

1

by 5 Plag

Submission date: 19-Mar-2020 07:08AM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1278096551

File name: nishu_thesis_publicaTION.docx (2.3M)

Word count: 2232

Character count: 12301

A COMPARITIVE ASSESSMENT OF BOND STRENGTH OF PEEK
CROWNS TO NATURAL TEETH WITH TWO DIFFERENT
RESIN CEMENTS

ABSTRACT:

¹¹ The purpose of this study was to evaluate tensile bond strength of PEEK (polyetheretherketone) a polycrystalline material with two ³⁴ self-adhesive cements (Rely X Unicem, G-CEM LinkAce). ⁴ Teeth were prepared to receive copings with a chamfer bur at high speed cooled with an air/water spray and PEEK copings were fabricated and specimens ⁴ required to debond the crowns was measured using universal testing machine. The data was analysed by paired t test. Despite the different bond values in cements, paired t test disclosed ⁴ significant difference between groups in the mean amount of bond strength (p-value=0.04). Regarding the results of the study it was concluded that G-CEM LinkAce presented higher bond strength.

Keywords: G-CEM LinkAce, PEEK, RelyX Unicem, Tensile bond strength.

17

INTRODUCTION:

The popularity of all-ceramic restorations has increased in recent years due to their superior esthetic appearance, biocompatibility¹ and metal free structure². Some of the materials which have been used till date include alloys, ceramics, zirconia, and high performance polymers. All these, though excellent, have their advantages and disadvantages. Hence, the search has always been on for a better material. One such material, which has made its invasion into dentistry in the recent times is polyetheretherketone (PEEK). The success of full ceramic restorations in serving for a long time in the mouth depends on the success of bonding between the ceramic, adhesive agent and tooth structure.⁹ Although improved mechanical properties are important for the long-term performance of a ceramic material, the clinical success of fixed ceramic prostheses seems to be strongly dependent on the cementation procedure. It is a semi crystalline, high temperature resistant, thermoplastic polymer. The elasticity of material lies within the range of bone, so it resembles the most natural material.³ It is biocompatible and chemically stable to nearly all organic and inorganic chemicals³. Due to its excellent physical and biological properties, this composite material is used both in general medicine and in dentistry as implant, provisional abutment and implant supported bar or clamp material. PEEK is available in different types such as unfilled, carbon filled and glass filled.

7

Self-adhesive resin cements are dual cured resin cements which are designed to overcome limitations of both traditional and resin-based cements and simplify the bonding process.

Self-adhesive cements simplify bonding procedures and most importantly shortening the “windows of contamination”⁷ and better adhesion can be achieved than with a contaminated total-etch

cement.⁴⁸ Self-adhesive cements can bond to an untreated tooth surface that has not been micro

abraded or pretreated with an etchant, primer, or bonding agent thus, cementation is accomplished in a single step. This study has been planned out with the aim of observing the bond strength of resin cements to PEEK.

25

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty freshly extracted premolar teeth were selected for this study. Teeth were prepared according to standard protocol carried on with the diamond cutting burs by producing depth-orientation grooves on the buccal and occlusal surfaces, with a round-end tapered diamond as reference for removing tooth structure. A chamfer finish line of 0.7mm depth was prepared using round ended tapered bur.

24

Fabrication of PEEK copings by CAD-CAM technique:

Prepared tooth was sprayed with titanium dioxide and scanned. Using software, a three dimensional virtual image of the specimen was made. The PEEK blank was inserted in the work piece spindle and the door of the milling unit was closed. The milling procedure was completed and PEEK copings were fabricated and polished with 1 µm diamond paste, then alumina air blasting was done for 10 seconds with 2.8 bar pressure away from 10mm vertical distance using rocatec system to improve the bonding strength of PEEK with resin cements.

Resin Bonded cements:

Specimens were divided into two groups 1 and 2 and bonding was done with two different resin cements. Group 1 were bonded with Rely X Unicem self adhesive universal resin cement. Rely X Unicem was available in automix syringe form, the working time was 2 minutes and the excess cement was removed in gel state with explorer and then the surfaces were cured for 20

31

seconds. Group 2 were bonded with G-CEM LinkAce this cement was available in double barrel automix syringe form, through which the cement was directly extruded into the restoration. The working time was 3 minutes 30 seconds by applying normal pressure, on restoration the surfaces were cured for 20 seconds.

