



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

domain

Canonical name	Domain12
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:49:25
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:49:25
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	8
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 06B35
Synonym	directed complete
Synonym	directed complete poset
Synonym	directed complete partially ordered set
Related topic	CompleteLattice
Defines	domain
Defines	dcpo

A poset P is said to be a *directed complete partially ordered set*, or *dcpo* for short, if every directed set $D \subseteq P$ has a supremum. Since the empty set is directed, a dcpo must have a bottom element.

A *domain* P is a continuous dcpo. Here, continuous means that P is a continuous poset.

Example. Let A, B be sets. Consider the set P of all partial functions from A to B . This means that any $f \in P$ is a function $C \rightarrow B$, for some subset C of A . We show that P is a domain.

1. P is a poset: Define a binary relation on P as follows: $f \leq g$ iff g is an extension of f . In other words, if $f : C \rightarrow B$ and $g : D \rightarrow B$, then $C \subseteq D$ and $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in C$. Clearly, \leq is reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive. So \leq turns P into a poset.
2. P is a dcpo: Suppose that D is a directed subset of P . Set $E = \bigcup \{\text{dom}(f) \mid f \in D\}$. Define $g : E \rightarrow B$ as follows: for any $x \in E$, $g(x) = f(x)$ where $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ for some $f \in D$. Is this well-defined? Suppose $x \in \text{dom}(f_1) \cap \text{dom}(f_2)$. Since D is directed, there is an $f \in D$ extending both f_1 and f_2 . This means that $f_1(x) = f(x) = f_2(x)$. Therefore, $g := \bigvee D$ is a well-defined function (on E). Hence P is a dcpo.
3. If $f, g \ll h$, then $f \vee g \ll h$: Since h extends both f and g , $a := f \vee g : \text{dom}(f) \cup \text{dom}(g) \rightarrow B$ is well-defined (the construction is the same as above). To show that $a \ll h$, suppose $D \subseteq P$ is directed and $h \leq \bigvee D$ (note that $\bigvee D$ exists by 2 above). Since $f \ll h$, there is $r \in D$ such that $f \leq r$. Similarly, $g \ll h$ implies an $s \in D$ with $g \leq s$. Since D is directed, there is $t \in D$ with $r, s \leq t$. This means $f \leq t$ and $g \leq t$, or $a = f \vee g \leq t$.
4. P is continuous: Let $\text{wb}(h) = \{f \in P \mid f \ll h\}$. Then by 3 above, $\text{wb}(h)$ is a directed set. By 2, $b := \bigvee \text{wb}(h)$ exists, and $b \leq h$. Suppose $x \in \text{dom}(h)$. Then the function $c_x : \{x\} \rightarrow B$ defined by $c_x(x) = h(x)$ is way below h , for if $h \leq \bigvee D$, then $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ for some $f \in D$, or $\text{dom}(c_x) = \{x\} \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$, which means $c_x \leq f$. Therefore, $c_x \leq b$. This implies that $\text{dom}(h) = \bigvee \{\text{dom}(c_x) \mid x \in \text{dom}(h)\} \subseteq \text{dom}(b)$. As a result, $h \leq b$.

Remark. Domain theory is a branch of order theory that is used extensively in theoretical computer science. As in the example, one can think of a

domain as a collection of partial pictures or pieces of partial information. Being continuous is the same as saying that any picture or piece of information can be pieced together by partial ones by way of “approximations”.