

## multiplicative sets in rings and prime ideals

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Multiplicative Sets In Rings And Prime I deals}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 19:03:58 Last modified on 2013-03-22 19:03:58

Owner joking (16130) Last modified by joking (16130)

Numerical id 5

Author joking (16130)
Entry type Theorem
Classification msc 16U20
Classification msc 13B30

**Proposition.** Let R be a commutative ring,  $S \subseteq R$  a multiplicative subset of R such that  $0 \notin S$ . Then there exists prime ideal  $P \subseteq R$  such that  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Consider the family  $\mathcal{A} = \{I \subseteq R \mid I \text{ is an ideal and } I \cap S = \emptyset\}$ . Of course  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ , because the zero ideal  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . We will show, that  $\mathcal{A}$  is inductive (i.e. satisfies Zorn's Lemma's assumptions) with respect to inclusion.

Let  $\{I_k\}_{k\in K}$  be a chain in  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e. for any  $a,b\in K$  either  $I_a\subseteq I_b$  or  $I_b\subseteq I_a$ ). Consider  $I=\bigcup_{k\in K}I_k$ . Obviously I is an ideal. Furthermore, if  $x\in I\cap S$ , then there is  $k\in K$  such that  $x\in I_k\cap S=\emptyset$ . Thus  $I\cap S=\emptyset$ , so  $I\in \mathcal{A}$ . Lastely each  $I_k\subseteq I$ , which completes this part of proof.

By Zorn's Lemma there is a maximal element  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . We will show that this ideal is prime. Let  $x, y \in R$  be such that  $xy \in P$ . Assume that neither  $x \notin P$  nor  $y \notin P$ . Then  $P \subset P + (x)$  and  $P \subset P + (y)$  and these inclusions are proper. Therefore both P + (x) and P + (y) do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  (because P is maximal). This implies that there exist  $a \in (P + (x)) \cap S$  and  $b \in (P + (y)) \cap S$ . Thus

$$a = m_1 + r_1 x \in S; \quad b = m_2 + r_2 y \in S;$$

where  $m_1, m_2 \in P$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Note that  $ab \in S$ . We calculate

$$ab = (m_1 + r_1x)(m_2 + r_2y) = m_1m_2 + m_2r_1x + m_1r_2y + xyr_1r_2.$$

Of course  $m_1m_2, m_2r_1x, m_1r_2y \in P$ , because  $m_1, m_2 \in P$  and  $xyr_1r_2 \in P$  by our assumption that  $xy \in P$ . This shows, that  $ab \in P$ . But  $ab \in S$  and  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . Contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Corollary.** Let R be a commutative ring, I an ideal in R and  $S \subseteq R$  a multiplicative subset such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then there exists prime ideal P in R such that  $I \subseteq P$  and  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: R \to R/I$  be the projection. Then  $\pi(S) \subseteq R/I$  is a multiplicative subset in R/I such that  $0+I \notin \pi(S)$  (because  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ ). Thus, by proposition, there exists a prime ideal P in R/I such that  $P \cap \pi(S) = \emptyset$ . Of course the preimage of a prime ideal is again a prime ideal. Furthermore  $I \subseteq \pi^{-1}(P)$ . Finaly  $\pi^{-1}(P) \cap S = \emptyset$ , because  $P \cap \pi(S) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.