

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## integral closures in separable extensions are finitely generated

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Integral Closures In Separable Extensions Are Finitely Generated}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 17:02:12 Last modified on 2013-03-22 17:02:12

Owner rm50 (10146) Last modified by rm50 (10146)

Numerical id 5

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Author} & \text{rm}50 \ (10146) \\ \text{Entry type} & \text{Theorem} \\ \text{Classification} & \text{msc} \ 13B21 \\ \text{Classification} & \text{msc} \ 12F05 \end{array}$ 

Related topic IntegralClosureIsRing

The theorem below generalizes to arbitrary integral ring extensions (under certain conditions) the fact that the ring of integers of a number field is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The proof parallels the proof of the number field result.

**Theorem 1.** Let B be an integrally closed Noetherian domain with field of fractions K. Let L be a finite separable extension of K, and let A be the integral closure of B in L. Then A is a finitely generated B-module.

Proof. We first show that the http://planetmath.org/Trace2trace  $Tr_K^L$  maps A to B. Choose  $u \in A$  and let  $f = Irr(u, K) \in K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial for u over K; assume f is of degree d. Let the conjugates of u in some splitting field be  $u = a_1, \ldots, a_d$ . Then the  $a_i$  are all integral over B since they satisfy u's monic polynomial in B[x]. Since the coefficients of F are polynomials in the  $a_i$ , they too are integral over B. But the coefficients are in K, and B is integrally closed (in K), so the coefficients are in B. But  $Tr_K^L(u)$  is just the coefficient of  $x^{d-1}$  in f, and thus  $Tr_K^L(u) \in B$ . This proves the claim.

Now, choose a basis  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_d$  of L/K. We may assume  $\omega_i \in A$  by multiplying each by an appropriate element of B. (To see this, let  $Irr(\omega_i, K) \in K[x] = x^d + k_1 x^{d-1} + \ldots + k_d$ . Choose  $b \in B$  such that  $bk_i \in B \ \forall i$ . Then  $(b\omega)^d + bk_1(b\omega)^{d-1} + \ldots + b^dk_d = 0$  and thus  $b\omega \in A$ ). Define a linear map  $\varphi: L \to K^d: a \mapsto (Tr_K^L(a\omega_1), \ldots, Tr_K^L(a\omega_d))$ .

 $\varphi$  is 1-1, since if  $u \in \ker \varphi, u \neq 0$ , then Tr(uL) = 0. But uL = L, so  $Tr_K^L$  is identically zero, which cannot be since L is separable over K (it is a standard result that separability is equivalent to nonvanishing of the trace map; see for example [?], Chapter 8).

But  $Tr_K^L: A \to B$  by the above, so  $\varphi: A \hookrightarrow B^d$ . Since B is Noetherian, any submodule of a finitely generated module is also finitely generated, so A is finitely generated as a B-module.

## References

[1] P. Morandi, Field and Galois Theory, Springer, 2006.