

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

e is transcendental

Canonical name EIsTranscendental Date of creation 2013-03-22 15:10:32 Last modified on 2013-03-22 15:10:32

Owner pahio (2872) Last modified by pahio (2872)

Numerical id 40

Author pahio (2872)
Entry type Theorem
Classification msc 26C05
Classification msc 11J82
Classification msc 11J81

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\rm Synonym} & e \text{ is transcendental} \\ {\rm Synonym} & {\rm transcendence \ of \ e} \\ {\rm Related \ topic} & {\rm NaturalLogBase} \end{array}$

Related topic FundamentalTheoremOfTranscendence

Related topic LindemannWeierstrassTheorem

Related topic EIsIrrational

Related topic ErIsIrrationalForRinmathbbQsetminus0

Related topic ExampleOfTaylorPolynomialsForTheExponentialFunction

Related topic ProofThatEIsNotANaturalNumber

Theorem. Napier's constant e is transcendental.

This theorem was first proved by Hermite in 1873. The below proof is near the one given by Hurwitz. We at first derive a couple of auxiliary results.

Let f(x) be any polynomial of μ and F(x) the sum of its derivatives,

$$F(x) := f(x) + f'(x) + f''(x) + \dots + f^{(\mu)}(x). \tag{1}$$

consider the product $\Phi(x) := e^{-x} F(x)$. The derivative of this is simply

$$\Phi'(x) \equiv e^{-x}(F'(x) - F(x)) \equiv -e^{-x}f(x).$$

Applying the http://planetmath.org/MeanValueTheoremmean value theorem to the function Φ on the interval with end points 0 and x gives

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(0) = e^{-x}F(x) - F(0) = \Phi'(\xi)x = -e^{-\xi}f(\xi)x,$$

which implies that $F(0) = e^{-x}F(x) + e^{-\xi}f(\xi)x$. Thus we obtain the **Lemma 1.** $F(0)e^x = F(x) + xe^{x-\xi}f(\xi)$ (ξ is between 0 and x) When the polynomial f(x) is expanded by the powers of x-a, one gets

$$f(x) \equiv f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + f''(a)\frac{(x-a)^2}{2!} + \dots + f^{(\mu)}(a)\frac{(x-a)^{\mu}}{\mu!};$$

comparing this with (1) one gets the

Lemma 2. The value F(a) is obtained so that the polynomial f(x) is expanded by the powers of x-a and in this the powers x-a, $(x-a)^2$, ..., $(x-a)^{\mu}$ are replaced respectively by the numbers $1!, 2!, \ldots, \mu!$.

Now we begin the proof of the theorem. We have to show that there cannot be any equation

$$c_0 + c_1 e + c_2 e^2 + \ldots + c_n e^n = 0 (2)$$

with integer coefficients c_i and at least one of them distinct from zero. The proof is indirect. Let's assume the contrary. We can presume that $c_0 \neq 0$.

For any positive integer ν , lemma 1 gives

$$F(0)e^{\nu} = F(\nu) + \nu e^{\nu - \xi_{\nu}} f(\xi_{\nu}) \quad (0 < \xi_{\nu} < \nu). \tag{3}$$

By virtue of this, one may write (2), multiplied by F(0), as

$$c_0F(0) + c_1F(1) + c_2F(2) + \ldots + c_nF(n) = -[c_1e^{1-\xi_1}f(\xi_1) + 2c_2e^{2-\xi_2}f(\xi_2) + \ldots + nc_ne^{n-\xi_n}f(\xi_n)].$$
(4)

We shall show that the polynomial f(x) can be chosen such that the left has absolute value less than 1.

We choose

$$f(x) := \frac{x^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} [(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n)]^p,$$
 (5)

where p is a positive prime number on which we later shall set certain conditions. We must determine the corresponding values F(0), F(1), ..., F(n).

For determining F(0) we need, according to lemma 2, to expand f(x) by the powers of x, getting

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} [(-1)^{np} n!^p x^{p-1} + A_1 x^p + A_2 x^p + 1 + \dots]$$

where A_1, A_2, \ldots are integers, and to replace the powers $x^{p-1}, x^p, x^{p+1}, \ldots$ with the numbers $(p-1)!, p!, (p+1)!, \ldots$ We then get the expression

$$F(0) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!}[(-1)^{np}n!^p(p-1)! + A_1p! + A_2(p+1)! + \ldots] = (-1)^{np}n!^p + pK_0,$$

in which K_0 is an integer.

We now set for the prime p the condition p > n. Then, n! is not divisible by p, neither is the former addend $(-1)^{np}n!^p$. On the other hand, the latter addend pK_0 is divisible by p. Therefore:

(α) F(0) is a non-zero integer not divisible by p.

For determining F(1), F(2), ..., F(n) we expand the polynomial f(x) by the powers of $x-\nu$, putting $x := \nu + (x-\nu)$. Because f(x) the factor $(x-\nu)^p$, we obtain an of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} [B_p(x-\nu)^p + B_{p+1}(x-\nu)^{p+1} + \ldots],$$

where the B_i 's are integers. Using the lemma 2 then gives the result

$$F(\nu) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} [p!B_p + (p+1)!B_{p+1} + \dots] = pK_{\nu},$$

with K_{ν} a certain integer. Thus:

 (β) $F(1), F(2), \ldots, F(n)$ are integers all divisible by p.

So, the left hand of (4) is an integer having the form $c_0F(0) + pK$ with K an integer. The factor F(0) of the first addend is by (α) indivisible by p. If we set for the prime p a new requirement $p > |c_0|$, then also the factor c_0 is indivisible by p, and thus likewise the whole addend $c_0F(0)$. We conclude that the sum is not divisible by p and therefore:

 (γ) If p in (5) is a prime number greater than n and $|c_0|$, then the left of (4) is a nonzero integer.

We then examine the right hand of (4). Because the numbers $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n$ all are positive (cf. (3)), so the $e^{1-\xi_1}, ..., e^{n-\xi_n}$ all are $< e^n$. If 0 < x < n, then in the polynomial (5) the factors x, x-1, ..., x-n all have the absolute value less than n and thus

$$|f(x)| < \frac{1}{(p-1)!} n^{p-1} (n^n)^p = n^n \cdot \frac{(n^{n+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}.$$

Because ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n all are between 0 and n (cf. (3)), we especially have

$$|f(\xi_{\nu})| < n^n \cdot \frac{(n^{n+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \quad \forall \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If we denote by c the greatest of the numbers $|c_0|$, $|c_1|$, ..., $|c_n|$, then the right hand of (4) has the absolute value less than

$$(1+2+\ldots+n)ce^nn^n\cdot\frac{(n^{n+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}c(en)^n\cdot\frac{(n^{n+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}.$$

But the limit of $\frac{(n^{n+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}$ is 0 as $p \to \infty$, and therefore the above expression is less than 1 as soon as p exceeds some number p_0 .

If we determine the polynomial f(x) from the equation (5) such that the prime p is greater than the greatest of the numbers n, $|c_0|$ and p_0 (which is possible since there are http://planetmath.org/ProofThatThereAreInfinitelyManyPrimesinfin many prime numbers), then the having the absolute value < 1. The contradiction proves that the theorem is right.

References

[1] Ernst Lindelöf: Differentiali- ja integralilasku ja sen sovellutukset I. WSOY, Helsinki (1950).