

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

height of an element in a poset

Canonical name HeightOfAnElementInAPoset

Date of creation 2013-03-22 16:31:32 Last modified on 2013-03-22 16:31:32

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 10

Author CWoo (3771)
Entry type Definition
Classification msc 06A06
Related topic GradedPoset

Defines Jordan-Dedekind chain condition

Let P be a poset. Given any $a \in P$, the lower set $\downarrow a$ of a is a subposet of P. Call the height of $\downarrow a$ less 1 the *height* of a. Let's denote h(a) the height of a, so

$$h(a) = \text{height}(\downarrow a) - 1.$$

From this definition, we see that h(a) = 0 iff a is minimal and h(a) = 1 iff a is an atom. Also, h partitions P into equivalence classes, so that a is equivalent to b in P iff h(a) = h(b). Two distinct elements in the same equivalence class are necessarily incomparable. In other words, the equivalence classes are antichains. Furthermore, given any two equivalence classes [a], [b], set $[a] \leq [b]$ iff $h(a) \leq h(b)$, then the set of equivalence classes form a chain.

The height function of a poset P looks remarkably like the rank function of a graded poset: h is constant on the set of all minimal elements, and h is isotone (preserves order). When is h a rank function (the additional condition being the preservation of the covering relation)? The answer is given by a chain condition imposed on P, called the $Jordan-Dedekind\ chain\ condition$:

(*) In a poset, the cardinalities of two maximal chains between common end points must be the same.

Suppose for each $a \in P$, h(a) is finite and P has a unique minimal element 0. Then P can be graded by h iff (*) is satisfied. More generally, if we drop the assumption of the uniqueness of a minimal element, then P can be graded by h iff any two maximal chains ending at the same end point have the same length.