

CSC 320 Final Project Sudoku to SAT

Dryden Linden-Bremner V00849440

Marina Dunn V00844643

Divyapreet Chawla V00862263

Whitney Dluhosh V00839944

Introduction

This report is designed to summarize and show the results of our implementation of SudToSAT as well as the performance that results from the MiniSAT Solver that comes from our CNF encoding. Further this report will summarize and discuss the results of the hard inputs located at <u>magictour.free.fr/top95</u>. The use of some other sat solvers other than mini-SAT will also be discussed.

Outline Of Program:

A minimal encoding version can be seen in sud2sat729 and sat2sud729 versus our extended coded version which is sat2sud and sud2sat.

The program sudoku2SAT takes a sudoku puzzle represented by either a 9x9 grid or by a single line of length 81, that contains wildcards such as 0 or * and numbers 1-9. The program takes the input contained in a file and converts it to CNF.

The program SAT2Sudoku takes the text file outputted by the minisat/sudoku2SAT and converts it to a sudoku puzzle of the same form discussed above.

Solutions:

Each line is a single successful output

Results of Basic Puzzles:

Below are the results from running our program on the basic inputs from https://projecteuler.net/project/resources/p096 sudoku.txt

[Table 1]

Solved Problems	Clauses	Decisions	CPU Time
1	4477	1	0.007417
2	4826	17	0.006714
3	5706	26	0.007079
4	4621	3	0.00731
5	3340	1	0.005411

6	5956	36	0.007601
7	5388	14	0.006925
8	3019	1	0.00524
9	5895	22	0.007306
10	5330	14	0.00746
11	5269	14	0.006888
12	4266	1	0.006134
13	6207	3	0.006985
14	6418	8	0.007472
15	5328	1	0.006528
16	2814	1	0.005149
17	3292	1	0.005435
18	5095	19	0.006669
19	4062	1	0.005801
20	4703	1	0.006013
21	4986	9	0.00676
22	5425	9	0.006766
23	4988	4	0.006892
24	5608	9	0.006783
25	5191	20	0.007196
26	6081	72	0.007646
27	5347	3	0.006742
28	5592	10	0.006927
29	5755	21	0.007478
30	5691	14	0.007474
31	6136	45	0.008316
32	6149	19	0.007162
33	4217	2	0.006134
34	3984	1	0.005659
35	4750	5	0.006416
36	3949	1	0.005892
37	4334	4	0.007009
38	4302	1	0.006315
39	4968	1	0.007521
40	4375	1	0.005841

41	5895	22	0.007385
42	5489	11	0.006944
43	5658	25	0.007279
44	5990	52	0.007677
45	5829	20	0.007842
46	6228	10	0.007569
47	6466	162	0.008251
48	6142	56	0.007609
49	6905	140	0.008654
50	5824	18	0.007322
			AVERAGE
			0.006889408163

Solutions To Hard Problems:

Below are solutions to the first 10 hard problems due to space, the rest of the outputs from the program for these are in hardproblems.txt

 $469873251135294876728516934317459628652381497984762315893147562241635789576928143\\583164972291785463764293158359426817148579236627318549475832691836951724912647385\\625931874143875296789246315471528639532697481968413752894352167216789543357164928\\451792836892316574736548192365827419189463725247951683623185947978634251514279368\\915863274637452891248719536352981647189674352476235918824597163561348729793126485\\497528631183796452625134798841273965362945187759861324534612879276489513918357246\\693482571857319624214765893178594236569231748432876159381927465746153982925648317\\689432157537619824241758639463875912872196345195243768918564273356927481724381596\\693482571857319624214765893178593246569241738342876159481927365736154982925638417\\756198342948523617231746895374612589582439761169875234615284973423967158897351426$

Results Of Harder Puzzles:

Below are the results obtained by running our converter on the 95 'hard' problems from magictour.free.fr/top95 and the respective averages of the results

[Table 2]

Hard Problems	Clauses	Decisions	CPU Time
1	7828	188	0.010355
2	7545	276	0.00926
3	7416	522	0.01604
4	7143	255	0.009247
5	7986	375	0.010084

6	7409	446	0.009975
7	7315	1942	0.016917
8	7356	168	0.009495
9	7317	1208	0.014665
10	7213	161	0.009176
11	7312	664	0.070984
12	5743	242	0.008467
13	5918	162	0.008777
14	7241	761	0.01258
15	7414	182	0.009425
16	7306	1116	0.013466
17	7410	50	0.008399
18	7504	166	0.009062
19	5524	460	0.009498
20	6097	234	0.008276
21	7437	313	0.009621
22	6587	96	0.008478
23	7846	276	0.009697
24	6736	55	0.007914
25	6637	103	0.008562
26	7657	415	0.010141
27	7617	57	0.009052
28	7357	1444	0.015741
29	6563	29	0.007969
30	7986	215	0.009554
31	6991	40	0.009362
32	6693	104	0.008413
33	6432	53	0.008216
34	7631	251	0.009912
35	6577	270	0.008893
36	7960	227	0.009832
37	7411	154	0.009257
38	6663	147	0.009818
39	6287	90	0.008838
40	6683	154	0.008904

