

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

subformula

Canonical name Subformula

Date of creation 2013-03-22 12:42:52 Last modified on 2013-03-22 12:42:52

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 13

Author CWoo (3771)
Entry type Definition
Classification msc 03C07
Classification msc 03B10
Related topic Substitutability

Related topic Substitutability
Defines literal subformula

Let L be a first order language and suppose φ is a formula of L. A subformula of φ is defined as any of the following:

- 1. φ is a subformula of φ ;
- 2. if $\neg \psi$ is a subformula of φ for some L-formula ψ , then so is ψ ;
- 3. if $\alpha \wedge \beta$ is a subformula of φ for some *L*-formulas α, β , then so are α and β ;
- 4. if $\exists x(\psi)$ is a subformula of φ for some L-formula ψ , then so is $\psi[t/x]$ for any t free for x in ψ .

Remark. And if the language contains a modal connective, say \square , then we also have

5. if $\square \alpha$ is a subformula of φ for some L-formula α , then so is α .

The phrase "t is free for x in ψ " means that after substituting the term t for the variable x in the formula ψ , no free variables in t will become bound variables in $\psi[t/x]$.

For example, if $\varphi = \alpha \vee \beta$, then α and β are subformulas of φ . This is so because $\alpha \vee \beta = \neg(\neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$, so that $\neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta$ is a subformula of φ by applications of 1 followed by 2 above. By 3 above, $\neg \alpha$ and $\neg \beta$ are subformulas of φ . Therefore, by 2 again, α and β are subformulas of φ .

For another example, if $\varphi = \exists x (\exists y (x^2 + y^2 = 1))$, then $\exists y (t^2 + y^2 = 1)$ is a subformula of φ as long as t is a term that does not contain the variable y. Therefore, if t = y + 2, then $\exists y ((y + 2)^2 + y^2 = 1)$ is not a subformula of φ . In fact, if $y \in \mathbb{R}$, the equation $(y + 2)^2 + y^2 = 1$ is never true.

Finally, it is easy to see (by induction) that if α is a subformula of ψ and ψ is a subformula of φ , then α is a subformula of φ . "Being a subformula of" is a reflexive transitive relation on L-formulas.

Remark. There is also the notion of a *literal subformula* of a formula φ . A formula ψ is a literal subformula of φ if it is a subformula of φ obtained in any one of the first three ways above, or if $\exists x(\psi)$ is a literal subformula of φ .

Note that any literal subformula of φ is a subformula of φ , for if $\varphi = \exists x(\psi)$, then x occurs free in ψ and $\psi = \psi[x/x]$.

In the second example above, $\exists y(x^2+y^2=1)$ and $x^2+y^2=1$ are both literal subformulas of $\varphi = \exists x(\exists y(x^2+y^2=1))$.