



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

example of a probabilistic proof

Canonical name	ExampleOfAProbabilisticProof
Date of creation	2013-03-22 13:06:18
Last modified on	2013-03-22 13:06:18
Owner	bbukh (348)
Last modified by	bbukh (348)
Numerical id	13
Author	bbukh (348)
Entry type	Example
Classification	msc 05C80

Our example is the existence of k -paradoxical tournaments. The proof hinges upon the following basic probabilistic inequality, for any events A and B ,

$$P(A \cup B) \leq P(A) + P(B)$$

Theorem 1. *For every k , there exists a k -paradoxical tournament.*

Proof. We will construct a tournament T on n vertices. We will show that for n large enough, a k -paradoxical tournament must exist. The probability space in question is all possible directions of the arrows of T , where each arrow can point in either direction with probability $1/2$, independently of any other arrow.

We say that a set K of k vertices is *arrowed* by a vertex v_0 outside the set if every arrow between v_0 to $w_i \in K$ points from v_0 to w_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider a fixed set K of k vertices and a fixed vertex v_0 outside K . Thus, there are k arrows from v_0 to K , and only one arrangement of these arrows permits K to be arrowed by v_0 , thus

$$P(K \text{ is arrowed by } v_0) = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

The complementary event, is therefore,

$$P(K \text{ is not arrowed by } v_0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

By independence, and because there are $n - k$ vertices outside of K ,

$$P(K \text{ is not arrowed by any vertex}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k}. \quad (1)$$

Lastly, since there are $\binom{n}{k}$ sets of cardinality k in T , we employ the inequality mentioned above to obtain that for the union of all events of the form in equation (??)

$$P(\text{Some set of } k \text{ vertices is not arrowed by any vertex}) \leq \binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k}.$$

If the probability of this last event is less than 1 for some n , then there must exist a k -paradoxical tournament of n vertices. Indeed there is such an n ,

since

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k} &= \frac{1}{k!} n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k} \\ &< \frac{1}{k!} n^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, regarding k as fixed while n tends to infinity, the right-hand-side above tends to zero. In particular, for some n it is less than 1, and the result follows. \square