



Math for the people, by the people.

(closed) walk / trek / trail / path

Canonical name	closedWalkTrekTrailPath
Date of creation	2013-03-22 15:09:50
Last modified on	2013-03-22 15:09:50
Owner	marijke (8873)
Last modified by	marijke (8873)
Numerical id	9
Author	marijke (8873)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 05C38
Related topic	Path2
Related topic	ConnectedGraph
Related topic	KConnectedGraph
Related topic	Diameter3
Defines	walk
Defines	trek
Defines	trail
Defines	path
Defines	chain
Defines	circuit
Defines	cycle
Defines	closed walk
Defines	closed trek
Defines	closed trail
Defines	closed path
Defines	closed chain
Defines	open walk
Defines	open trek
Defines	open trail
Defines	open path
Defines	open chain
Defines	<i>s</i> -arc
Defines	<i>s</i> -cycle
Defines	elementary cycle
Defines	<i>s</i> -circuit

Graph theory terminology is notoriously variable so the following definitions should be used with caution. In books, most authors define their usage at the beginning.

In a graph, multigraph or even pseudograph  $G$ ,

- a **walk** of length  $s$  is formed by a sequence of  $s$  edges such that any two successive edges in the sequence share a vertex (aka node). The walk is also considered to include all the vertices (nodes) incident to those edges, making it a subgraph.

In the case of a simple graph (i.e. not a multigraph) it is also possible to define the walk uniquely by the vertices it visits: a **walk** of length  $s$  is then a sequence of vertices  $\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s$  such that an edge  $\nu_i\nu_{i+1}$  exists for all  $0 \leq i < s$ . Again the walk is considered to contain those edges as well as the vertices.

- A **trek** is a walk that does not backtrack, i.e. no two successive edges are the same.

For simple graphs this also implies  $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+2}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq s - 2$ .

- A **trail** is a walk where all edges are distinct, and
- a **path** is one where all vertices are distinct.

The walk, etc. is said to **run from**  $\nu_0$  **to**  $\nu_s$ , to **run between** them, to **connect** them etc. The term *trek* was introduced by Cameron [?] who notes the lexicographic mnemonic

$$paths \subset trails \subset treks \subset walks$$

The other terms are fairly widespread, cf. [?], but **beware:** many authors call walks **paths**, and some then call paths **chains**. And when edges are called **arcs**, a trek of length  $s$  sometimes goes by the name **s-arc**.

Note that for the purpose of defining connectivity any of these types of wanderings can be used; if a walk exists between vertices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  then there also exists a path between them. And here we must allow  $s = 0$  to make “are connected by a path” an equivalence relation on vertices (in order to define connected components as its equivalence classes).

- A **closed walk** aka **circuit** of length  $s \neq 0$  is a walk where  $\nu_0 = \nu_s$ ,

- a **closed trek** is a trek that's closed in the same way, and
- a **closed trail** likewise;
- a **closed path** aka (**elementary**) **cycle** is like a path (except that we only demand that  $\nu_i$  for  $0 \leq i < s$  are distinct) and again closed ( $\nu_s$  again coincides with  $\nu_0$ ).

**Beware:** cycles are often called circuits [?]; the distinction between circuits and cycles here follows Wilson [?]. These closed wanderings are often called after their length: **s-circuits**, **s-cycles**.

The case  $s = 0$  is excluded from these definitions; 1-cycles are loops so imply a pseudograph; 2-cycles are double edges implying multigraphs; so 3 is the minimum cycle length in a proper graph.

Note also that in trivalent aka cubic graphs a closed trail is automatically a closed path: it is impossible to visit a vertex (in via edge  $a$ , out via edge  $b$  say) and visit it again (in via  $c$ , out via  $d$ ) without also revisiting an edge, because there are only three edges at each vertex.

- An **open walk**, **open trek**, **open trail** is one that isn't closed.
- An **open path** (sometimes **open chain**) is just a path as defined above (because a closed path isn't actually a path). Still, the term is useful when you want to emphasise the contrast with a closed path.

## References

- [Cam94] PETER J. CAMERON, *Combinatorics: topics, techniques, algorithms*  
Camb. Univ. Pr. 1994, ISBN 0 521 45761 0,  
<http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/comb/><http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/comb/>  
(solutions, errata &c.)
- [Wil02] ROBERT A. WILSON, *Graphs, Colourings and the Four-colour Theorem*,  
Oxford Univ. Pr. 2002, ISBN 0 19 851062 4 (pbk),  
<http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~raw/graph.html><http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~raw/graph.html>  
(errata &c.)