



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

Hilbert system

Canonical name	HilbertSystem
Date of creation	2013-03-22 19:13:14
Last modified on	2013-03-22 19:13:14
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	15
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 03F03
Classification	msc 03B99
Classification	msc 03B22
Synonym	axiom system
Related topic	GentzenSystem
Defines	generalization
Defines	necessitation
Defines	double negation

A *Hilbert system* is a style (formulation) of deductive system that emphasizes the role played by the axioms in the system. Typically, a Hilbert system has many axiom schemes, but only a few, sometimes one, rules of inference. As such, a Hilbert system is also called an *axiom system*. Below we list three examples of axiom systems in mathematical logic:

- (intuitionistic propositional logic)
 - axiom schemes:
 1. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$
 2. $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$
 3. $A \rightarrow A \vee B$
 4. $B \rightarrow A \vee B$
 5. $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B \rightarrow C))$
 6. $A \wedge B \rightarrow A$
 7. $A \wedge B \rightarrow B$
 8. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$
 9. $\perp \rightarrow A$
 - rule of inference: (modus ponens): from $A \rightarrow B$ and A , we may infer B
- (classical predicate logic without equality)
 - axiom schemes:
 1. all of the axiom schemes above, and
 2. law of double negation: $\neg(\neg A) \rightarrow A$
 3. $\forall x A \rightarrow A[x/y]$
 4. $\forall x(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall y B[x/y])$

In the last two axiom schemes, we require that y is free for x in A , and in the last axiom scheme, we also require that x does not occur free in A .
 - rules of inference:
 1. modus ponens, and
 2. generalization: from A , we may infer $\forall y A[x/y]$, where y is free for x in A

- (S4 modal propositional logic)
 - axiom schemes:
 1. all of the axiom schemes in intuitionistic propositional logic, as well as the law of double negation, and
 2. Axiom K, or the normality axiom: $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
 3. Axiom T: $\Box A \rightarrow A$
 4. Axiom 4: $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(\Box A)$
 - rules of inference:
 1. modus ponens, and
 2. necessitation: from A , we may infer $\Box A$

where A, B, C above are well-formed formulas, x, y are individual variables, and $\rightarrow, \vee, \wedge$ are binary, \Box unary, and \perp nullary logical connectives in the respective logical systems. The connective \neg may be defined as $\neg A := A \rightarrow \perp$ for any formula A .

Remarks

- Hilbert systems need not be unique for a given logical system. For example, see <http://planetmath.org/LogicalAxiom> this link.
- For a given logical system, every Hilbert system is deductively equivalent to a Gentzen system: for any axiom A in a Hilbert system H , convert it to the sequent $\Rightarrow A$, and for any rule: from A_1, \dots, A_n we may deduce B , convert it to the rule: from $\Delta \Rightarrow A_1, \dots, A_n$, we may infer $\Delta \Rightarrow B$.
- Since axioms are semantically valid statements, the use of Hilbert systems is more about deriving other semantically valid statements, or theorems, and less about the syntactical analysis of deductions themselves. Outside of structural proof theory, deductive systems a la Hilbert style are used almost exclusively everywhere in mathematics.

References

- [1] H. Enderton: *A Mathematical Introduction to Logic*, Academic Press, San Diego (1972).

- [2] A. S. Troelstra, H. Schwichtenberg, *Basic Proof Theory*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press (2000)
- [3] B. F. Chellas, *Modal Logic, An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press (1980)