



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

tight

Canonical name	Tight
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:56:23
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:56:23
Owner	mps (409)
Last modified by	mps (409)
Numerical id	6
Author	mps (409)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 05D99
Defines	slack

A bound is *tight* if it can be realized. A bound that is not tight is sometimes said to be *slack*.

For example, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in general position. Define a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows. First, let the weight of an element  $S$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  be the size of its largest convex subset, that is,

$$w(S) = \max\{|T|: T \subset S \text{ and } T \text{ is convex}\}.$$

The function  $g$  is defined by

$$g(n) = \min\{|S|: w(S) \geq n\},$$

that is,  $g(n)$  is the smallest number such that any collection of  $g(n)$  points in general position contains a convex  $n$ -gon. (By the <http://planetmath.org/HappyEndingProblem> Szekeres theorem,  $g(n)$  is always finite, so  $g$  is a well-defined function.) The bounds for  $g$  due to Erdős and Szekeres are

$$2^{n-2} + 1 \leq g(n) \leq \binom{2n-4}{n-2} + 1.$$

The lower bound is tight because for each  $n$ , there is a set of  $2^{n-2}$  points in general position which contains no convex  $n$ -gon. On the other hand, the upper bound is believed to be slack. In fact, according to the Erdős-Szekeres conjecture, the formula for  $g(n)$  is exactly the lower bound:  $g(n) = 2^{n-2} + 1$ .