



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

arithmetical hierarchy is a proper hierarchy

Canonical name	ArithmeticalHierarchyIsAProperHierarchy
Date of creation	2013-03-22 12:55:14
Last modified on	2013-03-22 12:55:14
Owner	Henry (455)
Last modified by	Henry (455)
Numerical id	6
Author	Henry (455)
Entry type	Result
Classification	msc 03B10

By definition, we have  $\Delta_n = \Pi_n \cap \Sigma_n$ . In addition,  $\Sigma_n \cup \Pi_n \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}$ .

This is proved by vacuous quantification. If  $R$  is equivalent to  $\phi(\vec{n})$  then  $R$  is equivalent to  $\forall x\phi(\vec{n})$  and  $\exists x\phi(\vec{n})$ , where  $x$  is some variable that does not occur free in  $\phi$ .

More significant is the proof that all containments are proper. First, let  $n \geq 1$  and  $U$  be universal for 2-ary  $\Sigma_n$  relations. Then  $D(x) \leftrightarrow U(x, x)$  is obviously  $\Sigma_n$ . But suppose  $D \in \Delta_n$ . Then  $D \in \Pi_n$ , so  $\neg D \in \Sigma_n$ . Since  $U$  is universal, there is some  $e$  such that  $\neg D(x) \leftrightarrow U(e, x)$ , and therefore  $\neg D(e) \leftrightarrow U(e, e) \leftrightarrow \neg U(e, e)$ . This is clearly a contradiction, so  $D \in \Sigma_n \setminus \Delta_n$  and  $\neg D \in \Pi_n \setminus \Delta_n$ .

In addition the recursive join of  $D$  and  $\neg D$ , defined by

$$D \oplus \neg D(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y < x[x = 2 \cdot y] \wedge D(x)) \vee (\neg \exists y < x[x = 2 \cdot y] \wedge \neg D(x))$$

Clearly both  $D$  and  $\neg D$  can be recovered from  $D \oplus \neg D$ , so it is contained in neither  $\Sigma_n$  nor  $\Pi_n$ . However the definition above has only unbounded quantifiers except for those in  $D$  and  $\neg D$ , so  $D \oplus \neg D(x) \in \Delta_{n+1} \setminus \Sigma_n \cup \Pi_n$