

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof of Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem

Canonical name ProofOfBirkhoffvonNeumannTheorem

Date of creation 2013-03-22 13:10:03 Last modified on 2013-03-22 13:10:03

Owner Andrea Ambrosio (7332) Last modified by Andrea Ambrosio (7332)

Numerical id 14

Author Andrea Ambrosio (7332)

Entry type Proof

Classification msc 15A51

First, we prove the following lemma:

## Lemma:

A convex combination of doubly stochastic matrices is doubly stochastic. **Proof:** 

Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be a collection of  $n \times n$  doubly-stochastic matrices, and suppose  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a collection of scalars satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . We claim that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i$  is doubly stochastic.

Take any  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ . Since  $A_i$  is doubly stochastic, each of its rows and columns sum to 1. Thus each of the rows and columns of  $\lambda_i A_i$  sum to  $\lambda_i$ .

By the definition of elementwise summation, given matrices  $N = M_1 + M_2$ , the sum of the entries in the *i*th column of N is clearly the sum of the sums of entries of the *i*th columns of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. A similar result holds for the *j*th row.

Hence the sum of the entries in the ith column of A is the sum of the sums of entries of the *i*th columns of  $\lambda_k A_k$  for each *i*, that is,  $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k = 1$ . The sum of entries of the jth row of A is the same. Hence A is doubly stochastic. Observe that since a permutation matrix has a single nonzero entry, equal to 1, in each row and column, so the sum of entries in any row or column must be 1. So a permutation matrix is doubly stochastic, and on applying the lemma, we see that a convex combination of permutation matrices is doubly stochastic. This provides one direction of our proof; we now prove the more difficult direction: suppose B is doubly-stochastic. Define a weighted graph G = (V, E) with vertex set  $V = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ , edge set E, where  $e_{ij} = (r_i, c_i) \in E$  if  $B_{ij} \neq 0$ , and edge weight  $\omega$ , where  $\omega(e_{ij}) = B_{ij}$ . Clearly G is a bipartite graph, with partitions  $R = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$  and  $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ , since the only edges in E are between  $r_i$  and  $c_j$  for some  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Furthermore, since  $B_{ij} \geq 0$ , then  $\omega(e) > 0$  for every  $e \in E$ . For any  $A \subset V$ define N(A), the neighbourhood of A, to be the set of vertices  $u \in V$  such that there is some  $v \in A$  such that  $(u, v) \in E$ . We claim that, for any  $v \in V$ ,  $\sum_{u\in N(\{v\})}\omega(u,v)=1$ . Take any  $v\in V$ ; either  $v\in R$  or  $v\in C$ . Since G is bipartite,  $v \in R$  implies  $N(\{v\}) \subset C$ , and  $v \in C$  implies  $N(\{v\}) \subset R$ . Now,

$$v = r_i \implies \sum_{u \in N(r_i)} \omega(r_i, u) = \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ e_{ij} \in E}}^n \omega(r_i, c_j) = \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ B_{ij} \neq 0}}^n B_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} = 1$$

$$v = c_j \implies \sum_{u \in N(c_j)} \omega(u, c_j) = \sum_{\substack{i=1, \\ e_{ij} \in E}}^n \omega(r_i, c_j) = \sum_{\substack{i=1, \\ B_{ij} \neq 0}}^n B_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ij} = 1$$

since B is doubly stochastic. Now, take any  $A \subset R$ . We have

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in A \\ w \in N(A)}} \omega(v, w) = \sum_{v \in A} \sum_{w \in N(\{v\})} \omega(v, w) = \sum_{v \in A} 1 = |A|$$

Let B = N(A). But then clearly  $A \subset N(B)$ , by definition of neighbourhood. So

$$|N(A)| = |B| = \sum_{\substack{v \in B \\ w \in N(B)}} \omega(v, w) \ge \sum_{\substack{v \in B \\ w \in A}} \omega(v, w) = \sum_{\substack{w \in A \\ v \in N(A)}} \omega(v, w) = |A|$$

So  $|N(A)| \geq |A|$ . We may therefore apply the graph-theoretic version of Hall's marriage theorem to G to conclude that G has a perfect matching. So let  $M \subset E$  be a perfect matching for G. Define an  $n \times n$  matrix P by

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_{ij} \in M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $B_{ij} = 0$  implies  $P_{ij} = 0$ : if  $B_{ij} = 0$ , then  $(r_i, c_j) \notin E$ , so  $(r_i, c_j) \notin M$ , which implies  $P_{ij} = 0$ . Further, we claim that P is a permutation matrix:

Let i be any row of P. Since M is a perfect matching of G, there exists  $e_0 \in M$  such that  $r_i$  is an end of  $e_0$ . Let the other end be  $c_j$  for some i; then  $P_{ij} = 1$ .

Suppose  $i_i, i_2 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $i_1 \neq i_2$  and  $P_{i_1,j} = P_{i_2,j} = 1$  for some j. This implies  $(r_{i_1}, c_j), (r_{i_2}, c_j) \in M$ , but this implies the vertex i is the end of two distinct edges, which contradicts the fact that M is a matching.

Hence, for each row and column of P, there is exactly one nonzero entry, whose value is 1. So P is a permutation matrix. Define  $\lambda = \min_{i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \{B_{ij} \mid P_{ij} \neq 0\}$ .

 of B' are a superset of those of B'. But notice that  $B'_{pq} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}D_{pq} = 0$ , so the zero entries of B' are a strict superset of those of B. We have decomposed B into a convex combination of a permutation matrix and another doubly stochastic matrix with strictly more zero entries than B. Thus we may apply this procedure repeatedly on the doubly stochastic matrix obtained from the previous step, and the number of zero entries will increase with each step. Since B has at most  $n^2$  nonzero entries, we will obtain a convex combination of permutation matrices in at most  $n^2$  steps. Thus B is indeed expressible as a convex combination of permutation matrices.

## References

G. Birkhoff, "Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal," Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev, Ser. A, no. 5, pp147–151. (1946)