



**planetmath.org**

Math for the people, by the people.

## criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich

Canonical name	CriterionOfNeronOggShafarevich
Date of creation	2013-03-22 17:14:58
Last modified on	2013-03-22 17:14:58
Owner	alozano (2414)
Last modified by	alozano (2414)
Numerical id	4
Author	alozano (2414)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 14H52
Synonym	criterion of Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich
Related topic	EllipticCurve
Related topic	ArithmeticOfEllipticCurves

In this entry, we use the following notation.  $K$  is a local field, complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $\nu$ ,  $R$  is the ring of integers of  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is the maximal ideal of  $R$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  is the residue field of  $R$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\Xi$  be a set on which  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  acts. We say that  $\Xi$  is unramified at  $\nu$  if the action of the inertia group  $I_\nu$  on  $\Xi$  is trivial, i.e.  $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta$  for all  $\sigma \in I_\nu$  and for all  $\zeta \in \Xi$ .

**Theorem** (Criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich). Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $K$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $E$  has good reduction over  $K$ ;
2.  $E[m]$  is unramified at  $\nu$  for all  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\gcd(m, \text{char}(\mathbb{F})) = 1$ ;
3. The Tate module  $T_l(E)$  is unramified at  $\nu$  for some (all)  $l$ ,  $l \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ ;
4.  $E[m]$  is unramified at  $\nu$  for infinitely many integers  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\gcd(m, \text{char}(\mathbb{F})) = 1$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Then  $E$  has potential good reduction if and only if the inertia group  $I_\nu$  acts on  $T_l(E)$  through a finite quotient for some prime  $l \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ .

*Proof of Corollary.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $E$  has potential good reduction. By definition, there exists a finite extension of  $K$ , call it  $K'$ , such that  $E/K'$  has good reduction. We can extend  $K'$  (if necessary) so  $K'/K$  is a Galois finite extension.

Let  $\nu'$  and  $I_{\nu'}$  be the corresponding valuation and inertia group for  $K'$ . Then the theorem above ( (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) ) implies that  $T_l(E)$  is unramified at  $\nu'$  for all  $l$ ,  $l \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}')$  (since  $\mathbb{F}'$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ ). So  $I_{\nu'}$  acts trivially on  $T_l(E)$  for all  $l \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F}')$ . Thus  $I_\nu \hookrightarrow T_l(E)$  factors through the finite quotient  $I_\nu/I_{\nu'}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $l \neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ , and assume  $I_\nu \hookrightarrow T_l(E)$  factors through a finite quotient, say  $I_\nu/J$ . Let  $\overline{K}^J$  be the fixed field of  $J$ , then  $\overline{K}^J/\overline{K}^{I_\nu}$  is a finite extension, so we can find a finite extension  $K'/K$  so that  $\overline{K}^J = K'\overline{K}^{I_\nu}$ . So the inertia group of  $K'$  is equal to  $J$ , and  $J$  acts trivially on  $T_l(E)$ . Hence the criterion ( (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) ) implies that  $E$  has good reduction over  $K'$ , and since  $K'/K$  is finite,  $E$  has potential good reduction.  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Then  $E$  has potential good reduction if and only if its  $j$ -invariant is integral ( i.e.  $j(E) \in R$  ).*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , it is easy to prove that we can extend  $K$  to a finite extension  $K'$  so that  $E$  has a Weierstrass equation:

$$E : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda) \quad \lambda \neq 0, 1 \quad (1)$$

Since we are assuming  $j(E) \in R$ , and:

$$(1 - \lambda(1 - \lambda))^3 - j\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2 = 0 \quad (2)$$

then  $\lambda \in R$  and  $\lambda \neq 0, 1 \pmod{\mathcal{M}'}$  ( $\Rightarrow \Delta' \in (R')^*$ ). Hence  $E/K'$  has good reduction, i.e.  $E$  has potential good reduction.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $E$  has potential good reduction, so there exists  $K'$  so that  $E/K'$  has good reduction. Let  $\Delta', c'_4$  the usual quantities associated to the Weierstrass equation over  $K'$ . Since  $E/K'$  has good reduction,  $\Delta' \in (R')^*$ , and so  $j(E) = \frac{(c'_4)^3}{\Delta'} \in R'$ . But since  $E$  is defined over  $K$ ,  $j(E) \in K$ , so  $j(E) \in K \cap R' = R$ .  $\square$