Binary Renyi Correlation A simpler proof of Witsenhausen's result and a tighter upper bound

1 Renyi Correlation

Definition 1. Let $(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)$ be a pair of dependent RV's. Then the Renyi Correlation $\rho(X,Y)$ is defined as

$$\rho(X,Y) = \max_{f(x),g(y)} Ef(X)g(Y)$$
subject to
$$Ef(X) = Eg(Y) = 0$$

$$Ef(X)^2 = Eg(Y)^2 = 1$$

where f(x) and g(y) are functions of x and y respectively.

Lemma 1. Let $(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)$. Let f(x), g(y) be arbitrary functions. Let $\rho = \rho(X,Y)$ be the Renyi Correlation. Then

$$E_{XY}f(X)g(Y) \le E_X f(X)E_Y g(Y) + \rho \sqrt{Var_X f(X)} \sqrt{Var_Y g(Y)}$$
 (1)

$$E_{XY}f(X)g(Y) \le \sqrt{E_X f(X)^2} \sqrt{E_Y g(Y)^2}$$
 (2)

Proof. The first inequality should be clear from the definition of Correlation of 2 real-valued random variables and the fact that Renyi correlation is the maximum correlation.

The second inquality is simply cauchy-schwarts inequality.

In fact, with some work, we can prove

$$E_{XY}f(X)g(Y) \le E_X f(X)E_Y g(Y) + \rho \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_X f(X)} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_Y g(Y)}$$

$$\le \sqrt{E_X f(X)^2} \sqrt{E_Y g(Y)^2}$$

All three are equal when f(x), g(y) are constants.

2 Witsenhausen's Theorem

Theorem 1. Let $(X_1, Y_1) \sim p_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $(X_2, Y_2) \sim p_2(x_2, y_2)$ be independent pairs of RVs. Then, $\rho(X_1X_2, Y_1Y_2) = \max(\rho(X_1, Y_1), \rho(X_2, Y_2))$.

Proof. Let
$$\rho(X_1, Y_1) = \rho_1$$
, $\rho(X_2, Y_2) = \rho_2$.

Let $f(x_1, x_2), g(y_1, y_2)$ be any 2 functions.

Then,

$$\begin{split} Ef(X_1,X_2)g(Y_1,Y_2) &= E_{X_1Y_1}E_{X_2Y_2}f(X_1,X_2)g(Y_1,Y_2) \\ &\leq E_{X_1Y_1}E_{X_2}f(X_1,X_2)E_{Y_2}g(Y_1,Y_2) \\ &+ \rho_2 E_{X_1Y_1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{X_2}f(X_1,X_2)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{Y_2}g(Y_1,Y_2)} \\ &\qquad \qquad \text{(by equn. (1))} \end{split}$$

The first term,

$$E_{X_{1}Y_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})$$

$$\leq E_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})E_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})$$

$$+ \rho_{1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}$$
(by equn. (1))
$$= \rho_{1}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}$$
(Due to zero mean constraints on f and g)

The second term,

$$\rho_{2}E_{X_{1}Y_{1}}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}$$

$$\leq \rho_{2}\sqrt{E_{X_{1}}\operatorname{Var}_{X_{2}}f(X_{1}, X_{2})}\sqrt{E_{Y_{1}}\operatorname{Var}_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}$$
(by equn. (2))

Back to the original chain of inequalities,

$$\begin{split} &Ef(X_{1},X_{2})g(Y_{1},Y_{2})\\ &\leq E_{X_{1}Y_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})\\ &+ \rho_{2}E_{X_{1}Y_{1}}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\\ &\leq \rho_{1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\\ &+ \rho_{2}\sqrt{E_{X_{1}}\mathrm{Var}_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{E_{Y_{1}}\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\\ &\leq \max(\rho_{1},\rho_{2})\left[\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\right]\\ &\leq \max(\rho_{1},\rho_{2})\left[\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}+E_{X_{1}}\mathrm{Var}_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})\right]\\ &\left[\sqrt{E_{Y_{1}}\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}+\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\right]\\ &(\mathrm{By}\ \mathrm{Cauchy}\ \mathrm{Schwarts}\ \mathrm{inequality})\\ &=\max(\rho_{1},\rho_{2})\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})}\\ &(\mathrm{By}\ \mathrm{law}\ \mathrm{of}\ \mathrm{variance})\\ &=\max(\rho_{1},\rho_{2})\end{split}$$

