



Math for the people, by the people.

proof of fundamental theorem of Galois theory

Canonical name	ProofOfFundamentalTheoremOfGaloisTheory
Date of creation	2013-03-22 14:26:38
Last modified on	2013-03-22 14:26:38
Owner	pbruin (1001)
Last modified by	pbruin (1001)
Numerical id	5
Author	pbruin (1001)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 12F10
Classification	msc 11R32
Classification	msc 11S20
Classification	msc 13B05

The theorem is a consequence of the following lemmas, roughly corresponding to the various assertions in the theorem. We assume L/F to be a finite-dimensional Galois extension of fields with Galois group

$$G = \text{Gal}(L/F).$$

The first two lemmas establish the correspondence between subgroups of G and extension fields of F contained in L .

Lemma 1. *Let K be an extension field of F contained in L . Then L is Galois over K , and $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a subgroup of G .*

Proof. Note that L/F is normal and separable because it is a Galois extension; it remains to prove that L/K is also normal and separable. Since L is normal and finite over F , it is the splitting field of a polynomial $f \in F[X]$ over F . Now L is also the splitting field of f over K (because $F \subset K \subset L$), so L/K is normal.

To see that L/K is also separable, suppose $\alpha \in L$, and let $f_F^\alpha \in F[X]$ be its minimal polynomial over F . Then the minimal polynomial f_K^α of α over K divides f_F^α , which has no double roots in its splitting field by the separability of L/F . Therefore f_K^α has no double roots in its splitting field for any $\alpha \in L$, so L is separable over K .

The assertion that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a subgroup of G is clear from the fact that $K \supset F$. \square

Lemma 2. *The function ϕ from the set of extension fields of F contained in L to the set of subgroups of G defined by*

$$\phi(K) = \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

is an inclusion-reversing bijection. The inverse is given by

$$\phi^{-1}(H) = L^H,$$

where L^H is the fixed field of H in L .

Proof. The definition of ϕ makes sense because of Lemma 1. The

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \phi(K) = K \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \circ \phi^{-1}(H) = H$$

for all subgroups $H \subset G$ and all fields K with $F \subset K \subset L$ follow from the properties of the Galois group. The fixed field of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is precisely K ;

on the other hand, since L^H is the fixed field of H in L , H is the Galois group of L/L^H .

For extensions K and K' of F with $F \subset K \subset K' \subset L$, we have

$$\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K') \iff \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K),$$

so $\phi(K) \supset \phi(K')$. This shows that ϕ is inclusion-reversing. \square

The following lemmas show that normal subextensions of L/F are Galois extensions and that their Galois groups are quotient groups of G .

Lemma 3. *Let H be a subgroup of G . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. L^H is normal over F .
2. $\sigma(L^H) = L^H$ for all $\sigma \in G$.
3. $\sigma H \sigma^{-1} = H$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

In particular, L^H is normal over F if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G .

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$: Since for all $\sigma \in G$ and $\alpha \in L^H$, $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a zero of the minimal polynomial of α over F , we have $\sigma(\alpha) \in L^H$ by the of L^H/F .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$: For all $\sigma \in G, \tau \in H$ the equality

$$\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}(x) = \sigma \sigma^{-1}(x) = x$$

holds for all $x \in L^H$ (from the assumption it follows that $\sigma^{-1}(x) \in L^H$, which is fixed by τ). This implies that

$$\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Gal}(L/L^H) = H$$

for all $\sigma \in G, \tau \in H$.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$: Let $\alpha \in L^H$, and let f be the minimal polynomial of α over F . Since L/F is normal, f splits into linear factors in $L[X]$. Suppose $\alpha' \in L$ is another zero of f , and let $\sigma \in G$ be such that $\sigma(\alpha') = \alpha$ (such a σ always exists). By assumption, for all $\tau \in H$ we have $\tau' := \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \in H$, so that

$$\tau(\alpha') = \sigma^{-1} \tau' \sigma(\alpha') = \sigma^{-1} \tau'(\alpha) = \sigma^{-1}(\alpha) = \alpha'.$$

This shows that α' lies in L^H as well, so f splits in $L^H[X]$. We conclude that L^H is normal over F . \square

Lemma 4. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Then L^H is a Galois extension of F , and the homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} r: G &\rightarrow \text{Gal}(L^H/F) \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma|_{L^H} \end{aligned}$$

induces a natural identification

$$\text{Gal}(L^H/F) \cong G/H.$$

Proof. By Lemma ??, L^H is normal over F , and because a subextension of a separable extension is separable, L^H/F is a Galois extension.

The map r is well-defined by the implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ from Lemma ??. It is surjective since every automorphism of L^H that fixes F can be extended to an automorphism of L (if $L \neq L^H$, for example, we can choose an $\alpha \in L \setminus L^H$ such that $L = L^H(\alpha)$ using the primitive element theorem, and we can extend $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L^H/F)$ to L by putting $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$). The kernel of r is clearly equal to H , so the first isomorphism theorem gives the claimed identification. \square