

normal subgroup lattice is modular

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Normal Subgroup Lattice Is Modular}$

Date of creation 2013-03-22 15:50:33 Last modified on 2013-03-22 15:50:33

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 9

Author CWoo (3771)
Entry type Derivation
Classification msc 06C05
Classification msc 20E25

The fact that the normal subgroups of a group G form a lattice (call it N(G)) is proved http://planetmath.org/NormalSubgroupsFormSublatticeOfASubgroupLatticeThe only remaining item is to show that N(G) is http://planetmath.org/ModularLatticemodulation means, for any normal subgroups H, K, L of G such that $L \subseteq K$,

$$L \vee (H \wedge K) = (L \vee H) \wedge K$$

where the meet operation $A \wedge B$ denotes set intersection $A \cap B$, and the join operation $A \vee B$ denotes the subgroup generated by $A \cup B$.

Proof. First, we show that $L \vee (H \wedge K) \subseteq (L \vee H) \wedge K$. It is easy to see that

- 1. $L \subseteq K \land (L \lor H)$: $L \subseteq K$ is assumed and $L \subseteq L \lor H$ follows from the definition of \lor , and
- 2. $H \wedge K \subseteq K \wedge (L \vee H)$: $H \wedge K \subseteq K$ follows from the definition of \wedge , and $H \wedge K \subseteq H \subseteq L \vee H$.

As a result, $L \vee (H \wedge K) \subseteq K \wedge (L \vee H) = (L \vee H) \wedge K$.

Before proving the other inclusion, we shall derive a small lemma concerning $L \vee H$ where L, H are normal subgroups of G:

$$L \vee H = \{\ell h \mid \ell \in L \text{ and } h \in H\}.$$

Proof. One direction is obvious, so we will just show $L \vee H \subseteq \{\ell h \mid \ell \in L \text{ and } h \in H\}$. Any element of $L \vee H$ can be expressed as a finite product of elements from L or H. This finite product representation can be reduced so that no two adjacent elements belong to the same group. Next, $h\ell = (h\ell h^{-1})h$, where $h\ell h^{-1} \in L$ since L is normal, showing that an ℓ on the right of the product can be "filtered" to the left of the product (of course, this "filtering" changes ℓ to another element of L, but it is the form of the product, not the elements in the product, that we are interested in). This implies that the finite product representation can further be reduced (by an induction argument) so it has the final form ℓh .

Now back to the main proof. Take any $g \in (L \vee H) \wedge K$. Then $g \in K$ and $g \in L \vee H$ and so $g = \ell h$ for some $\ell \in L$ and $h \in H$ by the lemma just shown. Since $g \in K$, this means $h = \ell^{-1}g \in LK \subseteq K$. So $h \in H \wedge K$. We have just expressed g as a product of $\ell \in L$ and $h \in H \wedge K$, and so $g \in L \vee (H \wedge K)$.