

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof of chromatic number and girth

Canonical name ProofOfChromaticNumberAndGirth

Date of creation 2013-03-22 14:31:02 Last modified on 2013-03-22 14:31:02

Owner kshum (5987) Last modified by kshum (5987)

Numerical id 9

Author kshum (5987)

Entry type Proof

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\rm Classification} & {\rm msc} \ 05{\rm C38} \\ {\rm Classification} & {\rm msc} \ 05{\rm C15} \\ {\rm Classification} & {\rm msc} \ 05{\rm C80} \\ \end{array}$ 

Let  $\alpha(G)$  denote the size of the largest independent set in G, and  $\chi(G)$  the chromatic number of G. We want to show that there is a graph G with girth larger than  $\ell$  and  $\chi(G) > k$ , for any  $\ell, k > 0$ .

We first prove the following claim.

Claim: Given a positive integer  $\ell$  and a positive real number  $t < 1/\ell$ , for all sufficiently large n, there is a graph G on n vertices satisfying properties

- 1. the number of cycles of length at most  $\ell$  is less than n/2,
- 2.  $\alpha(G) < 3n^{1-t} \log n$ .

*Proof of claim:* Let G be a random graph on n vertices, in which each pair of vertices joint by an edge independently with probability  $p = n^{t-1}$ . Let X be the number of cycles of length at most  $\ell$  in G. The expected value of X is

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{2i} p^{i}$$

$$< \sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \frac{(np)^{i}}{2i} < \sum_{i=3}^{\ell} n^{ti} < \ell n^{t\ell}$$

By Markov inequality,

$$\Pr(X > n/2) < 2\ell n^{t\ell - 1}.$$

we have

$$\Pr(X \ge n/2) \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , since  $t\ell < 1$ .

On the other hand, let  $y = \lceil (3 \log n)/p \rceil$ , and Y be the number of independent sets of size y in G. By Markov inequality again,

$$\Pr(\alpha(G) \ge y) = \Pr(Y \ge 1) \le E[Y].$$

However,

$$E[Y] = \binom{n}{y} (1-p)^{y(y-1)/2}$$

Using the inequalities,  $\binom{n}{y} < n^y$  and  $(1-p) \le e^{-p}$ , we get

$$\Pr(\alpha(G) \ge y) < (ne^{-p(y-1)/2})^y$$

Our choice of y guarantees that  $ne^{-p(y-1)/2} < \beta < 1$  for some  $\beta$ , and  $y \to \infty$  as n approaches infinity. Therefore,

$$\Pr(\alpha(G) \ge y) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

We can thus find  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ , both  $\Pr(X \ge n/2)$  and  $\Pr(\alpha(G) \ge y)$  are strictly less than 1/2. For all  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\Pr(X < \ell \text{ and } \alpha(G) < y) > 1 - \Pr(X \ge \ell) - \Pr(\alpha(G) \ge y) > 1.$$

Therefore there exists a graph that satisfies the two properties in the claim. This ends the proof of the claim.

Let G be a graph that satisfies the two properties in the claim. Remove a vertex from each cycle of length at most  $\ell$  in G. The resulting graph G'has girth larger than  $\ell$ , more than n/2 vertices, and  $\alpha(G') \leq \alpha(G)$ . Since http://planetmath.org/node/6037 $\chi(G')\alpha(G') \geq |G'|$ , we have

$$\chi(G') \ge \frac{n/2}{3n^{1-t}\log n} = \frac{n^t}{6\log n}$$

We can pick sufficiently large n such that  $\chi(G')$  is larger than k. Then the chromatic number of G' is larger than k and girth is larger than  $\ell$ .

**Reference**: N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, 2nd, John Wiley.