Universal Testing Machine

The specimens were placed in the machine between the grips and a device extensometer was attached which was useful to measure tensile tests. The bonded specimens were placed on the table and held with clamp from top and below with upper and lower cross heads of the machine so that the bonded specimens base was parallel to the tensile force direction. Specimens were stressed in an occluso-gingival direction at a crosshead speed of 1mm/min. A jig was attached to the load cell and pulled apart by an upper chain. The maximum load necessary to de-bond was recorded in Newton(N) and calculated in MPa as a ratio of Newton to the cylinder (the calculated bond strength was determined by dividing the strength at which bond failure occurred by the bonding area).

RESULTS


Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed by Microsoft excel and graph pad prism software. Data was summarized by Mean \pm SD for continuous data. The comparison between two groups was done by paired t test. All p-values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Paired t test results

Procedures	N	Mean	SD	P value
Relyx	15	5.42	0.91	0.004
g cem	15	6.73	1.39	

21 There was significant difference in the mean tensile strength among two groups ($p < 0.004$). Paired 't' test showed that group B had significantly higher mean score than group A. 11

Graph 1: Graphical representation showing mean tensile bond strength values



Graphical bar diagram representation depicting that G-CemLinkAce resin cement showing higher tensile bond strength than Rely XUnicem resin cement.

DISCUSSION:

In the present study PEEK copings were fabricated with CAD-CAM technique in which, three dimensional virtual image of the specimen was made. Tamrakar AK et al⁵ (2014) stated that the designing of the restoration is done using CAD software, which in turn sends commands to the CAM unit, for fabricating the restoration.

The PEEK surface requires treatment since it has low surface energy. Sandblasting is an efficient method for modifying the surface morphology of materials like metals and polymers. Ourahmoune et al⁶ (2014) studied how sandblasting altered surface morphology in several polymeric materials based on PEEK. The authors noted that sandblast-mediated alterations in surface morphology changed wettability and led to an apparent stabilization of roughness parameters after a certain surface treatment time.




Fig: A(Group 1 specimens); B(Group 2 specimens); C(Preparation of natural teeth); D(Prepared tooth specimens)

1

They also observed that sandblasting resulted in higher levels of roughness in the fiber-reinforced materials than in the non-reinforced materials .

To achieve optimum retention and bond at the resin-substructure interface multiple mechanical and chemical methods for surface treatment are advocated. The surface of PEEK is very dense and plain, the structure is compact and there are no free chemical connections on the surface which makes it resistant to chemicals. Zhou L⁷ (2014) had stated that the incorporation of roughness with 50 microns alumina before surface conditioning is essential to maximize the surface area and increase the bond strength eventually. Therefore 50 microns alumina particles were selected and the PEEK surface was sandblasted as per manufacturer's protocol. Kern⁸ (2009) had stated that the incorporation of roughness, is of advantage to achieve adequate bond strength. To minimize possible surface damage effects as a result of air abrasion at relative high pressures, air-abrasion at lower pressures appears to be an effective method for forming strong durable bonds. It had also been documented by Tetelman⁹ (2008) that sandblasting changes the surface morphology of PEEK and enhances the penetration of adhesive cements into composite material and subsequent micromechanical interlocking, resulting in higher bond strength .This is in accordance with the present



Fig E(PEEK Blank)

study where sandblasting aided in increased bond strength.

1 Previous studies compared the adhesion of resin cements to PEEK with and without surface treatment 1, Hallmann L et al¹⁰ (2012), and Zhou L et al⁷ (2014) 1 tested surface treatments commonly used in dental laboratories, such as sandblasting with alumina and silica coating (Rocatec). Sproesser O et al¹ (2014) 1 tested more experimental surface treatments for dental PEEK such as chemical attack with 98% sulfuric acid at different exposure times and Stawarczyk B et al¹² (2014) tested 1 cold active gas inert plasma treatment. The association between surface treatments and application of silane agents or adhesives 1 was also tested. These studies concluded that surface treatment of PEEK is needed to obtain adhesion to resin cement. 1 Nevertheless, no established protocol was developed for cementing single crowns or fixed dental prosthesis using a PEEK infrastructure. The manufacturer's recommended cementation protocol is to produce roughness using diamond burs on the inner surface followed by acetone




Fig F:(PEEK Coping)

1 Degreasing prior to luting system application; however, this protocol is difficult to standardize.



Fig G:(Rely x Unicem)

Fig H:(G-CEM LinkAce)

After fabrication of PEEK copings they were sand blasted and divided into two groups, Group 1 and Group 2. Where Group 1 copings were cemented with Rely X Unicem resin cement and Group2 copings were cemented with G-CEM Link-Ace resin cement. These are self-curing, transparent, two-paste adhesive resin cement. Equal amounts of resin cements were extruded, mixed for 20 seconds and applied to the intaglio surfaces of PEEK copings. Excess cement was removed using disposable mini brush .

