

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## properties of linear independence

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Properties Of Linear Independence}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:05:37 Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:05:37

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 5

Author CWoo (3771)

Entry type Result
Classification msc 15A03

Let V be a vector space over a field k. Below are some basic properties of linear independence.

1.  $S \subseteq V$  is never linearly independent if  $0 \in S$ .

*Proof.* Since 
$$1 \cdot 0 = 0$$
.

2. If S is linearly independent, so is any subset of S. As a result, if S and T are linearly independent, so is  $S \cap T$ . In addition,  $\emptyset$  is linearly independent, its spanning set being the singleton consisting of the zero vector 0.

*Proof.* If 
$$r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_nv_n = 0$$
, where  $v_i \in T$ , then  $v_i \in S$ , so  $r_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

3. If  $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots$  is a chain of linearly independent subsets of V, so is their union.

*Proof.* Let S be the union. If  $r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_nv_n = 0$ , then  $v_i \in S_{a(i)}$ , for each i. Pick the largest  $S_{a(i)}$  so that all  $v_i$ 's are in it. Since this set is linearly independent,  $r_i = 0$  for all i.

4. S is a basis for V iff S is a maximal linear independent subset of V. Here, maximal means that any proper superset of S is linearly dependent.

*Proof.* If S is a basis for V, then it is linearly independent and spans V. If we take any vector  $v \notin S$ , then v can be expressed as a linear combination of elements in S, so that  $S \cup \{v\}$  is no longer linearly independent, for the coefficient in front of v is non-zero. Therefore, S is maximal.

Conversely, suppose S is a maximal linearly independent set in V. Let W be the span of S. If  $W \neq V$ , pick an element  $v \in V - W$ . Suppose  $0 = r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_nv_n + rv$ , where  $v_i \in S$ , then  $-rv = r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_nv_n$ . If  $r \neq 0$ , then v would be in the span of S, contradicting the assumption. So r = 0, and as a result,  $r_i = 0$ , since S is linearly independent. This shows that  $S \cup \{v\}$  is linearly independent, which is impossible since S is assumed to be maximal. Therefore, W = V.

**Remark**. All of the properties above can be generalized to modules over rings, except the last one, where the implication is only one-sided: basis implying maximal linear independence.