



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

field homomorphism

Canonical name	FieldHomomorphism
Date of creation	2013-03-22 13:54:54
Last modified on	2013-03-22 13:54:54
Owner	alozano (2414)
Last modified by	alozano (2414)
Numerical id	9
Author	alozano (2414)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 12E99
Synonym	field monomorphism
Related topic	RingHomomorphism
Defines	field homomorphism
Defines	field isomorphism

Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields.

**Definition.** A field homomorphism is a function  $\psi: F \rightarrow K$  such that:

1.  $\psi(a + b) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in F$
2.  $\psi(a \cdot b) = \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in F$
3.  $\psi(1) = 1, \quad \psi(0) = 0$

If  $\psi$  is injective and surjective, then we say that  $\psi$  is a field isomorphism.

**Lemma.** Let  $\psi: F \rightarrow K$  be a field homomorphism. Then  $\psi$  is injective.

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $\psi$  is a field homomorphism, in particular it is a ring homomorphism. Note that the kernel of a ring homomorphism is an ideal and a field  $F$  only has two ideals, namely  $\{0\}, F$ . Moreover, by the definition of field homomorphism,  $\psi(1) = 1$ , hence 1 is not in the kernel of the map, so the kernel must be equal to  $\{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** For this reason the terms “field homomorphism” and “field monomorphism” are synonymous. Also note that if  $\psi$  is a field monomorphism, then

$$\psi(F) \cong F, \quad \psi(F) \subseteq K$$

so there is a “copy” of  $F$  in  $K$ . In other words, if

$$\psi: F \rightarrow K$$

is a field homomorphism then there exist a subfield  $H$  of  $K$  such that  $H \cong F$ . Conversely, suppose there exists  $H \subset K$  with  $H$  isomorphic to  $F$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\chi: F \rightarrow H$$

and we also have the inclusion homomorphism

$$\iota: H \hookrightarrow K$$

Thus the composition

$$\iota \circ \chi: F \rightarrow K$$

is a field homomorphism.

**Remark:** Let  $\psi: F \rightarrow K$  be a field homomorphism. We claim that the characteristic of  $F$  and  $K$  must be the same. Indeed, since  $\psi(1_F) = 1_K$

and  $\psi(0_F) = 0_K$  then  $\psi(n \cdot 1_F) = n \cdot 1_K$  for all natural numbers  $n$ . If the characteristic of  $F$  is  $p > 0$  then  $0 = \psi(p \cdot 1) = p \cdot 1$  in  $K$ , and so the characteristic of  $K$  is also  $p$ . If the characteristic of  $F$  is 0, then the characteristic of  $K$  must be 0 as well. For if  $p \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $K$  then  $\psi(p \cdot 1) = 0$ , and since  $\psi$  is injective by the lemma, we would have  $p \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $F$  as well.