

# Math 122 Assignment 4

Dryden Bryson

October 5th 2024

Question 1:

a)

Basis

We prove that the statement is true for the base case where  $n = 1$ :

LHS

$(1+x)^1$

$1+x$

RHS

$1+(1)x$

$1+x$

We can see that  $LHS = RHS$  thus the inequality holds for the base case.

Inductive Hypothesis

We assume that the inequality holds for all values of  $n \geq 1$ :

$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$

Inductive Step

We have that:

$(1+x)^{n+1}$

$=$

$(1+x)(1+x)^n$

$\geq$

$(1+x)(1+nx)$

$\geq$

$1+(n+1)x+nx^2$

From the inductive hypothesis

Thus so far we have that:

$(1+x)^{n+1} \geq 1+(n+1)x+nx^2$

And since  $x^2 \geq 0$  we know  $nx^2 \geq 0$  We have that:

$1+(n+1)x+nx^2 \geq 1+(n+1)x$

Since we previously established that  $(1+x)^{n+1} \geq 1+(n+1)x+nx^2$  we have that:

$(1+x)^{n+1} \geq 1+(n+1)x+nx^2 \geq 1+(n+1)x$

And consequentially:

$(1+x)^{n+1} \geq 1+(n+1)x$

Conclusion

We have shown that  $(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Which completes the proof  $\square$

b)

We will start by making the following substitutions:

$y = \frac{1}{2}(1+x)$

$1-y = \frac{1}{2}(1-x)$

$y^n = \frac{1}{2^n}(1+x)^n$

$(1-y)^m = \frac{1}{2^m}(1-x^m)$

Then we can substitute them into the equality:

$\frac{2^ny^n}{n} + \frac{2^m(1-y)^m}{m} \geq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}$

$\frac{2^n\frac{1}{2^n}(1+x)^n}{n} + \frac{2^m\frac{1}{2^m}(1-x^m)}{m} \geq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}$

$\frac{(1+x)^n}{n} + \frac{(1-x^m)}{m} \geq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}$

Now that we have the re-written inequality we can prove it using the information from part (a), we have:

$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$

$(1-x)^m \geq 1-mx$

Then we divide them both by  $n$  and  $m$  respectively:

$\frac{(1+x)^n}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n} + x$

$\frac{(1-x)^m}{m} \geq \frac{1}{m} - x$

And then we combine them:

$\frac{(1+x)^n}{n} + \frac{(1-x)^m}{m} \geq \frac{1}{n} + \cancel{\frac{1}{m} - x}$

Finally we have:

$\frac{(1+x)^n}{n} + \frac{(1-x)^m}{m} \geq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}$

Which completes the proof  $\square$

## Question 2:

We begin by checking small values of  $n$ :

$$n = 1:$$

$$(1 + 1)! = 2! = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + 3)^3 = 4^3 = 64$$

Here  $2 < 64$  thus the inequality does not hold.

$$n = 2:$$

$$(2 + 1)! = 3! = 6 \quad \text{and} \quad (2 + 3)^3 = 5^3 = 125$$

Here  $6 < 125$  thus the inequality does not hold.

$$n = 3:$$

$$(3 + 1)! = 4! = 24 \quad \text{and} \quad (3 + 3)^3 = 6^3 = 216$$

Here  $24 < 216$  thus the inequality does not hold.

$$n = 4:$$

$$(4 + 1)! = 5! = 120 \quad \text{and} \quad (4 + 3)^3 = 7^3 = 343$$

Here  $120 < 343$  thus the inequality does not hold.

$$n = 5:$$

$$(5 + 1)! = 6! = 720 \quad \text{and} \quad (5 + 3)^3 = 8^3 = 512$$

Here  $720 > 512$  thus the inequality is satisfied.

Now it suffices to prove that for all  $n \geq 5$  that

$$(n + 1)! \geq (n + 3)^3$$

We will proceed by applying induction on  $n$

### Basis

The basis has already been proved above, the equality holds for  $n = 5$

### Inductive Hypothesis

We assume that the following is true for all  $n \geq 5$

$$(n + 1)! \geq (n + 3)^3$$

### Inductive Step

We want to show that the inequality holds for all values of  $n + 1$  where  $n \geq 5$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcll} ((n + 1) + 1)! & \geq & ((n + 1) + 3)^3 & \\ (n + 2)! & \geq & (n + 4)^3 & \\ (n + 2)(n + 1)! & \geq & (n + 4)^3 & \\ (n + 2)(n + 3)^3 & \geq & (n + 4)^3 & \text{From the inductive hypothesis} \end{array}$$

We can see that if we expanded the LHS of the equality it would have a leading term of  $n^4$  and the RHS would have a leading term of  $n^3$  thus we can say that the equality is satisfied for  $n + 1$

### Conclusion

We have shown that  $(n + 1)! \geq (n + 3)^3$  is true for all  $n \geq 5$  which completes the proof  $\square$

**Question 3:**

We prove the definition by applying induciton on  $n$

**Base Case**

We prove that the relation is true for  $n = 1$

LHS	RHS
$f_1^2$	$f_1f_2$
$1^2$	$1 \cdot 1$
1	1

We can see that the LHS = RHS thus the definition holds for the base case of  $n = 1$

**Inductive Hypothesis**

We assume that the definition:

$$f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \cdots + f_k^2 = f_k f_{k+1}$$

holds for all values of  $n \geq 1$

**Inductive Step**

We need to prove that the statement holds for  $n + 1$ , we start with the LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \cdots + f_k^2 + f_{k+1}^2 \\ &= f_k f_{k+1} + f_{k+1}^2 \text{ From the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= f_{k+1}(f_k + f_{k+1}) \\ &= f_{k+1}(f_{k+2}) \text{ From the definition of the fibbonaci sequence} \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that the definition holds by using the inductive hypothesis and the definition of the fibbonaci sequence.

