

proof of casus irreducibilis for real fields

Canonical name ProofOfCasusIrreducibilisForRealFields

Date of creation 2013-03-22 17:43:08 Last modified on 2013-03-22 17:43:08

Owner rm50 (10146) Last modified by rm50 (10146)

Numerical id 8

Author rm50 (10146) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 12F10 The classical statement of the casus irreducibilis is that if f(x) is an irreducible cubic polynomial with rational coefficients and three real roots, then the roots of f(x) are not expressible using real radicals. One example of such a polynomial is x^3-3x+1 , whose roots are $2\cos(2\pi/9)$, $2\cos(8\pi/9)$, $2\cos(14\pi/9)$.

This article generalizes the classical case to include all polynomials whose degree is not a power of 2, and also generalizes the base field to be any real extension of \mathbb{Q} :

Theorem 1. Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a field, and assume $f(x) \in F[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial whose splitting field L is real with $F \subset L \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. Some root of f(x) is expressible by real radicals over F;
- 2. All roots of f(x) are expressible by real radicals over F using only square roots;
- 3. $F \subset L$ is a radical extension;
- 4. [L:F] is a power of 2.

Proof. That $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ is obvious, and $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ since $F \subset L$ is radical, and is real since $L \subset \mathbb{R}$. (4) implies that $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/F)$ has order a power of 2. Since G is a 2-group, it has a nontrivial center (this follows directly from the class equation, or look http://planetmath.org/ANontrivialNormalSubgroupOfAFinitePGro and thus has a normal subgroup H of order 2, which corresponds to a subfield M of L Galois over F with [L:M]=2. But then $\operatorname{Gal}(M/F)$ is also a 2-group, so inductively we see that we can write

$$F = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \ldots \subset K_{m-1} = M \subset K_m = L$$

where $[K_i : K_{i-1}] = 2$. Thus each K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by adjoining a square root; it must be a real square root since $L \subset \mathbb{R}$. This shows that $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$ and (3).

The meat of the proof is in showing that $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$. Let the roots of f(x) be $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$, and assume, by renumbering if necessary, that $\alpha = \alpha_1$ lies in a real radical extension K of F but that [L:F] is not a power of 2. Choose an odd prime p dividing [L:F] = |G|, and choose an element $\tau \in G$ of order p. Then τ is not the identity, so for some i, $\tau(\alpha_i) \neq \alpha_i$. Also, since f(x)

is irreducible, G acts transitively on the roots of f(x), so for some $\nu \in G$, $\nu(\alpha) = \alpha_i$. Then $\sigma = \nu^{-1}\tau\nu$ does not fix α , since

$$\nu^{-1}\tau\nu(\alpha) = \nu^{-1}\tau(\alpha_i) \neq \nu^{-1}(\alpha_i) = \alpha$$

Let $N = L^{\sigma}$ be the fixed field of σ . Then L is Galois over N, and clearly [L:N] = p. But Galois subfields of real radical extensions are at most quadratic, so L cannot lie in a real radical extension of N.

However, $\alpha \notin N$, $\alpha \in L$, and [L:N] is prime. Thus $L = N(\alpha) \subset NK$ (since $\alpha \in K$). Additionally, since $F \subset F(\alpha) \subset K$ is a real radical extension of F, we have also that NK is a real radical extension of NF = N. So L lies in the real radical extension NK of N. But this is a contradiction and thus [L:F] must be a power of 2.

One consequence of this theorem is the fact that if $f(x) \in F[x]$ has degree not a power of 2, then if f(x) has all real roots, those roots are not expressible in terms of real radicals. If deg f=3, we recover the original casus irreducibilis.

References

[1] D.A. Cox, Galois Theory, Wiley-Interscience, 2004.