



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

Riesz group

Canonical name	RieszGroup
Date of creation	2013-03-22 17:09:18
Last modified on	2013-03-22 17:09:18
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	7
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 06F20
Classification	msc 20F60
Defines	Riesz decomposition property
Defines	interpolation group
Defines	antilattice

Let G be a po-group and G^+ the positive cone of G . The following are equivalent:

1. G , as a poset, satisfies the Riesz interpolation property;
2. if $x, y_1, y_2 \in G^+$ and $x \leq y_1 y_2$, then $x = z_1 z_2$ with $z_i \leq y_i$ for some $z_i \in G^+$, $i = 1, 2$.

The second property above, put it plainly, says that any positive element that is bounded from above by a product of positive elements, can be “decomposed” as a product of positive elements. This property is known as the *Riesz decomposition property*.

Proof. ($1 \Rightarrow 2$). Given $x \leq y_1 y_2$ and $e \leq x, y_1, y_2$. Set $r = y_1^{-1}x$. Then we have four inequalities, which can be abbreviated as $\{r, e\} \leq \{x, y_2\}$, where each of the elements in the first set is less than or equal to each of the elements in the second set. By the Riesz interpolation property, we can insert an element between the sets: $\{r, e\} \leq z_2 \leq \{x, y_2\}$. From this it is clear that $e \leq z_2 \leq y_1$. Set $z_1 = x z_2^{-1}$. Since $z_2 \leq x$, we have $e \leq x z_2^{-1} = z_1$. Also, since $y_1^{-1}x = r \leq z_2$, $z_2^{-1} \leq x^{-1}y_1$, so that $z_1 \leq x(x^{-1}y_1) = y_1$.

($2 \Rightarrow 1$). Suppose $\{a, b\} \leq \{c, d\}$. Set $x = a^{-1}c$, $y_1 = a^{-1}d$ and $y_2 = b^{-1}c$. Then $x, y_1, y_2 \in G^+$. Since $e \leq db^{-1}$, we have $x = a^{-1}c = a^{-1}ec \leq a^{-1}(db^{-1})c = (a^{-1}d)(b^{-1}c) = y_1 y_2$. By the Riesz decomposition property, $a^{-1}c = x = z_1 z_2$ for some $z_1, z_2 \in G$ with $e \leq z_1 \leq y_1 = a^{-1}d$ and $e \leq z_2 \leq y_2 = b^{-1}c$. The decomposition equality can be rewritten as $c = a z_1 z_2$, and the last two inequalities can be rewritten as $a z_1 \leq d$ and $b z_2 \leq c$. Set $s = a z_1$, so we have $a \leq a z_1 = s \leq a z_1 z_2 = c$. Furthermore, since $b z_2 \leq c = a z_1 z_2$, we get $b \leq a z_1 = s$. Finally from $z_1 \leq a^{-1}d$, we have $s = a z_1 \leq d$. Gather all the inequalities, we have finally $\{a, b\} \leq s \leq \{c, d\}$. \square

Definitions. Let G be a po-group.

- G is called an *interpolation group* if G satisfies one of the two equivalent conditions in the theorem above.
- G is a *Riesz group* if G is a directed interpolation group. By directed we mean that G , as a poset, is a directed set.
- G is an *antilattice* if G is a Riesz group with the property that if $a, b \in G$ have a greatest lower bound, then a and b are comparable.

Any lattice-ordered group is an antilattice. Here is an interpolation group that is not an l-group. Let $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Define $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$ iff $(c, d) - (a, b) = (0, n)$ for some non-negative integer n . This order is a partial order. But G is not a lattice, since $(1, 0) \vee (0, 0)$ does not exist. However, if any two elements in G have either an upper bound or a lower bound, then the elements are in fact comparable. Therefore, $\{a, b\} \leq \{c, d\}$ means that a, b, c, d form a chain. So any element in the interval $[a \vee b, c \wedge d]$ “interpolates” $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$. Note that G is not a Riesz group, for otherwise it would be a chain.