



Math for the people, by the people.

unities of ring and subring

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Canonical name | UnitiesOfRingAndSubring |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 14:49:37 |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 14:49:37 |
| Owner | pahio (2872) |
| Last modified by | pahio (2872) |
| Numerical id | 5 |
| Author | pahio (2872) |
| Entry type | Result |
| Classification | msc 13-00 |
| Classification | msc 16-00 |
| Classification | msc 20-00 |
| Related topic | UnityOfSubring |

Let R be a ring and S a proper subring of it. Then there exists five cases in all concerning the possible unities of R and S .

1. R and S have a common unity.
2. R has a unity but S does not.
3. R and S both have their own non-zero unities but these are distinct.
4. R has no unity but S has a non-zero unity.
5. Neither R nor S have unity.

Note: In the cases 3 and 4, the unity of the subring S must be a zero divisor of R .

Examples

1. The ring \mathbb{Q} and its subring \mathbb{Z} have the common unity 1.
2. The subring S of even integers of the ring \mathbb{Z} has no unity.
3. Let S be the subring of all pairs $(a, 0)$ of the ring $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for which the operations “+” and “.” are defined componentwise (i.e. $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$ etc.). Then S and R have the unities $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$, respectively.
4. Let S be the subring of all pairs $(a, 0)$ of the ring $R = \{(a, 2b) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (operations componentwise). Now S has the unity $(1, 0)$ but R has no unity.
5. Neither the ring $\{(2a, 2b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (operations componentwise) nor its subring consisting of the pairs $(2a, 0)$ have unity.