

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

proof of Rolle's theorem

Canonical name ProofOfRollesTheorem
Date of creation 2013-03-22 12:40:19
Last modified on 2013-03-22 12:40:19

Owner rmilson (146) Last modified by rmilson (146)

Numerical id 5

Author rmilson (146)

Entry type Proof Classification msc 26A06 Because f is continuous on a compact (closed and bounded) interval I = [a, b], it attains its maximum and minimum values. In case f(a) = f(b) is both the maximum and the minimum, then there is nothing more to say, for then f is a constant function and $f' \equiv 0$ on the whole interval I. So suppose otherwise, and f attains an extremum in the open interval (a, b), and without loss of generality, let this extremum be a maximum, considering -f in lieu of f as necessary. We claim that at this extremum f(c) we have f'(c) = 0, with a < c < b.

To show this, note that $f(x) - f(c) \le 0$ for all $x \in I$, because f(c) is the maximum. By definition of the derivative, we have that

$$f'(c) = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}.$$

Looking at the one-sided limits, we note that

$$R = \lim_{x \to c^+} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \le 0$$

because the numerator in the limit is nonpositive in the interval I, yet x-c > 0, as x approaches c from the right. Similarly,

$$L = \lim_{x \to c^{-}} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \ge 0.$$

Since f is differentiable at c, the left and right limits must coincide, so $0 \le L = R \le 0$, that is to say, f'(c) = 0.