

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## surreal number

Canonical name SurrealNumber
Date of creation 2013-03-22 12:58:49
Last modified on 2013-03-22 12:58:49

Owner Henry (455) Last modified by Henry (455)

Numerical id 9

Author Henry (455)
Entry type Definition
Classification msc 00A05
Defines omnific integers

The surreal numbers are a generalization of the reals. Each surreal number consists of two parts (called the left and right), each of which is a set of surreal numbers. For any surreal number N, these parts can be called  $N_L$  and  $N_R$ . (This could be viewed as an ordered pair of sets, however the surreal numbers were intended to be a basis for mathematics, not something to be embedded in set theory.) A surreal number is written  $N = \langle N_L | N_R \rangle$ .

Not every number of this form is a surreal number. The surreal numbers satisfy two additional properties. First, if  $x \in N_R$  and  $y \in N_L$  then  $x \nleq y$ . Secondly, they must be well founded. These properties are both satisfied by the following construction of the surreal numbers and the  $\leq$  relation by mutual induction:

 $\langle | \rangle$ , which has both left and right parts empty, is 0.

Given two (possibly empty) sets of surreal numbers R and L such that for any  $x \in R$  and  $y \in L$ ,  $x \nleq y$ ,  $\langle L \mid R \rangle$ .

Define  $N \leq M$  if there is no  $x \in N_L$  such that  $M \leq x$  and no  $y \in M_R$  such that  $y \leq N$ .

This process can be continued transfinitely, to define infinite and infinitesimal numbers. For instance if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers then  $\omega = \langle \mathbb{Z} \mid \rangle$ . Note that this does not make equality the same as identity:  $\langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle = \langle | \rangle$ , for instance.

It can be shown that N is "sandwiched" between the elements of  $N_L$  and  $N_R$ : it is larger than any element of  $N_L$  and smaller than any element of  $N_R$ . Addition of surreal numbers is defined by

$$N+M = \langle \{N+x \mid x \in M_L\} \cup \{M+x \mid y \in N_L\} \mid \{N+x \mid x \in M_R\} \cup \{M+x \mid y \in N_R\} \rangle$$

It follows that  $-N = \langle -N_R \mid -N_L \rangle$ .

The definition of multiplication can be written more easily by defining  $M \cdot N_L = \{M \cdot x \mid x \in N_L\}$  and similarly for  $N_R$ . Then

$$N \cdot M = \langle M \cdot N_L + N \cdot M_L - N_L \cdot M_L, M \cdot N_R + N \cdot M_R - N_R \cdot M_R$$
$$M \cdot N_L + N \cdot M_R - N_L \cdot M_R, M \cdot N_R + N \cdot M_L - N_R \cdot M_L \rangle$$

The surreal numbers satisfy the axioms for a field under addition and multiplication (whether they really are a field is complicated by the fact that they are too large to be a set).

The integers of surreal mathematics are called the *omnific integers*. In general positive integers n can always be written  $\langle n-1 \mid \rangle$  and so  $-n = \langle \mid 1-n \rangle = \langle \mid (-n)+1 \rangle$ . So for instance  $1=\langle 0 \mid \rangle$ .

In general,  $\langle a \mid b \rangle$  is the simplest number between a and b. This can be easily used to define the dyadic fractions: for any integer a,  $a+\frac{1}{2}=\langle a \mid a+1 \rangle$ . Then  $\frac{1}{2}=\langle 0 \mid 1 \rangle$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}=\langle 0 \mid \frac{1}{2} \rangle$ , and so on. This can then be used to locate non-dyadic fractions by pinning them between a left part which gets infinitely close from below and a right part which gets infinitely close from above.

Ordinal arithmetic can be defined starting with  $\omega$  as defined above and adding numbers such as  $\langle \omega \mid \rangle = \omega + 1$  and so on. Similarly, a starting infinitesimal can be found as  $\langle 0 \mid 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \dots \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega}$ , and again more can be developed from there.