

HW2 - Dror Ettlinger - Levy

- ① Iterative techniques are more efficient for large systems, uses less memory because they don't use storing the full matrix.

Iterative techniques also work well with matrices with a lot of zeros.

$$\textcircled{2} \begin{cases} 17x_1 - 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 500 \\ -5x_1 + 21x_2 - 2x_3 = 200 \\ -5x_1 + 5x_2 + 22x_3 = 30 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{500 + 2x_2 + 3x_3}{17} \\ x_2 = \frac{200 + 5x_1 + 2x_3}{21} \\ x_3 = \frac{30 + 5x_1 + 5x_2}{22} \end{cases}$$

		<u>Iterations</u>
	0	1
x_1	0	$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{500 + 0 + 3 \cdot 0}{17} = 29.41$
x_2	0	$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{200 + 5 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 0}{21} = 9.52$
x_3	0	$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{30 + 5 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 0}{22} = 1.36$

Iteration 2

$$x_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{17} (500 + 2 \cdot 9.52 + 3 \cdot 1.36) = 30.77$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{21} (200 + 5 \cdot 29.41 + 2 \cdot 1.36) = 16.65$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{22} (30 + 5 \cdot 29.41 + 5 \cdot 9.52) = 10.21$$

iterations

$$x_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{17} (500 + 2 \cdot 16.65 + 3 \cdot 10.21) = \boxed{33.77}$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{21} (200 + 5 \cdot 30.77 + 2 \cdot 10.21) = \boxed{17.82}$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{22} (30 + 5 \cdot 30.77 + 5 \cdot 16.65) = \boxed{12.14}$$

the condition to convert is if the system is dominated by it main variable \rightarrow the absolute value of a number in front of the variable must be bigger than the sum of the absolute values in the row.

like:

$$|17| > |2| \quad \text{and}$$

$$|21| > |5|$$

$$\textcircled{3} \begin{cases} -5x_1 + 12x_3 = 80 \\ 4x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = -2 \\ 6x_1 + 8x_2 = 45 \end{cases}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \rightarrow x_3 = \frac{80 + 5x_1}{12}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{-12x_3 + 80}{-5}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{-2 + x_3 - 4x_1}{-1}$$

$x_3 =$ directly from x_1

iteration 0 $\rightarrow x_1 = 0 \quad x_2 = 0 \quad x_3 = 0$

③

iteration 1

$$x_1 = \frac{12 \cdot 0 + 80}{-5} = -16$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{-2 + 0 - 4 \cdot (-16)}{-1} = -62$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{80 + 5 \cdot (-16)}{12} = 0$$

Iteration 2

$$x_1^{(2)} = \frac{-12 \cdot 0 + 80}{-5} = -16$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = \frac{-2 + 0 - 4 \cdot f(0)}{-1} = -62$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{80 + 5 \cdot (-16)}{12} = 0$$

also for iteration 3 --

differences:

jacobi	updates variables simultaneously
Gauss-Seidel	updates variables immediately

Advantages: Jacobi easy for parallel
Gauss-Seidel converges faster

Disadvantages: Jacobi slower
Gauss-Seidel can be less stable compared to Jacobi
(like in the exercise)

- 2) a) SOR (successive over relaxation) is like Gauss-Seidel method for solving linear system equations, but faster.
- b) it adds a relaxation factor w to adjust the solution for each step.
 - c) it is advised to use for when Gauss-Seidel is working but slowly.
 - d) it is Not always better, if w is picked wrong so it can be unstable and slow.