

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

modules over bound quiver algebra and bound quiver representations

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Modules Over Bound Quiver Algebra And Bound Quiver Representations}$

Date of creation 2013-03-22 19:17:34 Last modified on 2013-03-22 19:17:34

Owner joking (16130) Last modified by joking (16130)

Numerical id 5

Author joking (16130) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 14L24 Let (Q, I) be a bound quiver over a fixed field k. Denote by ModA (resp. modA) the category of all (resp. all finite-dimensional) (right) modules over algebra A and by $\text{REP}_{Q,I}$ (resp. $\text{rep}_{Q,I}$) the category of all (resp. all finite-dimensional, (see http://planetmath.org/QuiverRepresentationsAndRepresentationMorphis entry for details) bound representations.

We will also allow I=0 (which is an admissible ideal only if lengths of paths in Q are bounded, in particular when Q is finite and acyclic). In this case bound representations are simply representations.

Theorem. If Q is a connected and finite quiver, I and admissible ideal in kQ and A = kQ/I, then there exists a k-equivalence of categories

$$F: \mathrm{Mod}A \to \mathrm{REP}_{Q,I}$$

which restricts to the equivalence of categories

$$F' : \operatorname{mod} A \to \operatorname{rep}_{O,I}$$
.

Sketch of the proof. We will only define functor F and its quasi-inverse G. For proof that F is actually an equivalence please see [?, Theorem 1.6] (this not difficult, but rather technical proof).

Let e_a be a stationary path in $a \in Q_0$ and put $\epsilon_a = e_a + I \in A$. Now if M is a module in ModA, then define a representation

$$F(M) = (M_a, M_\alpha)$$

by putting $M_a = M\epsilon_a$ (M is a right module over A). Now for an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ define $M_\alpha : M_{s(\alpha)} \to M_{t(\alpha)}$ by putting $M_\alpha(x) = x\overline{\alpha}$, where $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha + I \in A$. It can be shown (see [?]) that F(M) is a bound representation.

On module morphisms F acts as follows. If $f:M\to M'$ is a module morphism, then define

$$F(f) = (f_a)_{a \in Q_0}$$

where $f_a: M_a \to M'_a$ is a restriction, i.e. $f_a(x) = f(x)$. It can be shown that f_a is well-defined (i.e. $f_a(x) \in M'_a$) and in this manner F is a functor.

The inverse functor is defined on objects as follows: for a representation (M_a, M_{α}) put

$$G(M) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_0} M_a.$$

Now we will define right kQ-module structure on G(M). For a stationary path e_a in $a \in Q_0$ and for $x = (x_a) \in G(M)$ put

$$x \cdot e_a = x_a$$
.

Now for a path $w=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ from a to b in kQ we consider the evaluation map (see http://planetmath.org/RepresentationsOfABoundQuiverthis entry for details) $f_w:M_a\to M_b$ and we put

$$(x \cdot w)_c = \delta_{bc} f_w(x_a),$$

where δ_{bc} denotes the Kronecker delta. It can be shown that G(M) is a kQ-module with the property that G(M)I = 0. In particular G(M) is a kQ/I-module.

Now, if $f=(f_a):M\to M'$ is a morphism of representations then we define

$$G(f) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_0} f_a : G(M) \to G(M).$$

It can be shown that G(f) is indeed an A-homomorphism and that G is a functor.

Also, it follows easily from definitions that both F and G take finite-dimensional objects to finite-dimensional.

It remains to show that these two functors are quasi-inverse. For the proof please see [?, Theorem 1.6]. \Box

Corollary. If Q is a finite, connected and acyclic quiver, then there exists an equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Mod} kQ \simeq \mathrm{REP}_Q$ which restricts to the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{mod} kQ \simeq \mathrm{rep}_Q$.

Proof. Since Q is finite and acyclic, then the zero ideal I=0 is admissible (because lengths of paths are bounded, so $R_Q^m=0$ for some $m\geqslant 1$, where R_Q denotes the arrow ideal). The thesis follows from the theorem. \square

References

[1] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowroski, *Elements of the Representation The*ory of Associative Algebras, vol 1., Cambridge University Press 2006, 2007