



Math for the people, by the people.

properties of Ackermann function

Canonical name	PropertiesOfAckermannFunction
Date of creation	2013-03-22 19:07:25
Last modified on	2013-03-22 19:07:25
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	9
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Result
Classification	msc 03D75

In this entry, we derive some basic properties of the Ackermann function $A(x, y)$, defined by double recursion, as follows:

$$A(0, y) = y + 1, \quad A(x + 1, 0) = A(x, 1), \quad A(x + 1, y + 1) = A(x, A(x + 1, y)).$$

These properties will be useful in proving that A is not primitive recursive.

1. A is total ($\text{dom}(A) = \mathbb{N}^2$).
2. $A(1, y) = y + 2$.
3. $A(2, y) = 2y + 3$.
4. $y < A(x, y)$.
5. $A(x, y) < A(x, y + 1)$.
6. $A(x, y + 1) \leq A(x + 1, y)$.
7. $A(x, y) < A(x + 1, y)$.
8. $A(r, A(s, y)) < A(r + s + 2, y)$
9. For any r, s , $A(r, y) + A(s, y) < A(t, y)$ for some t not depending on y .

Most of the proofs are done by induction.

- Proof.*
1. Induct on x . First, $A(0, y) = y + 1$ is well-defined, so $(0, y) \in \text{dom}(A)$ for all y . Next, suppose that for a given x , $(x, y) \in \text{dom}(A)$ for all y . We want to show that $(x + 1, y) \in \text{dom}(A)$ for all y . To do this, induct on y . First, $(x + 1, 0) \in \text{dom}(A)$, since $A(x + 1, 0) = A(x, 1)$ is well-defined. Next, assume that $(x + 1, y) \in \text{dom}(A)$. Then $A(x, A(x + 1, y)) = A(x + 1, y + 1)$ is well-defined. so $(x + 1, y + 1) \in \text{dom}(A)$ as well.
 2. Induct on y . First, $A(1, 0) = A(0, 1) = 2$. Next, assume $A(1, y) = y + 2$. Then $A(1, y + 1) = A(0, y + 2) = y + 3 = (y + 1) + 2$.
 3. Induct on y . First, $A(2, 0) = A(1, 1) = 1 + 2 = 3$. Next, assume $A(2, y) = 2y + 3$. Then $A(2, y + 1) = A(1, A(2, y)) = A(2, y) + 2 = (2y + 3) + 2 = 2(y + 1) + 3$.

4. Induct on x . First, $y < y + 1 = A(0, y)$. Next, assume $y < A(x, y)$, where $x > 0$. Then $y + 1 \leq A(x, y) < A(x - 1, A(x, y)) = A(x, y + 1)$.
5. Induct on x . First, $A(0, y) = y + 1 < y + 2 = A(0, y + 1)$. Next, assume that $A(x, y) < A(x, y + 1)$. Then $A(x + 1, y) < A(x, A(x + 1, y)) = A(x + 1, y + 1)$.
6. Induct on y . First, $A(x, 1) = A(x + 1, 0)$. Next, assume that $A(x, y + 1) \leq A(x + 1, y)$. Then $A(x, y + 2) \leq A(x, A(x, y + 1)) \leq A(x, A(x + 1, y)) = A(x + 1, y + 1)$.
7. Induct on x . First, $A(0, y) = y + 1 < y + 2 = A(1, y)$. Next, assume that $A(x, y) < A(x + 1, y)$. There are two cases: $y = 0$. Then $A(x + 1, 0) = A(x, 1) < A(x + 1, 1)$. Otherwise, $y = z + 1$, so that $A(x + 1, y) = A(x + 1, z + 1) = A(x, A(x + 1, z)) \leq A(x, A(x, z + 1)) = A(x, A(x, y)) < A(x, A(x + 1, y)) = A(x + 1, y + 1)$.
8. $A(r, A(s, y)) < A(r + s, A(s, y)) < A(r + s, A(r + s + 1, y)) = A(r + s + 1, y + 1) \leq A(r + s + 2, y)$.
9. Let $z = \max\{r, s\}$. Then $A(r, y) + A(s, y) \leq 2A(z, y) < 2A(z, y) + 3 = A(2, A(z, y)) < A(4 + z, y)$. The proof is completed by setting $t = 4 + z$. \square

With respect to the recursive property of A , we see that A is recursive, since, by Church's Thesis, A can be effectively computed (in fact, a program can be easily written to compute $A(x, y)$). We also have the following:

Proposition 1. *Define $A_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $A_n(m) = A(n, m)$. Then A_n is primitive recursive for each n .*

Proof. $A_0(m) = m + 1 = s(m)$, so is primitive recursive. Now, assume A_n is primitive recursive, then $A_{n+1}(0) = A(n + 1, 0) = A(n, 1) = A_n(1) = k$, and $A_{n+1}(m + 1) = A(n + 1, m + 1) = A(n, A(n + 1, m)) = A_n(A(n + 1, m)) = A_n(A_{n+1}(m))$, so that A_{n+1} is defined by primitive recursion via the constant function const_k , and A_n , which is primitive recursive by the induction hypothesis. Therefore A_{n+1} is primitive recursive also. \square

The most important fact about A concerning recursiveness is that A is not primitive recursive. Due to the length of its proof, it is demonstrated in <http://planetmath.org/AckermannFunctionIsNotPrimitiveRecursive> this entry.