

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

infinitude of inverses

Canonical name InfinitudeOfInverses
Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:17:30
Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:17:30

Owner CWoo (3771) Last modified by CWoo (3771)

Numerical id 4

Author CWoo (3771) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 16U99

Proposition 1. Let R be a ring with 1.

- 1. If $a \in R$ has a right inverse but no left inverses, then a has infinitely many right inverses.
- 2. If $a \in R$ has more than one right inverse, then a has infinitely many right inverses.

Proof.

1. Let ab=1. Define $b_0=b, b_1=1-b_0a+b_0, \ldots, b_{i+1}=1-b_ia+b_i, \ldots$ Then, by induction, we see that $ab_i=a-ab_{i-1}a+ab_{i-1}=a-a+1=1$. Next we want to show that $b_i\neq b_j$ if $i\neq j$. Suppose i>j and $b_i=b_j$. Again by induction, we have

$$b_j = b_i = 1 + (1 - a) + \dots + (1 - a)^{i-j-1} + b_j (1 - a)^{i-j}$$
 (1)

If we let $c = 1 + (1 - a) + \dots + (1 - a)^{i - j - 1}$ then $(1 - a)c = c(1 - a) = (1 - a) + (1 - a)^2 + \dots + (1 - a)^{i - j} = c - 1 + (1 - a)^{i - j}$. So Equation 3 can be rewritten as $c = b_j - b_j (1 - a)^{i - j} = b_j (1 - (1 - a)^{i - j}) = b_j ca$. Then $cb_j = b_j cab_j = b_j c$. Now, note that for $m \le n$, $(1 - a)^n b_j^m = (1 - a)^{n - m} (b_j - 1)^m$. This implies that

$$cb_j^{i-j-1} = b_j^{i-j-1} + (b_j - 1)b_j^{i-j-2} + \dots + (b_j - 1)^{i-j-1}$$

= $g(b_j) + (b_j - 1)^{i-j-1}$.

On the other hand, we also have

$$cb_j^{i-j-1} = b_j cb_j^{i-j-2}$$

$$= b_j (b_j^{i-j-2} + (b_j - 1)^{i-j-3} + \dots + (1-a)(b_j - 1)^{i-j-2})$$

$$= g(b_j) + b_j (1-a)(b_j - 1)^{i-j-2}.$$

So combining the above two equations, we get $(b_j - 1)^{i-j-1} = b_j(1 - a)(b_j - 1)^{i-j-2}$. Let $d = (b_j - 1)^{i-j-2}$, then $(b_j - 1)d = b_j(1 - a)d = b_jd - b_jad$. Simplify, we have $d = b_jad$. Expanding d, then

$$b_j^{i-j-2} + \dots + (-1)^{i-j-2} = (b_j a)(b_j^{i-j-2} + \dots + (-1)^{i-j-2})$$

$$= b_j a b_j^{i-j-2} + \dots + b_j a (-1)^{i-j-2}$$

$$= b_j^{i-j-2} + \dots + (-1)^{i-j-2} b_j a.$$

Then $1 = b_i a$ and we have reached a contradiction.

2. For the next part, notice that if b and c are two distinct right inverses of a, then neither one of them can be a left inverse of a, for if, say, ba = 1, then c = (ba)c = b(ca) = b. So we can apply the same technique used in the previous portion of the problem. Note that if $b_ia = 1$, then

$$1 = b_j a = (1 - b_{j-1}a + b_{j-1})a = a - b_{j-1}a^2 + b_{j-1}a.$$

Multiply b_{j-1} from the right, we have

$$b_{j-1} = ab_{j-1} - b_{j-1}a^2b_{j-1} + b_{j-1}ab_{j-1} = 1 - b_{j-1}a + b_{j-1}$$

Thus $b_{j-1}a = 1$. Keep going until we reach ba = 1, again a contradiction.

Remark. The first part of the above proposition implies that a finite ring is Dedekind-finite.