

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

definable

Canonical name Definable

Date of creation 2013-03-22 13:26:52 Last modified on 2013-03-22 13:26:52

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 17

Author CWoo (3771)
Entry type Definition
Classification msc 03C07
Classification msc 03F03
Defines definable set

Defines definable function
Defines definable relation

0.1 Definable sets and functions

0.1.1 Definability In Model Theory

Let \mathcal{L} be a first order language. Let M be an \mathcal{L} -structure. Denote x_1, \ldots, x_n by \vec{x} and y_1, \ldots, y_m by \vec{y} , and suppose $\phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ is a formula from \mathcal{L} , and b_1, \ldots, b_m is some sequence from M.

Then we write $\phi(M^n, \vec{b})$ to denote $\{\vec{a} \in M^n : M \models \phi(\vec{a}, \vec{b})\}$. We say that $\phi(M^n, \vec{b})$ is \vec{b} -definable. More generally if S is some set and $B \subseteq M$, and there is some \vec{b} from B so that S is \vec{b} -definable then we say that S is B-definable.

In particular we say that a set S is \emptyset -definable or zero definable iff it is the solution set of some formula without parameters.

Let f be a function, then we say f is B-definable iff the graph of f (i.e. $\{(x,y):f(x)=y\}$) is a B-definable set.

If S is B-definable then any automorphism of M that fixes B pointwise, fixes S setwise.

A set or function is *definable* iff it is B-definable for some parameters B. Some authors use the term definable to mean what we have called \emptyset -definable here. If this is the convention of a paper, then the term *parameter definable* will refer to sets that are definable over some parameters.

Sometimes in model theory it is not actually very important what language one is using, but merely what the definable sets are, or what the definability relation is.

0.1.2 Definability of functions in Proof Theory

In proof theory, given a theory T in the language \mathcal{L} , for a function $f: M \to M$ to be definable in the theory T, we have two conditions:

- (i) There is a formula in the language \mathcal{L} s.t. f is definable over the model M, as in the above definition; i.e., its graph is definable in the language \mathcal{L} over the model M, by some formula $\phi(\vec{x}, y)$.
 - (ii) The theory T proves that f is indeed a function, that is $T \vdash \forall \vec{x} \exists ! y. \phi(\vec{x}, y)$.

For example: the graph of exponentiation function $x^y = z$ is definable by the language of the theory $I\Delta_0$ (a subsystem of PA, with induction axiom restricted to bounded formulas only), however the function itself is not definable in this theory.