



Math for the people, by the people.

trace forms on algebras

| | |
|------------------|------------------------|
| Canonical name | TraceFormsOnAlgebras |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 16:28:01 |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 16:28:01 |
| Owner | Algeboy (12884) |
| Last modified by | Algeboy (12884) |
| Numerical id | 4 |
| Author | Algeboy (12884) |
| Entry type | Topic |
| Classification | msc 17A01 |
| Defines | regular representation |
| Defines | trace form |

Given an finite dimensional algebra A over a field k we define the left(right) regular representation of A as the map $L : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k A$ given by $L_a b := ab$ ($R_a b := ba$).

Example 1. In a Lie algebra the left representation is called the adjoint representation and denoted $\text{ad } x$ and defined $(\text{ad } x)(y) = [x, y]$. Because $[x, y] = -[y, x]$ in characteristic not 2, there is generally no distinction of left/right adjoint representations.

The trace form of A is defined as $\langle, \rangle : T \times T \rightarrow k$:

$$\langle a, b \rangle := \text{tr } (L_a L_b).$$

Proposition 2. The trace form is a symmetric bilinear form.

Proof. Given $a, b, x \in A$ and $l \in k$ then $L_{a+lb}x = (a + lb)x = ax + lbx = L_a x + lL_b x$. So $L_{a+lb} = L_a + lL_b$. So we have

$$\langle a+lb, x \rangle = \text{tr } (L_{a+lb} L_x) = \text{tr } (L_a L_x + lL_b L_x) = \text{tr } (L_a L_x) + l \text{tr } (L_b L_x) = \langle a, x \rangle + l \langle b, x \rangle.$$

Furthermore, $\text{tr } (fg) = \text{tr } (gf)$ is general property of traces, thus

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \text{tr } (L_a L_b) = \text{tr } (L_b L_a) = \langle b, a \rangle.$$

So the trace form is a symmetric bilinear form. □

The symmetric property can be interpreted as a weak form of commutativity of the product: $a, b \in A$ commute within their trace form. A more essential property arises for certain algebras and can be interpreted as “the product is associative within the trace” and written as

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle. \tag{1}$$

We shall call such an algebra *weakly associative* though the term is not standard.

This property is clear for all associative algebras as:

$$L_{ab} L_c(x) = ((ab)c)x = (a(bc))x = L_a L_{bc}x.$$

When we use a Lie algebra, the trace form is commonly called the *Killing form* which has property (??). A result of Koecher shows that Jordan algebras also have this property.

Proposition 3. *Given a weakly associative algebra, then the radical of the trace form is an ideal of the algebra.*

Proof. We know the radical of form R is a subspace so we must simply show that R is an ideal. Given $x \in R$ and $y \in A$ then for all $z \in A$, $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle x, yz \rangle = 0$. Thus $xy \in R$. Likewise $yz \in R$ so R is a two-sided ideal of A . \square

From this result many authors define an algebra to be semi-simple if its trace form is non-degenerate. In this way, A/R , R the radical of A , is semi-simple. [Some variations on this definition are often required over small fields/characteristics, especially when characteristic is 2.]

More can be said when ideals are considered.

Proposition 4. *Given a weakly associative algebra A , then if I is an ideal of A then so is I^\perp .*

Proof. Given $a \in I^\perp$, then for all $b \in A$ and $c \in I$, then $bc \in I$ as I is an ideal and so $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle = 0$ as $a \in I^\perp$. This makes $ab \in I^\perp$ so I is a right ideal. Likewise $\langle c, ba \rangle = \langle cb, a \rangle = 0$ so $ba \in I^\perp$ and thus I^\perp is an ideal of A . \square

To proceed one factors out the radical so that A is semisimple. Then given an ideal I of A , if $I \cap I^\perp = 0$ then as the trace form is a non-degenerate bilinear form, $A = I \oplus I^\perp$, and so by iterating we produce a decomposition of A into minimal ideals:

$$A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_s.$$

Hence we arrive at the alternative definition of a semisimple algebra: that the algebra be a direct product of simple algebras. To obtain the property $I \cap I^\perp = 0$ it is sufficient to assume A has not ideal I such that $I^2 = 0$. This is the content of the proof in

Theorem 5. *[?, Thm III.3] Let A be a finite-dimensional weakly associative (trace) semisimple algebra over a field k in which no ideal $I \neq 0$ of A has $I^2 = 0$, then A is a direct product of minimal ideals, that is, of simple algebras.*

Alternatively any bilinear form with (??) can be used. However, the trace form is always definable and the desired properties are easily translated into implications about the multiplication of the algebra.

References

- [1] Jacobson, Nathan *Lie Algebras*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.

- [2] Koecher, Max, *The Minnesota notes on Jordan algebras and their applications*. Edited and annotated by Aloys Krieg and Sebastian Walcher. [B] Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1710. Berlin: Springer. (1999).