

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{proof of calculus theorem used in the} \\ \textbf{Lagrange method} \end{array}$

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf ProofOfCalculus Theorem Used In The Lagrange Method}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 13:29:51 Last modified on 2013-03-22 13:29:51 Owner mathcam (2727) Last modified by mathcam (2727)

Numerical id 6

Author mathcam (2727)

Entry type Proof

Classification msc 15A18 Classification msc 15A42 Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and  $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ , i = 0, ..., m be differentiable scalar functions;  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We will find local extremes of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  where  $\nabla f = 0$ . This can be proved by contradiction:

$$\nabla f \neq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \epsilon_0 > 0, \forall \epsilon; 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 : f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \nabla f) < f(\mathbf{x}) < f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \nabla \mathbf{f})$$

but then  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is not a local extreme.

Now we put up some conditions, such that we should find the  $\mathbf{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that gives a local extreme of f. Let  $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^m S_i$ , and let  $S_i$  be defined so that  $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \forall \mathbf{x} \in S_i$ .

Any vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can have one component perpendicular to the subset  $S_i$  (for visualization, think n=3 and let  $S_i$  be a flat surface).  $\nabla g_i$  will be perpendicular to  $S_i$ , because:

$$\exists \epsilon_0 > 0, \forall \epsilon; 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 : g_i(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \nabla g_i) < g_i(\mathbf{x}) < g_i(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \nabla g_i)$$

But  $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , so any vector  $\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \nabla g_i$  must be outside  $S_i$ , and also outside  $S_i$ . (todo: I have proved that there might exist a component perpendicular to each subset  $S_i$ , but not that there exists only one; this should be done)

By the argument above,  $\nabla f$  must be zero - but now we can ignore all components of  $\nabla f$  perpendicular to S. (todo: this should be expressed more formally and proved)

So we will have a local extreme within  $S_i$  if there exists a  $\lambda_i$  such that

$$\nabla f = \lambda_i \nabla q_i$$

We will have local extreme(s) within S where there exists a set  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, ..., m such that

$$\nabla f = \sum \lambda_i \nabla g_i$$