

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## Zariski lemma

Canonical name ZariskiLemma

Date of creation 2013-03-22 17:18:11 Last modified on 2013-03-22 17:18:11 Owner polarbear (3475) Last modified by polarbear (3475)

Numerical id 7

Author polarbear (3475)

Entry type Derivation Classification msc 12F05 Classification msc 11J85 **Proposition 1.** Let  $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$  be commutative rings. If R is noetherian, and T finitely generated as an R-algebra and as an S-module, then S is finitely generated as an R-algebra.

**Lemma 1** (Zariski's lemma). Let (L:K) be a field extension and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in L$  be such that  $K(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ . Then the elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are algebraic over K.

*Proof.* The case n=1 is clear. Now suppose n>1 and not all  $a_i, 1 \le i \le n$  are algebraic over K.

Wlog we may assume  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are algebraically independent and each element  $a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_n$  is algebraic over  $D := K(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ . Hence  $K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  is a finite algebraic extension of D and therefore is a finitely generated D-module.

The above proposition applied to  $K \subseteq D \subseteq K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  shows that D is finitely generated as a K-algebra, i.e  $D = K[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ .

Let  $d_i = \frac{p_i(a_1,...,a_n)}{q_i(a_1,...,a_n)}$ , where  $p_i, q_i \in K[x_1,...,x_n]$ .

Now  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are algebraically independent so that  $K[a_1, \ldots, a_n] \cong K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , which is a http://planetmath.org/UFDUFD.

Let h be a prime divisor of  $q_1 \cdots q_r + 1$ . Since q is relatively prime to each of  $q_i$ , the element  $q(a_1, \ldots, a_n)^{-1} \in D$  cannot be in  $K[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ . We obtain a contradiction.