



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

monomorphisms of category of sets

Canonical name	MonomorphismsOfCategoryOfSets
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:42:41
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:42:41
Owner	rspuzio (6075)
Last modified by	rspuzio (6075)
Numerical id	7
Author	rspuzio (6075)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 18-00

**Theorem 1.** *Every monomorphism in the category of sets is an injection.*

*Proof.* Assume  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a monomorphism. Then, by definition of monomorphism, given any two maps  $g, h: C \rightarrow A$ , if  $f \circ g = f \circ h$ , then  $g = h$ . Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are two elements of  $A$  such that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Let  $C$  be a set with one element, let  $g$  be the map which sends this one element to  $x$  and let  $h$  be the map which sends this one element to  $y$ . Because  $f(x) = f(y)$ , we have  $f \circ g = f \circ h$ . Since  $f$  is a monomorphism,  $g = h$ , so  $x = y$ . This implies that  $f$  is injective.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Every injection is a split monomorphism.*

*Proof.* Assume  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is injection. If  $A$  is empty, the result is trivial, so we assume that  $A$  is not empty; let  $z$  be an element of  $A$ . Set

$$g = \{(f(x), x) \mid x \in A\} \cup \{(x, z) \mid x \in B \wedge (\forall y \in A) x \neq f(y)\}$$

We claim that  $g$  is a function from  $B$  into  $A$ . Suppose that  $x$  is an element of  $B$ . If  $x \neq f(y)$  for any  $y \in A$ , then we have exactly one element of  $g$  with  $x$  as the first element, namely  $(x, z)$ . If  $x = f(y)$  for some  $y \in A$ , then we the pair  $(x, y)$  with  $x$  as first element; were there another pair with  $x$  as first element, then we would have  $(f(x_1), x_1) = (f(x_2), x_2)$  but, as  $f$  is an injection,  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  would imply  $x_1 = x_2$ , so this would not be a distinct pair. Hence  $g$  is a function. Furthermore, by construction  $g \circ f(x) = x$  for all  $x \in A$ , so  $f$  is a split monomorphism.  $\square$

Note that the second theorem is stronger than a simple converse to the first theorem — it states that an injection is not just a monomorphism, but that it is actually a split monomorphism. In particular, this means that, in the category of sets, all monomorphisms are actually split monomorphisms.