment  $\min(\alpha, \beta)$  as a pointwise min of  $\alpha, \beta$
- ▶  $\min(\alpha, \beta)(p) = \min(\alpha(p), \beta(p))$  for each variable p with the assumption that 0 < 1, 0 represents false and 1 represents true
- $\blacktriangleright \min(\alpha,\beta) \nvDash p_i \vee q_i, \min(\alpha,\beta) \nvDash \varphi'$
- ▶ However, if  $\alpha \models D_j$  and  $\beta \models D_j$  for some clause  $D_j$  of  $\varphi'$ , then  $\min(\alpha, \beta) \models D_j$  and hence  $\min(\alpha, \beta) \models \varphi'$ , a contradiction.

Think of an example where DNF to CNF explodes.