



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

variations on axiom of choice

Canonical name	VariationsOnAxiomOfChoice
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:47:09
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:47:09
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	6
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 03E30
Classification	msc 03E25
Synonym	AMC
Synonym	MC
Defines	axiom of choice for finite sets
Defines	axiom of multiple choice

The axiom of choice states that every set  $C$  of non-empty sets has a choice function. There are a number of ways to modify the statement so as to produce something that is similar but perhaps weaker version of the axiom. For example, we can play with the size (cardinality) of the set  $C$ , the sizes of the elements in  $C$ , as well as the choice function itself. Below are some of the variations of AC:

1. Varying the size of  $C$ : let  $\lambda$  be a cardinal. Then  $\text{AC}(\lambda, \infty)$  is the statement that every set  $C$  of non-empty sets, where  $|C| = \lambda$ , has a choice function. If  $\lambda = \aleph_0$ , then we have the axiom of countable choice.
2. Varying sizes of members of  $C$ : let  $\lambda$  be a cardinal. Then  $\text{AC}(\infty, \kappa)$  is the statement that every set  $C$  of non-empty sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  has a choice function. Another variation is called the *axiom of choice for finite sets*  $\text{AC}(\infty, < \aleph_0)$ : every set  $C$  of non-empty finite sets has a choice function.
3. Varying choice function: The most popular is what is known as the *axiom of multiple choice* (AMC), which states that every set  $C$  of non-empty sets, there is a multivalued function from  $C$  to  $\bigcup C$  such that  $f(A)$  is finite non-empty and  $f(A) \subseteq A$ .
4. Varying any combination of the above three, for example  $\text{AC}(\lambda, \kappa)$  is the statement that every collection  $C$  of size  $\lambda$  of non-empty sets of size  $\kappa$  has a choice function.

It's easy to see that all of the variations are provable in ZFC. In addition, some of them are provable in ZF, for example,  $\text{AC}(n, \infty)$  for any finite cardinal, and  $\text{AC}(\infty, 1)$ .

Conversely, it can be shown that AMC implies AC in ZF.

Other implications include:  $\text{AC}(\infty, \kappa)$  for all  $\kappa$  implies the axiom of dependent choices, another weaker version of AC. For finite cardinals, we have the following:  $\text{AC}(\infty, mn)$  implies  $\text{AC}(\infty, n)$ , where  $m, n$  are finite cardinals.

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a set of non-empty sets of cardinality  $n$ . For each  $a \in C$ , define  $a^* := a \times m := \{(x, i) \mid x \in a, \text{ and } i \in m\}$ . Then the set  $D = \{a^* \mid a \in C\}$  is a set of non-empty sets of cardinality  $mn$ , hence has a choice function  $f$  by assumption. Then  $p \circ f \circ g$  is a choice function for  $C$ , where  $p : \bigcup D \rightarrow \bigcup C$  is the projection given by  $p(x, i) = x$ , and  $g : C \rightarrow D$  is the function given by  $g(a) = a^*$ .  $\square$

For more implications, see the references below.

## References

- [1] H. Herrlich, *Axiom of Choice*, Springer, (2006).
- [2] T. J. Jech, *The Axiom of Choice*, North-Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, (1973).