



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

complete ultrafilter and partitions

|                  |                                  |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Canonical name   | CompleteUltrafilterAndPartitions |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 18:55:52              |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 18:55:52              |
| Owner            | yesitis (13730)                  |
| Last modified by | yesitis (13730)                  |
| Numerical id     | 4                                |
| Author           | yesitis (13730)                  |
| Entry type       | Definition                       |
| Classification   | msc 03E02                        |

If  $U$  is an ultrafilter on a set  $S$ , then

$U$  is  $\kappa$ -complete  $\Leftrightarrow$  there is no partition of  $S$  into  $\kappa$ -many pieces for which each piece  $X_\alpha$  of the partition is not in  $U$ .

We prove the case of  $\sigma$ -completeness; the case of arbitrary infinite cardinality follows closely. For the  $\Rightarrow$  direction, let  $P$  be a partition of  $S$  into  $\omega$  many pieces, all of which do not belong to  $U$ , and write  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\omega} X_n$  to illustrate this partition. Now,  $\emptyset = S^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\omega} X_n^c$ . Since, by our assumption, each of the  $X_n$  do not belong to  $U$ , we have  $X_n^c \in U$  for each  $n < \omega$  as  $U$  is an ultrafilter. Thus,  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\omega} X_n^c) \in U$  by  $\sigma$ -completeness. This, however, means  $\emptyset \in U$ , contradicting the definition of a filter.

Note that the converse states that every partition  $P$  of  $S$  into  $\omega$ -many pieces has a (unique) piece  $X_1 \in U$ . To prove this, let  $Y_n$  be a collection of  $\omega$  many members of  $U$  and let  $Y = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\omega} Y_n$ . Now consider the partition  $\{P_\iota : \iota \leq \omega\}$  of  $S \setminus Y$ :

for each  $s \in S \setminus Y$ , put  $s \in P_\iota$  if  $\iota$  is the least index for which  $s \notin Y_\iota$ .

It is easy to verify that each  $s \in S \setminus Y$  belongs to a *unique*  $P_\iota$ , the collection of  $P_\iota$ 's is indeed a partition of  $S \setminus Y$ .

Along with  $Y$ ,  $\{P_\iota : \iota \leq \omega\}$  partitions  $S$  into  $\aleph_0 = \omega$  many pieces. A (unique) piece of this partition belongs in  $U$ :  $P_{\iota^*} \in U$  or  $Y \in U$ . But,  $P_\iota \cap Y_\iota = \emptyset \notin U$  by the definition of  $P_\iota$ . This excludes the possibility for the former to belong in  $U$  (cf. alternative characterization of filter) and so  $Y \in U$ .

Thus, starting from an arbitrary collection  $\{Y_n\}$  of  $\omega$ -many members of  $U$ , we have identified a partition of  $S$  for which the unique piece which belongs to  $U$  is  $\bigcap Y_n$ . Therefore,  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -complete.