Piowarczyk A et al¹³ (2004) stated that the Self-adhesive dual-cured resin cements are relatively new category of resin luting agents whose organic matrix consists of multifunctional phosphoric-acid methacrylates. These phosphoric-acid groups condition the tooth surface and contribute to adhesion.

The use of Rely X Unicem in operative and prosthodontic applications without etching the enamel or dentin was evaluated by Bishara et al¹⁴ (2005). In a study by De Munck et al¹⁵ (2004) it has been reported that resin based adhesive luting materials were widely used for the fixation of inlays and onlays, crowns, bridges, posts and veneers. The first self-adhesive universal resin cement designed for universal application was Rely X Unicem. It is presented in capsules that can be used for adhesive cementation of indirect ceramic, composite and metal based restoration as well as crowns and bridges (3M ESPE Technical Product Profile 2002).

Sakalauskaite E et al¹⁶ (2008) had stated that the cementation technique is simple and have the potential to decrease postoperative sensitivity. Gerth HU et al¹⁷ (2006) concluded that the resin cements are able to bond to tissues without any previous applications, such as etching, priming, or bonding. Caughman WF et al¹⁸ (2001) stated that the dual-cured resin cements have two

polymerizing mechanics, light polymerization and chemical polymerization that leads to extensive use of these cements in practice.

¹⁵ A universal testing machine (UTM) also known as universal tester, materials testing machine or materials test frame was used to test the tensile strength of resin cements in the present study. The bonded specimens were placed in UTM ¹¹ with a cross-head speed of 1mm/min. ⁵ The acrylic resin blocks were held by a collet, allowing the whole system to self-align. Specimens were positioned in the jig with the specimens perpendicular to the loading direction. The jig was attached to the load cell and pulled apart by an upper




Fig I:(Debonding of specimen)




Fig J:(Universal Testing Mchine)

chain. The tensile bond strength was calculated.

¹² In the present study the highest tensile bond strength value obtained for RelyX Unicem resin cement was 6.657MPa, whereas the lowest value was 3.946MPa. ²¹ Highest tensile bond strength value obtained for G-CEM resin cement was 9.56MPa, whereas the lowest value was 4.5MPa, which was in accordance with the study conducted by Piwowarczyk et al¹³ (2007) where Rely X showed low bond strength 4.77MPa and G-CEM resin cement showed 6.6MPa this was because of increased ¹⁹ chemical interaction of G-CEM with calcium from hydroxyapatite, which ¹⁹ may explain the higher bond strength of this cement in this study compared to other resin


cements, and the reason for reduced bond strength of Rely X was due to high level of fillers and high viscosity of the cement.

The mean for Rely X Unicem was 0.91MPa with standard deviation of 5.42MPa. The mean for G-Cem was 1.39MPa with standard deviation of 6.73MPa. In present study the bond strength values could be ²⁹ influenced by numerous parameters related to specimen design or test mechanics which cannot be standardized or might be because of heterogeneity in tooth structure and composition, sample preparation. Our findings indicate that resin cements helps in establishing a durable bond between the tooth and a sandblasted PEEK material. In accordance with study conducted by S.Hattar¹⁹ (2015) Rely X showed 3.70 ± 6.81 MPa and G-CEM showed 4.48 ± 5.94 MPa, this might be because ²² light-cured cement exhibit a high viscosity and limited penetration. ²² Moreover cements need to be applied with some pressure .

Unicem and G-CEM LinkAce to be 6.0 and 8.8 MPa respectively which is in conformity with our study. This implicates the fact that ³⁶ the value of the bond strength on its own does not always directly correlate ⁶ to the strength of the material, but the bond strength and the surface area considered together provides a more accurate evaluation.

