



The proof involved in showing that functions obtained via <http://planetmath.org/BoundedMinimization> minimizing primitive recursive functions are themselves primitive recursive can be used to show the primitive recursiveness of another family of functions derived from existing primitive recursive functions via a similar technique, called *bounded maximization*.

**Definition.** Let  $f : \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a function. For each  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$A_f(\mathbf{x}, y) := \{z \in \mathbb{N} \mid z \leq y \text{ and } f(\mathbf{x}, z) = 0\}.$$

Define

$$f_{bmax}(\mathbf{x}, y) := \begin{cases} \max A_f(\mathbf{x}, y) & \text{if } A_f(\mathbf{x}, y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$f_{bmax}$  is called the function obtained from  $f$  by *bounded maximization*.

**Proposition 1.** If  $f : \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is primitive recursive, so is  $f_{bmax}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this is very similar to the proof that  $f_{bmin}$  is primitive recursive in the parent entry. The initial set up is the same: define  $g := \text{sgn} \circ f$ , where  $\text{sgn}$  is the sign function. So  $g$  is primitive recursive.

Next, define  $h : \mathbb{N}^{m+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $h(\mathbf{x}, y, z) = g(\mathbf{x}, y - z)$ . So  $h$ , and therefore its bounded product:

$$h_p(\mathbf{x}, y, z) := \prod_{i=0}^z h(\mathbf{x}, y, i)$$

are primitive recursive.  $h_p$  has the following property: given  $y$ ,

- if  $k$  is the largest number less than or equal to  $y$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}, k) = 0$ , then

$$h_p(\mathbf{x}, y, z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z < y - k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- if no such  $k$  exists, then  $h_p(\mathbf{x}, y, z) = 1$ , for all  $(\mathbf{x}, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+2}$ .

As a result, the bounded sum

$$(h_p)_s(\mathbf{x}, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^z h_p(\mathbf{x}, y, i),$$

and in particular, the function  $g^*(\mathbf{x}, y) := (h_p)_s(\mathbf{x}, y, y)$ , are primitive recursive. After some simplification,  $g^*$  becomes

$$g^*(\mathbf{x}, y) := \begin{cases} y - k & \text{if } k = \max A_f(\mathbf{x}, y) \text{ exists,} \\ s(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, the function  $g^{**}(\mathbf{x}, y) := y \dot{-} g^*(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , which is just  $f_{bmax}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , is primitive recursive too.  $\square$

**Example.** Using bounded maximization, we can show that  $q(x, y)$ , the quotient of  $x \div y$ , is primitive recursive. When  $y = 0$ , we set  $q(x, y) = 0$

First note that  $q(x, y)$  is the largest integer  $z$  less than or equal to  $x$  such that  $zy \leq x$ . Let  $A(y, x) = \{z \in \mathbb{N} \mid zy \leq x\}$ . Then  $A(y, x)$  can be rewritten as

$$\{z \in \mathbb{N} \mid z \leq x \text{ and } \text{sgn}(yz \dot{-} x) = 0\}.$$

Define  $f : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $f(x, y, t) = \text{sgn}(yt \dot{-} x)$ . Then

$$A_f(x, y, t) = \{z \in \mathbb{N} \mid z \leq t \text{ and } \text{sgn}(yz \dot{-} x) = 0\}.$$

Since  $f$  is primitive recursive, so is  $f_{bmax}(x, y, t)$ . Since  $A(x, y) = A_f(x, y, x)$ , the quotient  $q(x, y)$  may be defined as  $f_{bmax}(x, y, x)$ , and therefore is primitive recursive.

With  $q(x, y)$ , we may define  $\text{rem}(x, y)$ , the remainder of  $x \div y$ , as  $x \dot{-} yq(x, y)$ , which is easily seen to be primitive recursive.

**Remark.** Please see <http://planetmath.org/ExamplesOfPrimitiveRecursiveFunctionsthi> entry for an alternative way of showing that  $q(x, y)$  and  $\text{rem}(x, y)$  are primitive recursive without using bounded maximization. In the alternative method, one shows that  $\text{rem}(x, y)$  is primitive recursive first. In addition,  $\text{rem}(x, 0) := 0$  in the alternative method, as opposed to  $x$  discussed here.