**Conclusion**

We have shown that the definition:

$$f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \cdots + f_k^2 = f_k f_{k+1}$$

is true for all values of  $n \geq 1$

Question 4:

a)

To prove that:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} = \frac{n}{n + 1}$$

We apply induction on  $n$

**Basis:**

We prove that the formula holds for  $n = 1$

LHS	RHS
$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2}$	$\frac{1}{(1)+1}$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

We see that the LHS = RHS thus the formula holds for the base case

**Inductive Hypothesis**

We assume that for any  $n \geq 1$  that:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} = \frac{n}{n + 1}$$

**Inductive Step:**

We show that the formula is true for  $n + 1$  or that:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n + 1) \cdot (n + 2)} = \frac{n + 1}{n + 2}$$

We start with the LHS:

$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n + 1) \cdot (n + 2)}$	=	$\frac{n}{n + 1} + \frac{1}{(n + 1) \cdot (n + 2)}$	From the inductive hypothesis
	=	$\frac{\frac{n(n + 2) + 1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}}$	
	=	$\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}$	
	=	$\frac{(n + 1)^2}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}$	
	=	$\frac{n + 1}{n + 2}$	

We have shown that:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n + 1) \cdot (n + 2)} = \frac{n + 1}{n + 2}$$

**Conclusion**

We have now shown that for all values of  $n \geq 1$  that:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n + 1)} = \frac{n}{n + 1}$$

b)

i)

Let us first compute the first few values:

- 1.  $t_0 = 1$
- 2.  $t_1 = 1$
- 3.  $t_2 = t_1 - \frac{t_0}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$
- 4.  $t_3 = t_2 - \frac{t_1}{3} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{6}$
- 5.  $t_4 = t_3 - \frac{t_2}{4} = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1/2}{4} = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{24}$

Based on the pattern web observed, we conjecture that:

$$t_n = \frac{1}{n!}$$

For  $n \geq 0$

ii)

We will prove the formula by applying induction on  $n$

### Base Cases

For  $n = 0$

$$t_0 = 1 = \frac{1}{0!}$$

For  $n = 1$

$$t_1 = 1 = \frac{1}{1!}$$

Which both match our formula thus the formula holds for the base cases of  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$

### Inductive Hypothesis

We assume that for all  $n \geq 2$  that:

$$t_n = \frac{1}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{n-1} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$$

### Inductive Step

We aim to show that the formula holds for  $n + 1$  or that:

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$$

We start with the given recursive definition

$$t_{n+1} = t_n - \frac{t_{n-1}}{n+1}$$

Then we make substitutions from the inductive hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} t_n - \frac{t_{n-1}}{n+1} &= \frac{1}{n!} - \frac{\frac{1}{(n-1)!}}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)!} - \frac{1}{(n+1)(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)}{n(n-1)!(n+1)} - \frac{n}{n(n+1)(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{n+1-n}{n(n-1)!(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that  $t_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$  using the recursive definition and the inductive hypothesis

### Conclusion

We have shown that for all  $n \geq 0$  that:

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$$

## Question 5:

**a)**

Since  $k!$  is the product of all positive integers from 1 to  $k$ ,  $k!$  is divisible by each integer  $d$  in  $\{2, 3, \dots, k\}$  because each  $d$  is a factor of  $k!$ .

If we consider  $k! - 1$  Since  $k!$  is divisible by  $d$  it leaves a remainder of 0 when divided by any  $d$  thus,  $k! - 1$  will leave a remainder of  $-1$ , since the convention is not to use negative numbers as a remainder the remainder will be  $d - 1$

**b)**

If we have  $k = p$  a prime number and examine  $p! - 1$ , by part *a*  $p! - 1$  is not divisible by any integer  $d$  in  $\{2, 3, \dots, p\}$ . Since  $p! - 1$  is an integer greater than 1, it must have a prime factor, for example we say it has a prime factor  $q$ , since it is not divisible by any integer in  $d$  we know  $q$  is not in  $d$  and must thus be larger than  $p$ . By definition  $q$  is prime since we declared it was a prime factor of  $p! - 1$

**c)**

Suppose for contradiction that there is a largest prime number, call it  $p$ . According to part (b), for any prime  $p$ , there exists a prime  $q > p$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $p$  is the largest prime, because part (b) guarantees the existence of a prime number larger than  $p$

**d)**

Since part *c* shows that there is no largest prime number, it follows that the primes do not stop at any finite value. For any prime  $p$ , there will always be a prime number larger than  $p$ , thus the sequence of primes continues infinitely.