²⁶ CONCLUSION:

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, following conclusions were drawn: G-CEM LinkAce resin cement had shown highest bond strength than RelyX Unicem resin cement.



ORIGINALITY REPORT

46%

SIMILARITY INDEX

32%

INTERNET SOURCES

35%

PUBLICATIONS

17%STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1**www.scielo.br**

Internet Source

10%**2****Submitted to Queen Mary and Westfield College**

Student Paper

4%**3****www.jsdmd.jp**

Internet Source

3%**4****eprints.mums.ac.ir**

Internet Source

2%**5****Stawarczyk, Bogna, Peter Jordan, Patrick R. Schmidlin, Malgorzata Roos, Marlis Eichberger, Wolfgang Gernet, and Christine Keul. "PEEK surface treatment effects on tensile bond strength to veneering resins", Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 2014.**

Publication

2%**6****Nuray Capa. "Effect of Er:YAG Laser Irradiations on Shear Bond Strength of Three Self-Adhesive Resin Cements to Dentin", Photomedicine and Laser Surgery, 05/22/2010**

Publication

2%

7	John O. Burgess. "SELF-ADHESIVE RESIN CEMENTS : CRITICAL APPRAISAL", Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 12/2010 Publication	2%
8	www.dentalaegis.com Internet Source	1%
9	pinnacle.allenpress.com Internet Source	1%
10	journals.sagepub.com Internet Source	1%
11	"Abstracts of Papers", Journal of Dental Research, 2016 Publication	1%
12	mafiadoc.com Internet Source	1%
13	Maria Francesca Sfondrini, Esmeralda Xheka, Andrea Scribante, Paola Gandini, Giuseppe Sfondrini. "Reconditioning of self-ligating brackets", The Angle Orthodontist, 2012 Publication	1%
14	www.e-bass.org Internet Source	1%
15	Submitted to Macquarie University Student Paper	1%

16

Submitted to American University of Beirut

Student Paper

1 %

17

Shuzo Kitayama, Toru Nikaido, Rena Takahashi, Lei Zhu, Masaomi Ikeda, Richard M. Foxton, Alireza Sadr, Junji Tagami. "Effect of primer treatment on bonding of resin cements to zirconia ceramic", Dental Materials, 2010

Publication

1 %

18

Cafer Türkmen, Meral Durkan, Hale Cimilli, Mustafa Öksüz. "Tensile bond strength of indirect composites luted with three new self-adhesive resin cements to dentin", Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2011

Publication

1 %

19

Submitted to Universiti Sains Malaysia

Student Paper

1 %

20

www.jcdr.net

Internet Source

1 %

21

Submitted to Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM

Student Paper

1 %

22

Susan Hattar, Muhanad M. Hatamleh, Faleh Sawair, Mohammad Al-Rabab'ah. "Bond strength of self-adhesive resin cements to tooth structure", The Saudi Dental Journal, 2015

Publication

1 %

23	globaljournals.org Internet Source	1 %
24	Submitted to University of Edinburgh Student Paper	1 %
25	J. J. P. McCullagh. "Thermographic assessment of root canal obturation using thermomechanical compaction", International Endodontic Journal, 5/1997 Publication	1 %
26	Submitted to Kyungpook National University Student Paper	1 %
27	www.koreamed.org Internet Source	1 %
28	W. Li. "Anisotropic Fracture Behavior of Sintered Rare-Earth Permanent Magnets", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, 8/2005 Publication	1 %
29	www.apexprep-dna-plasmid-miniprep-kit.com Internet Source	<1 %
30	N Capa. "Shear bond strength of luting agents to fixed prosthodontic restorative core materials", Australian Dental Journal, 12/2009 Publication	<1 %
31	www.dentistrytoday.com Internet Source	<1 %

32

www.frontiersin.org

Internet Source

<1 %

33

www.ijert.org

Internet Source

<1 %

34

Mazzitelli, C.. "Effect of simulated pulpal pressure on self-adhesive cements bonding to dentin", Dental Materials, 200809

Publication

<1 %

35

Bogna STAWARCZYK, Christine KEUL, Florian BEUER, Malgorzata ROOS, Patrick R. SCHMIDLIN. "Tensile bond strength of veneering resins to PEEK: Impact of different adhesives", Dental Materials Journal, 2013

Publication

<1 %

36

Submitted to Mahidol University

Student Paper

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off