**Microscopic VS Endoscopic Myringotomy and Ventilation Tube Placement**

# **Abstract**

To achieve the aim of study, the researcher applied the cross-sectional approach where 40 patients were involved in the study. They were separated into two groups, the first 20 patients were assigned into (Group A), and the second 20 patients were assigned into (Group B). Group A went through myringotomy and ventilation surgery for tube insertion using endoscopic device, while Group B went through the same surgical procedure using traditional microscope. Both groups were assessed after one week of the surgery. After that, the patients were assessed on monthly basis.

It was found that both methods are safe and meet the purpose; however, there is a significant statistical difference in the time required for operations. The endoscopic method require less time compared to the traditional microscopic method.

# **Keywords**

endoscopic ear surgery, ventilation tube, myringotomy

M

yringotomy is a surgical operation through which doctors can incise the tympanic tissue and remove the accumulated infective or non-infective fluids in the middle ear. This is also done to correct a faulty Eustachian tube so that it receives air. The procedure is carried out mostly under general anesthesia. It is contraindicated in patients suffering from a glomus tumor within the tympanic membrane [1].

Since its reproduction by Armstrong, this surgical procedure has been executed by either operating microscope or by other appropriate otologic procedure [2].

The operative endoscopy operations have been executed since the 1990s after being introduced in otologic surgeries. They have significantly changed the surgical concepts and, thus, various tolaryngologists, endoscopes joined/replaced the microscope during the surgeries on the middle ear level [3].

This type of surgeries have some advantages and disadvantages. It enables the doctors to have a transcanal all-encompassing view of the ear canal and all other parts of the ear i.e. the tympanic ring and tympanic membrane even in the existence of an anterior overhang. On the other hand, this approach has some disadvantages such as One-handed surgical method loss of depth perception as well as the need for intensive training [4].

Despite its advantages, very little number of studies focused the light on this surgery. There are only 3 papers in the literature that describe using the endoscope for inserting trans-tympanic drainage [5]. Moreover, none of these reports were conducted in an Arabic country.

In the light of the above-mentioned, the current study aimed to compare between the outcomes of myringotomy and ventilation tube insertion when performed using endoscopic-assisted devices compared to the outcomes of this surgery when performed using the traditional microscopic method.

## **Instruments of Study**

For this study, the researcher used the following instruments (Figure 1):

1. Aural speculum for aural toilet and examination; TB syringe with EMLA®; Kley (or sickle) knife; zero-degree rigid endoscope; Others: endoscopy unit, and phenol solution.
2. Ventilation tube.
3. Hartmann 1.2 mm ear forceps with 8-cm working length.



**Figure (1): Instruments of Study**

(*Note: the medical instruments, disposables and materials may differ according to their availability and the surgeon’s anatomical considerations and preferences*)

# **Materials and Methods**

## **Study Design**

The current study was conducted following the prospective trial approach. It was conducted at Abha Private Hospital in Saudi Arabia. The random sample method was applied to select a representative sample from the overall population. The population of the study included 26 patients who were suffering from bilateral or unilateral Chronic Otitis Media with Effusion (COME). the trial was conducted in the period between April, 2017 to December, 2018.

### **Data Collection Methods**

The researcher recognized two types of data, which are the primary and secondary data. Primary data includes data that are collected by the researcher and for the first time and considered to be original in character. On the other hand, secondary data are those who have been passed through the statistical process [6].

**The patients were divided into 2 groups:**

* Group A: 13 patients had endoscopic bilateral myringotomy with tube placement.
* Group B: 13 patients had bilateral myringotomy with tube placement using traditional microscopic method.

**The criteria for selecting patients for this study included:**

* Patients who were scheduled for bilateral myringotomy with tube placement based on clinical history.
* Patients with COME lasting for more than 3 months despite the medical treatment.
* Patients who had tympanogram (Type B).

### **Operative Procedures**

All operations were done in a supine position where the patients' heads were turned 30 degrees to the contralateral side of the ear under operation. For Group A, the operation was done using endoscope using his left arm while resting his elbow on a fixed stand to improve the stability of the endoscope to avoid any medialization.

All surgeries were performed under general anesthesia.

**Group A**

An endoscope (Figure 2) with 2.7mm zero-degree rigid endoscope connected to a camera transmitting to a display screen was carefully passed through the canal of the external ear while ensuring not to contact with the external skin of the external ear canal to avoid bleeding (Figure 3).



