



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

closure of a subset under relations

Canonical name	ClosureOfASubsetUnderRelations
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:21:26
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:21:26
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	36
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 08A02
Defines	closed under
Defines	closure property

Let A be a set and R be an n -ary relation on A , $n \geq 1$. A subset B of A is said to be *closed under R* , or *R -closed*, if, whenever $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B$, and $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) \in R$, then $b_n \in B$.

Note that if R is unary, then B is R -closed iff $R \subseteq B$.

More generally, let A be a set and \mathcal{R} a set of (finitary) relations on A . A subset B is said to be *\mathcal{R} -closed* if B is R -closed for each $R \in \mathcal{R}$.

Given $B \subseteq A$ with a set of relations \mathcal{R} on A , we say that $C \subseteq A$ is an *\mathcal{R} -closure* of B if

1. $B \subseteq C$,
2. C is \mathcal{R} -closed, and
3. if $D \subseteq A$ satisfies both 1 and 2, then $C \subseteq D$.

By condition 3, C , if exists, must be unique. Let us call it the \mathcal{R} -closure of B , and denote it by $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$. If $\mathcal{R} = \{R\}$, then we call it the R -closure of B , and denote it by $\text{Cl}_R(B)$ correspondingly.

Here are some examples.

1. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $B = \{5\}$, and R be the relation that mRn whenever m divides n . Clearly B is not closed under R (for example, $(5, 10) \in R$ but $10 \notin B$). Then $\text{Cl}_R(B) = 5\mathbb{Z}$. If R is instead the relation \leq , then $\text{Cl}_{\leq}(B) = \{n \in A \mid n \geq 5\}$.
2. This is an example where R is in fact a function (operation). Suppose $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and R is the binary operation subtraction $-$. Suppose $B = \{3, 5\}$. Then $\text{Cl}_-(B) = A$. To see this, set $C = \text{Cl}_-(B)$. Note that $2 = 5 - 3 \in C$ so $1 = 3 - 2$ as well as $-1 = 2 - 3 \in C$. This means that if $n \in C$, both $n - 1$ and $n + 1 \in C$. By induction, $C = \mathbb{Z}$. In general, if $B = \{p, q\}$, where p, q are coprime, then $\text{Cl}_-(B) = \mathbb{Z}$. This is essentially the result of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.
3. If R is unary, then the R -closure of $B \subseteq A$ is just $B \cup R$. When every $R \in \mathcal{R}$ is unary, then the \mathcal{R} -closure of B in A is $(\bigcup \mathcal{R}) \cup B$.

Proposition 1. $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$ exists for every $B \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let $S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$ be the set of subsets of A satisfying the defining conditions 1 and 2 of \mathcal{R} -closures above, partially ordered by \subseteq . If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$, then $\bigcap \mathcal{C} \in S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$. To see this, we break the statement down into cases:

- In the case when $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$, we have $\bigcap \mathcal{C} = A \in S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$.
- When $C := \bigcap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$, pick any n -ary relation $R \in \mathcal{R}$.
 1. If $n = 1$, then, since each $D \in \mathcal{C}$ is R -closed, $R \subseteq D$. Therefore, $R \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{C} = \bigcap \{D \mid D \in \mathcal{C}\} = C$. So C is R -closed.
 2. If $n > 1$, pick elements $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in C$ such that $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in R$. As each $c_i \in D$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and D is R -closed, $c_n \in D$. Since $c_n \in D$ for every $D \in \mathcal{C}$, $c_n \in C$ as well. This shows that C is R -closed.

In both cases, $B \subseteq C$ since $B \subseteq D$ for every $D \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, $C \in S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$.

Hence, $S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$ is a complete lattice by virtue of <http://planetmath.org/CriteriaForAPosetToBe> fact, which means that $S_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$ has a minimal element, which is none other than the \mathcal{R} -closure $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$ of B . \square

Remark. It is not hard to see $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}$ has the following properties:

1. $B \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$,
2. $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)) = \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$, and
3. if $B \subseteq C$, then $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B) \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(C)$.

Next, assume that \mathcal{S} is another set of finitary relations on A . Then

1. if $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{S}}(B) \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)$,
2. $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{S}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)) \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}}(B)$, and
3. $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{S}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R}}(B)) = \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}}(B)$ if $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.