



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

first-order theory

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Canonical name | FirstorderTheory |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 12:43:04 |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 12:43:04 |
| Owner | CWoo (3771) |
| Last modified by | CWoo (3771) |
| Numerical id | 19 |
| Author | CWoo (3771) |
| Entry type | Definition |
| Classification | msc 03C07 |
| Classification | msc 03B10 |
| Synonym | first order theory |
| Related topic | PropertiesOfConsistency |
| Related topic | MaximallyConsistent |
| Defines | theory |
| Defines | complete theory |
| Defines | axiomatizable theory |
| Defines | deductively closed |
| Defines | finitely axiomatizable theory |

In what follows, references to sentences and sets of sentences are all relative to some fixed first-order language L .

Definition. A **theory** T is a *deductively closed* set of sentences in L ; that is, a set T such that for each sentence φ , $T \vdash \varphi$ only if $\varphi \in T$.

Remark. Some authors do not require that a theory be deductively closed. Therefore, a theory is simply a set of sentences. This is not a cause for alarm, since every theory T under this definition can be “extended” to a deductively closed theory $T^+ := \{\varphi \in L \mid T \vdash \varphi\}$. Furthermore, T^+ is unique (it is the smallest deductively closed theory including T), and any structure M is a model of T iff it is a model of T^+ .

Definition. A theory T is *consistent* if and only if for some sentence φ , $T \not\vdash \varphi$. Otherwise, T is *inconsistent*. A sentence φ is *consistent with* T if and only if the theory $T \cup \{\varphi\}$ is consistent.

Definition. A theory T is *complete* if and only if T is consistent and for each sentence φ , either $\varphi \in T$ or $\neg\varphi \in T$.

Lemma. A consistent theory T is complete if and only if T is maximally consistent. That is, T is complete if and only if for each sentence φ , $\varphi \notin T$ only if $T \cup \{\varphi\}$ is inconsistent. See <http://planetmath.org/MaximallyConsistent> this entry for a proof.

Theorem. (Tarski) Every consistent theory T is included in a complete theory.

Proof: Use Zorn’s lemma on the set of consistent theories that include T .

Remark. A theory T is *axiomatizable* if and only if T includes a <http://planetmath.org/Decidable> subset Δ such that $\Delta \vdash T$ (every sentence of T is a logical consequence of Δ), and *finitely axiomatizable* if Δ can be made finite. Every complete axiomatizable theory T is decidable; that is, there is an algorithm that given a sentence φ as input yields 0 if $\varphi \in T$, and 1 otherwise.