**Figure (2): 2.7mm zero-degree rigid endoscope connected to a camera**

In the antero-superior quadrant of the ear drum or antero-inferior, myringotomy incision was made using a special myringotomy medical knife. The middle ear effusion was sucked out after enlarging the incision to accommodate the ventilation tube. As a final step, with the aid of a special medical needle/forceps, the ventilation tube was indulged and attuned to correct their position. The lens was cleaned frequently to have a clear view of all tympanic membrane parts.



**Figure (3): The endoscopic view of all tympanic membrane parts.**

For the group B, the traditional microscopic method was used to indulge and hold an ear speculum into the ear canal in order to avoid any bleeding. the microscope was sided around to have a good view of the tympanic membrane and put it in the central field of view and to obtain a clear magnified photo.

In the cases of inferior/interior humps, the patients' heads were titled more to the opposite side. After inserting the ventilation tube, the operation was finished (Figure 4).



**Figure (4): Positioning of the ventilation tubes using endoscopic method.**

The operation time for both methods was recorded along with the extrusion time. Besides, the researcher recorded any complications. After that, the data were analyzed to compare between the effectiveness of both methods using Mann-Whitney test and Chi-squared test. the P value ≤ 0.05was considered statistically significant difference while there was highly statistically significant difference when P value ≤ 0.0001.

### **Results and Discussion**

Chronic otitis media is an inﬂammatory condition of the middle ear cavities which lasts for more than 3 months and generates clinical sequelae [7]

The term chronic otitis media includes [8]:

* Chronic otitis media with effusion
* Active chronic otitis media (suppurative)
* Dry tympanic membrane perforation with or without ossicular chain problems
* Tympanosclerosis
* Atelectasis (retraction pockets)
* Cholesteatomatous chronic otitis media


This inﬂammatory process starts in the middle ear mucosa and given a favorable environment, such as mesenchymal and gas exchange alterations, develops into a chronic clinical entity. Mesenchymal and gas exchange alterations result from various processes [9].

The ﬁrst process is embryological. When resorption and/or condensation of the mesenchyma are disturbed, this leads to developmental disorders of the middle ear cavity [10], such as mastoid pneumatization and inappropriate drainage of residual mucus. Alteration of the mesenchyma’s role as a carrier and support for epidermal migration results in poor quality of the lamina propria, weakening the tympanic membrane [11].

Anatomically, attic regions are separated by bony–membranous barriers, which allow ventilation of their various compartments [12].

Histologically, the middle ear is divided into an anteroinferior compartment, the cell function of which mainly focuses on mucociliary clearance, and a posteroinferior compartment, with cells involved in gas exchange [13]. When inﬂammation occurs, these two functions are disturbed, leading to increased mucus and viscosity as well as pressure imbalance (negative pressure). The resulting mucosal inﬂammation, arising directly from bacterial infection or indirectly from viral infection, increases the chronic inﬂammatory environment of chronic otitis media [14].

The diﬀerent ventilation pathways of the middle ear cavities are illustrated in (Figures 6). Anatomical or acquired dysfunction of these ventilation pathways can explain the diﬀerent types and evolutions of cholesteatoma [15].



**Figure (6): The diﬀerent ventilation pathways of the middle ear cavities**

A cholesteatoma is a mass of keratin (skin) in the middle ear cavities which consists of a perimatrix and a matrix. There are at least three kinds of cholesteatoma in the middle ear, resulting from invagination (retraction pocket), migration, or congenital inclusion. A cholesteatoma goes through three successive inﬂammatory phases, the ﬁrst resulting in a retraction pocket, the second leading to pathology of the epidermis and lower external auditory canal, and the third—the actual cholesteatoma—involving invasion and autodestruction (bone lysis) of the middle ear.

In this last phase, many factors play a role: collagenosis, osteoclasts, cytokines, nitric oxide, bacteria and their bioﬁlms, and rupture of the retraction pocket. In summary, cholesteatoma is an inﬂammatory disorder of the middle ear characterized by bone resorption.

Considering the previous discussion, the patients were classified into two groups according to the operation type; Group A – endoscopic myringotomy and Group B – traditional microscopic method.

12 patients of the 26 participated in the study were males (46.15%). While 14 of the patients were females (53.84%) (Figure 7). The average age of the patients was 9.2 years ranging between 2 and 16 years old.

