



Math for the people, by the people.

Peirce decomposition

Canonical name	PeirceDecomposition
Date of creation	2013-03-22 14:39:17
Last modified on	2013-03-22 14:39:17
Owner	mclase (549)
Last modified by	mclase (549)
Numerical id	7
Author	mclase (549)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 16S99

Let e be an idempotent of a ring R , not necessarily with an identity. For any subset X of R , we introduce the notations:

$$(1 - e)X = \{x - ex \mid x \in X\}$$

and

$$X(1 - e) = \{x - xe \mid x \in X\}.$$

If it happens that R has an identity element, then $1 - e$ is a legitimate element of R , and this notation agrees with the usual product of an element and a set.

It is easy to see that $Xe \cap X(1 - e) = 0 = eX \cap (1 - e)X$ for any set X which contains 0.

Applying this first on the right with $X = R$ and then on the left with $X = Re$ and $X = R(1 - e)$, we obtain:

$$R = eRe \oplus eR(1 - e) \oplus (1 - e)Re \oplus (1 - e)R(1 - e).$$

This is called the *Peirce Decomposition* of R with respect to e .

Note that eRe and $(1 - e)R(1 - e)$ are subrings, $eR(1 - e)$ is an eRe -($1 - e)R(1 - e)$ -bimodule, and $(1 - e)Re$ is a $(1 - e)R(1 - e)$ - eRe -bimodule.

This is an example of a generalized matrix ring:

$$R \cong \begin{pmatrix} eRe & eR(1 - e) \\ (1 - e)Re & (1 - e)R(1 - e) \end{pmatrix}$$

More generally, if R has an identity element, and e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n is a complete set of orthogonal idempotents, then

$$R \cong \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & e_1Re_2 & \dots & e_1Re_n \\ e_2Re_1 & e_2Re_2 & \dots & e_2Re_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & e_nRe_2 & \dots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is a generalized matrix ring.