

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof of 5-lemma

Canonical name ProofOf5lemma

Date of creation 2013-03-22 14:53:17 Last modified on 2013-03-22 14:53:17

Owner bwebste (988) Last modified by bwebste (988)

Numerical id 6

Author bwebste (988)

Entry type Proof

Classification msc 18G35

First, assume that we are in modules over a ring (this is the most commonly used setting anyways).

The method of proof is what is usually called diagram-chasing.

Let a be in the kernel of  $\gamma_3$ . Then  $d(\gamma_3(a)) = \gamma_4(da) = 0$ , and  $\gamma_4$  is injective, so da = 0. By exactness, a = da' for some  $a' \in A_4$ . Now,  $d(\gamma_2 a') = \gamma_3 a = 0$ , so  $\gamma_2 a' = db$ , and by the surjectivity of  $\gamma_1$ ,  $b = \gamma_1 a''$ .  $da''' = \gamma_2^{-1} d\gamma_1(a'') = a'$ . Thus,  $a = d^2 a'' = 0$ . So,  $\gamma_3$  is an injection.

Now, assume b is not in the image of  $\gamma_3$ .  $db \neq 0$ , so  $a' = \gamma_4^{-1}db \neq 0$ .  $\gamma_5 da' = d^2b = 0$ , and  $\gamma_5$  is injective, so da' = 0, and there exists an a'' such that da'' = a'. Thus,  $d(b - \gamma_3 a'') = 0$ . So there is an  $\alpha$  such that  $d\gamma_2 \alpha = b - \gamma_3 a''$ . Thus,  $\gamma_3 (a'' + d\alpha) = b$ . Thus,  $\gamma_3$  is surjective.

This actually implies the result for all abelian categories, since by the http://planetmath.org/MitchellsEmbeddingTheoremFreyd embedding theorem, any abelian category is equivalent to a subcategory of modules over a ring. This trick is necessary since the trick above required us to have a notion of elements in the objects of our category, one which doesn't always make sense. The 5-lemma can be proved directly, but the proof is just less enlightening than the one above.