This round of comments comes as a surprise because such basic comments normally come in the first round. Anyway, there is no other way except to deal with them and follow the text ass it is written. I will try to provide some explanations or interpretations.

1. The current abstract is very general. Therefore, a clearer explanation of the challenge, theoretical framework, methodology, and findings is necessary. It is better to form the abstract into just one paragraph. In addition, the keywords of ‘urbanization’ and ‘fragmented’ do not appear in the abstract;
   1. You need to describe your entire paper in one paragraph use as many terminologies to cover the problem, what you did and the conclusion in the same paragraph.
2. Introduction section as problem statement, should seriously address the research gap in the filed of urban sprawl with regard to agricultural land. Accordingly, the final paragraph of the Introduction can be concluded by explaining the approach of this research in the form of question or questions. Additionally, it may be enough to choose only one of two images of 1 and 2. Controlling other figures and charts is also recommended;
   1. You need general review of the global urban sprawl problem and move to examples from other countries. The introduction must show the significance of the problem at the global level then Egypt and specifically Cairo. In the last paragraph of the introduction is a standard issue to describe the contents of the paper and what the reader should expect in the coming sections. Please download a similar paper from this journal and use it as a guide for your text improvement.
3. It seems that the article has no literature review and theoretical framework. Research methodology should be related to the theoretical issues and serve the purpose of case study analysis. Moreover, it is better to integrate the section of Study Area in the section of Method of Assessing Urban Sprawl, because of its dedication to GIS and remote sensing regarding the methodology;
   1. Of course you have in your thesis a literature review that you might need to be very focus on specific issues and show more citations as literature review of the problem and the approaches to solve them (methodology). The reviewer provided above another specific action to be considered, please follow it.
4. What is the reason for focusing on different factors including water quality, ownership, laborers, agricultural, cooperation organization, crop type, and livestock? This should be well explained in the previous sections and also reflected in the abstract;
   1. This is a very clear comment, he is not saying remove these factor but explain the reason behind focusing on them in the previous sections.
5. Discussion and Conclusions sections can be reinforced by a more accurate interpretation of the findings as well as comparison of them with other similar or related studies. Fig. 16 is very valuable, but there is no reference or comparison with what has been reported in other studies;
   1. Clear comment and it seems that the reviewer is missing more citations and relation to other students to give the work more credibility and also make it more international not only for the benefit of Egypt but for any interested researcher from other country.
6. It is recommended that more updated references be added to the content of the article;
   1. You see again the issue with the references but here he wants updated ones, so you might need to add some from 2018 and 2019.