



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

proof of complete partial orders do not add  
small subsets

Canonical name	ProofOfCompletePartialOrdersDoNotAddSmallSubsets
Date of creation	2013-03-22 12:53:35
Last modified on	2013-03-22 12:53:35
Owner	Henry (455)
Last modified by	Henry (455)
Numerical id	4
Author	Henry (455)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 03E40

Take any  $x \in \mathfrak{M}[G]$ ,  $x \subseteq \kappa$ . Let  $\hat{x}$  be a name for  $x$ . There is some  $p \in G$  such that

$$p \Vdash \hat{x} \text{ is a subset of } \kappa \text{ bounded by } \lambda < \kappa$$

*Outline:*

For any  $q \leq p$ , we construct by induction a series of elements  $q_\alpha$  stronger than  $p$ . Each  $q_\alpha$  will determine whether or not  $\alpha \in \hat{x}$ . Since we know the subset is bounded below  $\kappa$ , we can use the fact that  $P$  is  $\kappa$  complete to find a single element stronger than  $q$  which fixes the exact value of  $\hat{x}$ . Since the series is definable in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , so is  $\hat{x}$ , so we can conclude that above any element  $q \leq p$  is an element which forces  $\hat{x} \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $p$  also forces  $\hat{x} \in \mathfrak{M}$ , completing the proof.

*Details:*

Since forcing can be described within  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $S = \{q \in P \mid q \Vdash \hat{x} \in V\}$  is a set in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then, given any  $q \leq p$ , we can define  $q_0 = q$  and for any  $q_\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \lambda$ ),  $q_{\alpha+1}$  is an element of  $P$  stronger than  $q_\alpha$  such that either  $q_{\alpha+1} \Vdash \alpha + 1 \in \hat{x}$  or  $q_{\alpha+1} \Vdash \alpha + 1 \notin \hat{x}$ . For limit  $\alpha$ , let  $q'_\alpha$  be any upper bound of  $q_\beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta$  (this exists since  $P$  is  $\kappa$ -complete and  $\alpha < \kappa$ ), and let  $q_\alpha$  be stronger than  $q'_\alpha$  and satisfy either  $q_{\alpha+1} \Vdash \alpha \in \hat{x}$  or  $q_{\alpha+1} \Vdash \alpha \notin \hat{x}$ . Finally let  $q^*$  be the upper bound of  $q_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \lambda$ .  $q^* \in P$  since  $P$  is  $\kappa$ -complete.

Note that these elements all exist since for any  $p \in P$  and any (first-order) sentence  $\phi$  there is some  $q \leq p$  such that  $q$  forces either  $\phi$  or  $\neg\phi$ .

$q^*$  not only forces that  $\hat{x}$  is a bounded subset of  $\kappa$ , but for every ordinal it forces whether or not that ordinal is contained in  $\hat{x}$ . But the set  $\{\alpha < \lambda \mid q^* \Vdash \alpha \in \hat{x}\}$  is definable in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and is of course equal to  $\hat{x}[G^*]$  in any generic  $G^*$  containing  $q^*$ . So  $q^* \Vdash \hat{x} \in \mathfrak{M}$ .

Since this holds for any element stronger than  $p$ , it follows that  $p \Vdash \hat{x} \in \mathfrak{M}$ , and therefore  $\hat{x}[G] \in \mathfrak{M}$ .