



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof that $C_{\cup}$ and $C_{\cap}$ are consequence operators

Canonical name	ProofThatCcupAndCcapAreConsequenceOperators
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:29:41
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:29:41
Owner	rspuzio (6075)
Last modified by	rspuzio (6075)
Numerical id	21
Author	rspuzio (6075)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 03G25
Classification	msc 03G10
Classification	msc 03B22

The proof that the operators  $C_\cup$  and  $C_\cap$  defined in the second example of section 3 of the <http://planetmath.org/ConsequenceOperatorparent> entry are consequence operators is a relatively straightforward matter of checking that they satisfy the defining properties given there. For convenience, those definitions are reproduced here.

**Definition 1.** Given a set  $L$  and two elements,  $X$  and  $Y$ , of this set, the function  $C_\cap(X, Y): \mathcal{P}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$  is defined as follows:

$$C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) = \begin{cases} X \cup Z & Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset \\ Z & Y \cap Z = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.** For every choice of two elements,  $X$  and  $Y$ , of a given set  $L$ , the function  $C_\cap(X, Y)$  is a consequence operator.

*Proof.*

*Property 1:* Since  $Z$  is a subset of itself and of  $X \cup Z$ , it follows that  $Z \subseteq C_\cap(X, Y)(Z)$  in either case.

*Property 2:* We consider two cases. If  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ , then  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) = Z$ , so

$$C_\cap(X, Y)(C_\cap(X, Y)(Z)) = C_\cap(X, Y)(Z).$$

If  $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} Y \cap C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) &= Y \cap (X \cup Z) \\ &= (Y \cap X) \cup (Y \cap Z). \end{aligned}$$

Again, since  $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , we also have  $(Y \cap X) \cup (Y \cap Z) \neq \emptyset$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} C_\cap(X, Y)(C_\cap(X, Y)(Z)) &= X \cup C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) \\ &= X \cup (X \cup Z) \\ &= X \cup Z \\ &= C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) \end{aligned}$$

So, in both cases, we find that

$$C_\cap(X, Y)(C_\cap(X, Y)(Z)) = C_\cap(X, Y)(Z).$$

*Property 3:* Suppose that  $Z$  and  $W$  are subsets of  $L$  and that  $Z$  is a subset of  $W$ . Then there are three possibilities:

1.  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$  and  $Y \cap W = \emptyset$

In this case, we have  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) = Z$  and  $C_\cap(X, Y)(W) = W$ , so  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_\cap(X, Y)(W)$ .

2.  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$  but  $Y \cap W \neq \emptyset$

In this case,  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) = Z$  and  $C_\cap(X, Y)(W) = X \cup W$ . Since  $Z \subseteq W$  implies  $Z \subseteq X \cup W$ , we have  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_\cap(X, Y)(W)$ .

3.  $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y \cap W \neq \emptyset$

In this case,  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) = X \cup Z$  and  $C_\cap(X, Y)(W) = X \cup W$ . Since  $Z \subseteq W$  implies  $X \cup Z \subseteq X \cup W$ , we have  $C_\cap(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_\cap(X, Y)(W)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.** Given a set  $L$  and two elements,  $X$  and  $Y$ , of this set, the function  $C_\cup(X, Y): \mathcal{P}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$  is defined as follows:

$$C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) = \begin{cases} X \cup Z & Y \cup Z = Z \\ Z & Y \cup Z \neq Z \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.** For every choice of two elements,  $X$  and  $Y$ , of a given set  $L$ , the function  $C_\cup(X, Y)$  is a consequence operator.

*Proof.*

*Property 1:* Since  $Z$  is a subset of itself and of  $X \cup Z$ , it follows that  $Z \subseteq C_\cup(X, Y)(Z)$  in either case.

*Property 2:* We consider two cases. If  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) = Z$ , then

$$C_\cup(X, Y)(C_\cup(X, Y)(Z)) = C_\cup(X, Y)(Z).$$

If  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) = X \cup Z$ , then we note that, because  $X \cup (X \cup Z) = X \cup Z$ , we must have  $C_\cup(X, Y)(X \cup Z) = X \cup Z$  whether or not  $Y \cup (X \cup Z) = X \cup Z$ , so

$$C_\cup(X, Y)(C_\cup(X, Y)(Z)) = C_\cup(X, Y)(Z).$$

*Property 3:* Suppose that  $Z$  and  $W$  are subsets of  $L$  and that  $Z$  is a subset of  $W$ . Then there are three possibilities:

1.  $Y \cup Z = Z$  and  $Y \cup W = W$

In this case, we have  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) = X \cup Z$  and  $C_\cup(X, Y)(W) = X \cup W$ . Since  $Z \subseteq W$  implies  $X \cup Z \subseteq X \cup W$ , we have  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_\cup(X, Y)(W)$ .

2.  $Y \cup Z \neq Z$  but  $Y \cup W = W$

In this case,  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) = Z$  and  $C_\cup(X, Y)(W) = X \cup W$ . Since  $Z \subseteq W$  implies  $Z \subseteq X \cup W$ , we have  $C_\cup(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_\cup(X, Y)(W)$ .

3.  $Y \cup Z \neq Z$  and  $Y \cup W \neq W$

In this case,  $C_{\cup}(X, Y)(Z) = Z$  and  $C_{\cup}(X, Y)(W) = W$ , so  $C_{\cup}(X, Y)(Z) \subseteq C_{\cup}(X, Y)(W)$ .  $\square$