41	7945	232	0.009919
42	7999	289	0.00983
43	5785	23	0.007454
44	6173	44	0.007858
45	6065	147	0.008242
46	7422	1242	0.016222
47	7493	460	0.010174
48	6266	198	0.008863
49	7317	530	0.010376
50	7354	1066	0.013021
51	6474	9	0.007765
52	7613	217	0.009523
53	5358	84	0.007522
54	5164	51	0.007371
55	6744	40	0.00814
56	5944	42	0.007753
57	6868	343	0.00941
58	7502	126	0.008796
59	6416	63	0.0081
60	6938	163	0.009036
61	7168	79	0.008839
62	7434	173	0.00887
63	6402	157	0.008935
64	7112	46	0.009904
65	6941	50	0.008955
66	6973	101	0.009186
67	7949	105	0.009105
68	7409	216	0.009062
69	7214	79	0.008412
70	6981	110	0.008352
71	7460	75	0.009518
72	6430	28	0.007772
73	7982	452	0.010129
74	7495	272	0.009134
75	6038	80	0.007945

76	7635	408	0.010418
77	6163	190	0.008065
78	5760	106	0.008041
79	8002	218	0.010262
80	6449	194	0.00854
81	6454	76	0.008271
82	6370	78	0.007943
83	7707	94	0.009001
84	7429	325	0.009675
85	7014	144	0.008488
86	5791	10	0.007278
87	6281	123	0.007853
88	5960	30	0.007554
89	7344	36	0.008175
90	6652	273	0.008733
91	6646	103	0.008652
92	6773	56	0.008773
93	6928	296	0.009605
94	7027	90	0.008856
95	7935	268	0.0101
			AVERAGE
			0.01006109574

Alternative to Minimal Encoding:

We had an extended encoding which had the form of IJK for the values of the variable yielding 999 vars, this was primarily designed to be more understandable in the code rather than going for the smaller minimal encoding.

Comparison to Specialized Sudoku Solvers:

Backtracking:

A backtracking algorithm as used for a Sudoku Solver is a type of brute force measure used to find the correct solution. This method requires the algorithm to essentially "try" different solutions to the Sudoku puzzle. The benefits of applying this method to a Sudoku puzzle include that a solution is always guaranteed, despite the solution possibly taking more time. Additionally, the solving time itself it mostly unrelated to the level of difficulty of the puzzle, this is

due to the fact that the placement of numbers is sequential and the only real factor is the amount of missing numbers that need to be found. Finally, the backtracking algorithm has relatively simple code. It does not require an extensive program because the idea behind the algorithm is not complex either.

Dancing Links:

Dancing links algorithm is modified version of backtracking. In this approach, when reaching a invalid state which cannot lead to a solution, abandon the branch of computation. Also, instead of checking if a board is valid, dancing links algorithm checks a valid state by placing a number n at position (i,j). This approach, unlike backtracking does not spend time in cells that cannot contain a solution.

Stochastic Search:

The stochastic search algorithm is an algorithm that randomly places numbers into their slots, it then proceeds to calculate the number of errors, then places the numbers back into their slots in different orders until the number of errors reaches 0. The benefit of this algorithm is that these are typically fast algorithms, however they are not necessarily as fast as smarter programs who use logic to determine where not to place the numbers in the next iteration.

Crook's algorithm:

Crook's "paper and pencil" algorithm is based on solving sudoku by first finding all forced numbers. Then use the appropriate crossout actions iteratively to find unsolved numbers using rows, columns, and 3X3 boxes. If a solution is not found, a random choice has to be made in order to continue to solve the puzzle. This method, in hard puzzles, may take up time because of the choice of random number. However this algorithm could be efficient where all forced numbers are found.

Discussion:

Both sets of puzzles were solvable given our cnf converter however as shown the harder puzzles took on average .01006109574 vs the .0068894081 of the easy puzzles this shows that clearly the harder puzzles took longer for the sat solver to solve and thus must have taken more iterations to pass through to solve. The results we have obtained are better than some of the brute force methods but do not have the same theoretical efficiency as some of the better solutions for the problem.

Conclusions:

We have shown that both easy and hard Sudoku problems are solvable with CNF and running it with a SAT solver such as MiniSAT. We have also shown that the harder problems do indeed take longer to solve. In addition, the applications and benefits of special-purpose

algorithms other than SAT solvers for sudoku puzzles are explored in Backtracking, Stochastic, Dancing Links, and Crook's.