3 Binary Renyi Correlation

Definition 2. Let $(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)$ be a pair of dependent RV's. Then the Binary Renyi Correlation $\rho_b(X,Y)$ is defined as

$$\rho_b(X,Y) = \max_{f(x),g(y)} Ef(X)g(Y)$$
subject to
$$Ef(X) = Eg(Y) = 0$$

$$Ef(X)^2 = Eg(Y)^2 = 1$$

$$f(x), g(y) \ each \ take \ atmost \ 2 \ distinct \ values.$$

where f(x) and g(y) are functions of x and y respectively.

Example: $f(x) \in \{-2, 3\}, g(y) \in \{2.2, -0.86\}$ is permissible in the optimisation.

Lemma 2. Let $(X_1, Y_1) \sim p_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $(X_2, Y_2) \sim p_2(x_2, y_2)$ be independent pairs of RVs. Then,

$$\rho_b(X_1X_2, Y_1Y_2) \le \max(\rho(X_1, Y_1), \rho_b(X_2, Y_2))$$

Proof. We take a moment to look at the proof of theorem 1. In the above proof, if we were to consider Binary Renyi Correlation $\rho_b(X_1X_2, Y_1Y_2)$, we see that we can readily replace ρ_2 by $\rho_{2b} = \rho_b(X_2, Y_2)$. It is not clear at the moment whether one can replace ρ_1 by ρ_{1b} , so we retain ρ_1 .

Definition 3. Stochastic Binary Renyi Correlation: The Stochastic Binary Renyi Correlation $\rho_{bs}(X,Y)$ is defined similar to Binary Renyi Correlation $\rho_{b}(X,Y)$ except that the functions f and g are allowed to be stochastic, i.e. f(X) is replaced by $f(L_X,X)$ where L_X is local randomness at node X. Similarly, g(Y) is replaced by $g(L_Y,Y)$.

Lemma 3.

$$\rho_{bs}(X,Y) = \rho_b(X,Y)$$

Proof.

$$\rho_{bs}(X,Y) = \rho_b(L_X X, L_Y Y)$$

$$\leq \max(\rho(L_X, L_Y), \rho_b(X, Y))$$

$$= \rho_b(X, Y)$$

The last step follows from Witsenhausen's result and the fact that renyi correlation is 0 for independent RVs. The reverse inequality $\rho \leq \rho_s$ is obvious since deterministic functions are a subset of stochastic functions.

Thus whenever we consider Renyi Correlation, we consider only deterministic functions and not stochastic functions.

Theorem 2. Let $(X_1, Y_1) \sim p_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $(X_2, Y_2) \sim p_2(x_2, y_2)$ be independent pairs of RVs. Then,

$$\rho_b(X_1X_2, Y_1Y_2) \le \max(\rho_b(X_1, Y_1), \rho_b(X_2, Y_2))$$

Proof. In the proof of theorem 1, we proved the inequality chain

$$\begin{split} E_{X_{1}Y_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2}) \\ &\leq E_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})E_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2}) \\ &+ \rho_{1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})} \\ & \text{(by equn. (1))} \\ &= \rho_{1}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{X_{1}}E_{X_{2}}f(X_{1},X_{2})}\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}_{Y_{1}}E_{Y_{2}}g(Y_{1},Y_{2})} \\ & \text{(Due to zero mean constraints on f and g)} \end{split}$$

We note that the first term here depends only on $p(x_1, y_1)p_{X_2}(x_2)p_{Y_2}(y_2)$. Thus the dependence is only w.r.t the marginals of $p(x_2, y_2)$. Therefore, (X_2, Y_2) can be replaced by local randomness. An appeal to Lemma 3 tells us that we can replace ρ_1 by ρ_{1b} . We already saw in Lemma 2 that ρ_2 can be replaced by ρ_{2b} . This completes the proof.

4 Comments

- 1. We proved that stochastic binary renyi correlation is no better than deterministic binary renyi correlation. An analogous result can be proved for stochastic renyi correlation vs. deterministic renyi correlation.
- 2. Witsenhausen's upper bound on error probability of $\frac{1-\rho}{2}$ can be replaced by $\frac{1-\rho_b}{2}$.
- 3. The above proof carries over for n-ary Renyi Correlation in a straightforward way.