# **Accounting System**

# **Group ID:** 5 **Student Details:**

 Jay Kothari
 202012017

 Aaryan Gambhir
 202012018

 Dharmik Dave
 202012019

 Twesha Satia
 202012020

 Drumil Shah
 202018009

 Preet Patel
 202018010

Lab: Lab 11

Functional dependencies, identify the normal form and normalize the relations if they are not in BCNF form.

#### 1. RealAccount

	RealAccount	
FK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)

# Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID }

## **Functional Dependencies:-**

1. AccountID → AccountID

## Verify condition of normal forms:-

The table has only one column hence it satisfies BCNF form.

#### 2. NominalAccount

NominalAccount		
FK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)

# Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID }

#### **Functional Dependencies:-**

1. AccountID → AccountID

# Verify condition of normal forms:-

The table has only one column hence it satisfies BCNF form.

#### 3. PersonalAccount Email

PersonalAccount_Email		
FK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)
	<u>EmailAddress</u>	Varchar(100)

## Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID, EmailAddress }

#### **Functional Dependencies:-**

1. { AccountID, EmailAddress } → { AccountID, EmailAddress }

#### **Verifying Condition of different Normal Forms:-**

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies  $2^{nd}$  NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 4. PersonalAccount\_Contact

PersonalAccount_ContactNumber		
FK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)
	ContactNumber	numeric

## Candidate Key:-

{ ContactNumber }

## **Functional Dependencies:-**

2. { ContactNumber }  $\rightarrow$  { AccountID }

## **Verifying Condition of different Normal Forms:-**

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies  $3^{rd}$  NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 5. AccountGroup

Account Group		
PK [Not Null]	<u>AccountGroupID</u>	varchar(20)
	Header	boolean
	ShowIn	enum
	Name	Varchar(50)
FK [Not Null]	CompanyID	varchar(20)

## Candidate key:-

1. { AccountGroupID }

#### Functional dependencies:-

 { AccountGroupID } → { AccountGroupID, Header, ShowIn, Name, CompanyID }

# Verify normal form conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 6. Account

Account		
PK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)
	AccountName	Varchar(50)
	Description	Varchar(300)
FK [Not Null]	AccountGroupID	varchar(20)

#### Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID }

#### Function dependencies:-

1. { AccountID } → { AccountID, AccountName, Description, AccountGroupID }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 7. CompanyContactNumber

COMPANY_ContactNumber		
FK [Not Null]	CompanyID	varchar(20)
	ContactNumber	Numeric

# Update Anomalies:-

As contactNumber can be null we cannot classify it as a candidate key, hence we would have to alter table structure and make ContactNumber NOT NULL, so that it becomes a candidate key and we could form functional dependency.

#### After resolving the anomaly:-

#### Candidate Key:-

{ ContactNumber }

## Function dependencies:-

1. { ContactNumber } → { CompanyID }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies  $2^{nd}$  NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies  $3^{rd}$  NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 8. Company

COMPANY		
PK [Not Null]	CompanyID	varchar(20)
	CompanyName	Varchar(50)
	GSTIN	Varchar(15)
	AddresLine1	Varchar(300)
	AddresLine2	Varchar(300)
	Pincode	Varchar(6)
FK [Not Null]	CityID	Serial
	Logo	Varchar(50)

# **Candidate Key:-**

{ CompanyID }

## Function dependencies:-

 { CompanyID } → { CompanyID, CompanyName, GSTIN, AddresLine1, AddresLine2, Pincode, CityID, Logo }

## Verify normal forms conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 9. Country

Country		
PK [Not Null] CountryID Serial		Serial
	CountryName	Varchar(30)

#### Candidate Key:-

{ CountryID }

#### Function dependencies:-

1. { CountryID } → { CountryID, CountryName }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 10. State

State		
PK [Not Null]	<u>StateID</u>	Serial
	StateName	Varchar(30)
FK [Not Null]	Countryld	Serial

## Candidate Key:-

{ StateID }

## Function dependencies:-

1. { StateID } → { CountryID, StateID, StateName }

## Verify normal forms conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 11. FinancialTransactions

FinancialTransactions		
PK (Not Null)	TransactionNumber	INT
	Description	Varchar(300)
	TransactionDate	Date

#### Candidate Key:-

{ TransactionNumber }

## **Function dependencies:-**

{ TransactionNumber } →
 { TransactionNumber, Description, TransactionDate }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

## 12. FinancialTransactionsEntry

FinancialTransactionsEntry		
FK (Not Null)	AccountID	INT
FK (Not Null)	TransactionNumber	INT
	Amount	Decimal

#### Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID, TransactionNumber }

#### **Functional Dependencies:-**

1. { AccountID, TransactionNumber }  $\rightarrow$  { AccountID, TransactionNumber, Amount}

#### **Verifying Condition of different Normal Forms:-**

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 13. PurchaseInvoice

Purchaselnvoice		
PK [Not Null]	ReceiptID	INT
	Date	DATE
FK (Not Null)	AccountID	varchar(20)
FK, PK (Not Null)	CompanyID	varchar(20)

# Candidate Key:-

- 1. { ReceiptID }
- 2. { ReceiptID, CompanyID} (selected in design)

## Function dependencies:-

- 1. { ReceiptID } → { ReceiptID, Date, AccountID, CompanyID }
- 2. { ReceiptID , CompanyID}  $\rightarrow$  { ReceiptID, Date, AccountID, CompanyID }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 14. PurchaseItem

	Purchase Item		
FK (Not Null)	ReceiptID	SERIAL	
FK (Not Null)	ItemID	varchar(20)	
	Amount	decimal(15,2)	
	Qty	real	

# Candidate Key:-

{ ReceiptID, ItemID }

# Function dependencies:-

1. { ReceiptID, ItemID }  $\rightarrow$  { ReceiptID, ItemID, Amount, Qty }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies  $2^{nd}$  NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies  $3^{rd}$  NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 15. SalesInvoice

	SalesInvoice		
PK [Not Null]	InvoiceID	INT	
	Date	DATE	
FK (Not Null)	AccountID	varchar(20)	
FK, PK (Not Null)	CompanyID	varchar(20)	

## Candidate Key:-

- 1. { InvoiceID}
- 2. { InvoiceID, CompanyID} (selected in design)

## Function dependencies:-

- 1. { InvoiceID } → { InvoiceID, Date, AccountID, CompanyID }
- 2. { InvoiceID, CompanyID} → { InvoiceID, Date, AccountID, CompanyID }

## Verify normal forms conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 16. SalesItem

	Sales Item		
FK (Not Null)	InvoiceID	SERIAL	
FK (Not Null)	ItemID	varchar(20)	
(Not Null)	Amount	decimal(15,2)	
(Not Null)	Qty	real	

## Candidate Key:-

{ InvoiceID, ItemID }

# Function dependencies:-

1. { InvoiceID , ItemID } → { InvoiceID, ItemID, Amount, Qty }

## Verify normal forms conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

# 17. ItemGroup

ItemGroup		
PK [Not Null]	<u>ItemGroupID</u>	varchar
	Category	Varchar
FK [Not Null]	CompanyID	varchar
FK	ParentitemGroupID	varchar

#### Candidate Key:-

{ItemGroupID}

#### Function dependencies:-

 {ItemGroupID} → {ItemGroupID, Category, CompanyID, ParentItemGroupID }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 18. Item

Item		
PK [Not Null]	<u>ltemID</u>	varchar
	ItemName	Varchar
	Barcode	INT
	Price	Numeric
FK [Not Null]	ItemGroupID	varchar

#### Candidate Key:-

{ ItemID }

#### **Function dependencies:-**

1. { ItemID }  $\rightarrow$  { ItemID, ItemName, Barcode, Price, ItemGroupID}

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

# **19.** City

City		
PK [Not Null]	CityID	Serial
	CityName	Varchar
FK [Not Null]	StateID	Serial

# Candidate Key:-

{ CityID }

# Function dependencies:-

1. { CityID }  $\rightarrow$  { CityID, CityName, StateID}

# Verify normal forms conditions:-

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies  $2^{nd}$  NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies  $3^{rd}$  NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.

#### 20. Personal Account

PersonalAccount		
FK [Not Null]	AccountID	varchar(20)
	CompanyName	Varchar(50)
	GSTIN	Varchar(15)
	AddresLine1	Varchar(300)
	AddresLine2	Varchar(300)
	Pincode	Varchar(6)

## Update, Delete Anomalies:-

As accountID must be unique we cannot classify it as a candidate key, hence we would have to alter table structure and make ContactNumber UNIQUE, so that it becomes a candidate key and we could form functional dependency.

## \* After resolving the anomaly:-

# Candidate Key:-

{ AccountID }

#### Functional dependencies:-

 { AccountID } → { AccountID, CompanyName, GSTIN, AddressLine1, AddressLine2, Pincode }

- 1. The table has no multivalued attribute hence it satisfies 1 NF condition.
- 2. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a candidate key. Hence it satisfies 2<sup>nd</sup> NF condition.
- 3. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies 3<sup>rd</sup> NF condition.
- 4. The LHS of the above functional dependencies, it is a super key. Hence it satisfies the BCNF condition.