



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

freely generated inductive set

Canonical name	FreelyGeneratedInductiveSet
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:51:24
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:51:24
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	11
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 03B99
Classification	msc 03E20
Related topic	ClosureOfSetsClosedUnderAFinitaryOperation
Defines	inductive closure

In the parent entry, we see that an *inductive set* is a set that is closed under the successor operator. If A is a non-empty inductive set, then \mathbb{N} can be embedded in A .

More generally, fix a non-empty set U and a set F of finitary operations on U . A set $A \subseteq U$ is said to be *inductive* (with respect to F) if A is closed under each $f \in F$. This means, for example, if f is a binary operation on U and if $x, y \in A$, then $f(x, y) \in A$. A is said to be inductive over X if $X \subseteq A$. The intersection of inductive sets is clearly inductive. Given a set $X \subseteq U$, the intersection of all inductive sets over X is said to be the *inductive closure* of X . The inductive closure of X is written $\langle X \rangle$. We also say that X generates $\langle X \rangle$.

Another way of defining $\langle X \rangle$ is as follows: start with

$$X_0 := X.$$

Next, we “inductively” define each X_{i+1} from X_i , so that

$$X_{i+1} := X_i \cup \bigcup \{f(X_i^n) \mid f \in F, f \text{ is } n\text{-ary}\}.$$

Finally, we set

$$\overline{X} := \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i.$$

It is not hard to see that $\overline{X} = \langle X \rangle$.

Proof. By definition, $X \subseteq \overline{X}$. Suppose $f \in F$ is n -ary, and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \overline{X}$, then each $a_i \in X_{m(i)}$. Take the maximum m of the integers $m(i)$, then $a_i \in X_m$ for each i . Therefore $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X_{m+1} \subseteq \overline{X}$. This shows that \overline{X} is inductive over X , so $\langle X \rangle \subseteq \overline{X}$, since $\langle X \rangle$ is minimal. On the other hand, suppose $a \in \overline{X}$. We prove by induction that $a \in \langle X \rangle$. If $a \in X$, this is clear. Suppose now that $X_i \subseteq \langle X \rangle$, and $a \in X_{i+1}$. If $a \in X_i$, then we are done. Suppose now $a \in X_{i+1} - X_i$. Then there is some n -ary operation $f \in F$, such that $a = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, where each $a_j \in X_i$. So $a_j \in \langle X \rangle$ by hypothesis. Since $\langle X \rangle$ is inductive, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \langle X \rangle$, and hence $a \in \langle X \rangle$ as well. This shows that $X_{i+1} \subseteq \langle X \rangle$, and consequently $\overline{X} \subseteq \langle X \rangle$. \square

The inductive set A is said to be freely generated by X (with respect to F), if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $A = \langle X \rangle$,

2. for each n -ary $f \in F$, the restriction of f to A^n is one-to-one;
3. for each n -ary $f \in F$, $f(A^n) \cap X = \emptyset$;
4. if $f, g \in F$ are n, m -ary, then $f(A^n) \cap g(A^m) = \emptyset$.

For example, the set \bar{V} of well-formed formulas (wffs) in the classical proposition logic is inductive over the set of V propositional variables with respect to the logical connectives (say, \neg and \vee) provided. In fact, by unique readability of wffs, \bar{V} is freely generated over V . We may readily interpret the above “freeness” conditions as follows:

1. \bar{V} is generated by V ,
2. for distinct wffs p, q , the wffs $\neg p$ and $\neg q$ are distinct; for distinct pairs (p, q) and (r, s) of wffs, $p \vee q$ and $r \vee s$ are distinct also
3. for no wffs p, q are $\neg p$ and $p \vee q$ propositional variables
4. for wffs p, q , the wffs $\neg p$ and $p \vee q$ are never the same

A characterization of free generation is the following:

Proposition 1. *The following are equivalent:*

1. A is freely generated by X (with respect to F)
2. if $V \neq \emptyset$ is a set, and G is a set of finitary operations on V such that there is a function $\phi : F \rightarrow G$ taking every n -ary $f \in F$ to an n -ary $\phi(f) \in G$, then every function $h : X \rightarrow B$ has a unique extension $\bar{h} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\bar{h}(f(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \phi(f)(\bar{h}(a_1), \dots, \bar{h}(a_n)),$$

where f is an n -ary operation in F , and $a_i \in A$.

References

- [1] H. Enderton: *A Mathematical Introduction to Logic*, Academic Press, San Diego (1972).