**Figure (7): Gender distribution of patients.**

A total of 18 ears were operated using the endoscopic method and 18 ears were operated using the traditional microscopic method. in the endoscopic technique, the average time was 9.9 ± 3.7 minutes while the average time in the microscopic method was 10.3 ± 5.7 minutes. A statistically significant difference was found in the average operation time between the endoscopic and microscopic methods. No complications were detected during the operations. Therefore, no statistically significant difference was found in the average complications between the endoscopic and microscopic methods (Figure 6).

**Figure (6): Difference between operation time in Group A and B**

The average time of operation is in line with results of Nassif et al. (2014) where there was a statically significant difference in the average of operation time between the endoscopic and microscopic methods for myringotomy and placement of ventilation tube.

All in all, there was no complications in both techniques and this is due to the small number of patients under study. There was just a significant difference in the time of operation.

# **Conclusion**

the study has shown that the myringotomy and ventilation tube placement is safe for all age categories. besides, the endoscopic technique requires time lesser than the traditional microscopic methods. Moreover, the endoscopic method is more cost effective and enables the surgeons to visualize the entire ear canal and tympanic membrane and, thus, better results could be achieved.

# **Research Limitations**

There might be some limits in this study due to the small number of the research sample. Besides, some of the patients prefer to be operated using the traditional methods as they think it is better than the endoscopic one.

*The author whose name is listed immediately below certify that he has NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers’ bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.*

# **References**

1. Dhingra PL. Diseases of Ear, Nose & Throat. Elsevier India; 2010.
2. Hellström S, Groth A, Jörgensen F, Pettersson A, Ryding M, Uhlén I, Boström KB. Ventilation tube treatment: a systematic review of the literature. Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery. 2011 Sep;145(3):383-95.
3. Jabbour N, Parker NP, Finkelstein M, Lander TA, Sidman JD. Incidence of operative endoscopy findings in recurrent croup. Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery. 2011 Apr;144(4):596-601.
4. Presutti L, Gioacchini FM, Alicandri-Ciufelli M, Villari D, Marchioni D. Results of endoscopic middle ear surgery for cholesteatoma treatment: a systematic review. ACTA Otorhinolaryngologica Italica. 2014 Jun;34(3):153.
5. Kakehata S, Futai K, Kuroda R, Shinkawa H. Office‐Based Endoscopic Procedure for Diagnosis in Conductive Hearing Loss Cases Using OtoScan Laser‐Assisted Myringotomy. The Laryngoscope. 2004 Jul;114(7):1285-9.
6. Yang WJ. Handbook of flow visualization. Routledge; 2018 Dec 19.
7. Hall-Stoodley L. Bacterial Biofilms & Chronic Otitis Media: Stuck in the middle: Presenting Author: Luanne Hall-Stoodley. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2016 May;130(S3):S80-.
8. Rath S, Das SR, Padhy RN. Surveillance of bacteria Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA associated with chronic suppurative otitis media. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology. 2017 Apr;83(2):201-6.
9. Luers JC, Hüttenbrink KB. Surgical anatomy and pathology of the middle ear. Journal of anatomy. 2016 Feb;228(2):338-53.
10. Houston DA, Staines KA, MacRae VE, Farquharson C. Culture of murine embryonic metatarsals: a physiological model of endochondral ossification. JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments). 2016 Dec 3(118):e54978.
11. Auvenshine RC. Embryology of the Masticatory System. InTemporomandibular Disorders 2018 (pp. 3-16). Springer, Cham.
12. Fuchs JC, Tucker AS. Development and integration of the ear. InCurrent topics in developmental biology 2015 Jan 1 (Vol. 115, pp. 213-232). Academic Press.
13. Martin C, Karkas A, Prades JM. Tubotympanic system functioning. European annals of otorhinolaryngology, head and neck diseases. 2017 May 1;134(3):177-84.
14. Pickard JM, Zeng MY, Caruso R, Núñez G. Gut microbiota: Role in pathogen colonization, immune responses, and inflammatory disease. Immunological reviews. 2017 Sep;279(1):70-89.
15. Marchioni D, Bonali M, Presutti L. Endoscopic Middle Ear Anatomy. Current Otorhinolaryngology Reports. 2015 Dec 1;3(4):200-8.

**The author(s) received no financial support for the current research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.**