



proof of 9-lemma

Canonical name	ProofOf9lemma
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:42:49
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:42:49
Owner	rm50 (10146)
Last modified by	rm50 (10146)
Numerical id	4
Author	rm50 (10146)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 18G35

As in the proof of the 5-lemma, we assume without loss of generality that we are working in modules over a ring. In keeping with the notion that the maps between the A 's (as well as between the B 's and the C 's) are cohomology sequences, we denote all vertical maps by d . The map $A_i \rightarrow B_i$ is denoted α_i , and the map $B_i \rightarrow C_i$ is denoted β_i . We must show that

1. β_1 is surjective;
2. α_1 is injective;
3. $\ker \beta_1 \subset \operatorname{im} \alpha_1$;
4. $\beta_1 \circ \alpha_1 = 0$ (i.e. $\ker \beta_1 \supset \operatorname{im} \alpha_1$)

β_1 is surjective: Choose $c \in C_1$. Then $dc = \beta_2 b$, and $\beta_3 db = d\beta_2 b = d^2 c = 0$, so $db = \alpha_3 a = \alpha_3 da'$. Thus $d(b - \alpha_2 a') = 0$, so $db' = b - \alpha_2 a'$. Finally, $d\beta_1 b' = \beta_2 db' = \beta_2(b - \alpha_2 a') = \beta_2 b = dc$. But d is injective, so $c = \beta_1 b'$.

α_1 is injective: This is clear, since $d\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 d$, and α_2 and both d 's are injective.

$\ker \beta_1 \subset \operatorname{im} \alpha_1$: Suppose $\beta_1(b) = 0$. Then $\beta_2 db = d\beta_1 b = 0$, so $db = \alpha_2 a$. But then $\alpha_3 da = d\alpha_2 a = d^2 b = 0$, and α_3 is injective, so $a \in \ker d$ and $da' = a$. Finally, $d\alpha_1 a' = \alpha_2 da' = \alpha_2 a = db$. d is injective and thus $b = \alpha_1 a'$.

$\beta_1 \circ \alpha_1 = 0$: $d\beta_1 \alpha_1 = \beta_2 \alpha_2 d = 0$. But d is injective, so $\beta_1 \alpha_1 = 0$.

Similar diagram chasing can be used to prove that if the top two rows are exact then so is the bottom row.