

planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

construction of tangent function from addition formula

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf Construction Of Tangent Function From Addition Formula}$

Date of creation 2013-03-22 16:58:39 Last modified on 2013-03-22 16:58:39

Owner rspuzio (6075) Last modified by rspuzio (6075)

Numerical id 24

Author rspuzio (6075) Entry type Derivation Classification msc 26A09 It is possible to define trigonometric functions rigorously using a procedure based upon the addition formula for the tangent function. The idea is to first note a few purely algebraic facts and then use these to show that a certain limiting process converges to a function which satisfies the properties of the tangent function, from which the remaining trigonometric functions may be defined by purely algebraic operations.

Theorem 1. If x is a positive real number, then

$$0 < \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{1}{x} < 1$$

(Here and henceforth, the square root sign denotes the positive square root.)

Proof. Let y = 1/x. Then y is also a positive real number. We have the following inequalities:

$$y^2 < 1 + y^2 < 1 + 2y + y^2$$

Taking square roots:

$$y<\sqrt{1+y^2}<1+y$$

Subtracting y:

$$0 \le \sqrt{1+y^2} - y < 1$$

Remembering the definition of y, this is the inequality which we set out to demonstrate.

Definition 1. Define the algebraic functions $s: \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid xy \neq 1\} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h: (0,\infty) \to (0,1)$ and $g: (0,1) \to (0,1)$ as follows:

$$s(x,y) = \frac{x+y}{1-xy} \tag{1}$$

$$h(x) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{1}{x} \tag{2}$$

$$g(x) = h\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 2x + 2} + x - 1}{x+1}$$
 (3)

Theorem 2. s(s(x, y), z) = s(x, s(y, z))

Proof. Calculemus! On the one hand,

$$s(s(x,y),z) = \frac{\frac{x+y}{1-xy} + z}{1 - \frac{x+y}{1-xy}z} = \frac{x+y+z-xyz}{1-xy-yz-zx}$$

On the other hand,

$$s(x, s(y, z)) = \frac{x + \frac{y+z}{1-yz}}{1 - x\frac{y+z}{1-yz}} = \frac{x + y + z - xyz}{1 - xy - yz - zx}$$

These quantities are equal.

Theorem 3. s(h(x), h(x)) = x

Proof. Calculemus rursum!

$$s(h(x), h(x)) = \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{2}{x}}{1 - \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{1}{x}\right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{2}{x}}{1 - \left(1 + \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{2}{x}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{2}{x}}{-\frac{1}{x}\left(\frac{2}{x} - 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}}\right)} = x$$

Theorem 4. s(h(x), h(y)) = h(s(x, y))

Theorem 5. For all x > 0, we have h(x) < x.

Proof. Since x > 0, we have

$$x^2 + 1 < x^4 + 2x^2 + 1.$$

By the binomial identity, the right-hand side equals $(x+1)^2$. Taking square roots of both sides,

$$\sqrt{x^2 + 1} < x^2 + 1.$$

Subtracting 1 from both sides,

$$\sqrt{x^2+1}-1 < x^2$$
.

Dividing by x on both sides,

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} - \frac{1}{x} < x,$$

or h(x) < x.

Theorem 6. Let a be a positive real number. Then the sequence

$$(a, h(a), h(h(a)), h(h(h(a))), h(h(h(h(a)))), h(h(h(h(h(a))))), \dots$$

converges to 0.

Proof. By the foregoing theorem, this sequence is decreasing. Hence, it must converge to its infimum. Call this infimum b. Suppose that b > 0. Then, since b is continuous, we must have b0, which is not possible by the foregoing theorem. Hence, we must have b0, so the sequence converges to b0.

Having made these preliminary observations, we may now begin making the construction of the trigonometric function. We begin by defining the tangent function for successive bisections of a right angle.

Definition 2. Define the sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$t_0 = 1$$
$$t_{n+1} = h(t_n)$$

By the forgoing theorem, this is a decreasing sequence which tends to zero. These will be the values of the tangent function at successive bisections of the right angle. We now use our function s to construct other values of the tangent function.

Definition 3. Define the sequence $\{r_{mn}\}$ by the following recursions:

$$r_{m0} = 0$$

$$r_{mn+1} = s(r_{mn}, t_m)$$

There is a subtlety involved in this definition (which is why we did not specify the range of m and n). Since s(x,y) is only well-defined when $xy \neq 1$, we do not know that r_{mn} is well defined for all m and n. In particular, if it should happen that r_{mn} is well defined for some m and n but that $r_{mn}t_m=1$, then r_{mk} will be undefined for all k>m.

Theorem 7. Suppose that r_{mn} , $r_{mn'}$, and $r_{mn+n'}$ are all well-defined. Then $r_{mn+n'} = s(r_{mn}, r_{mn'})$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n'. If n' = 0, then r_{m0} is defined to be 0, and it is easy to see that $s(r_{mn}, 0) = r_{mn}$.

Suppose, then, that we know that $r_{m\,n+n'-1} = s(r_{mn}, r_{m\,n'-1})$. By definition, $r_{mn'} = s(r_{m\,n'-1}, t_m)$ and, by theorem 2, we have

$$s(r_{mn}, s(r_{mn'-1}, t_m)) = s(s(r_{mn}, r_{mn'-1}), t_m)$$

$$= s(r_{mn+n'-1}, t_m)$$

$$= r_{mn+n'}$$

Theorem 8. If $n \leq 2^m$, then r_{mn} is well-defined, $r_{mn} \leq 1$, and $r_{m-1n} = r_{m2n}$.

Proof. We shall proceed by induction on m. To begin, we note that $r_{00} \leq 1$ because $r_{00} = 0$. Also note that, if m = 0, then n = 0 is the only value for which the condition $n \leq 2^m$ happens to be satisfied. The condition $r_{m-1} = r_{m2n}$ is not relevant when n = 0.

Suppose that we know that, for a certain m, when $n \leq 2^m$, then r_{mn} is well-defined and $r_{mn} \leq 1$. We will now make an induction on n to show that if $n \leq 2^{m+1}$, then $r_{m+1\,n}$ is well-defined, $r_{m\,n} \leq 1$ and $r_{mn} = r_{m+1\,2n}$. When n=0, we have, by definition, $r_{m+1\,0}=0$ so the quantity is defined and it is obvious that $r_{m\,n} \leq 1$ and $r_{mn} = r_{m+1\,2n}$.

Suppose we know that, for some number $n < 2^m$, we find that $r_{m+1} \ge n$ is well-defined, strictly less than 1 and equals $r_{m+1} \ge n$. By theorem 4, since $r_{mn} \le 1$ and $r_{mn+1} \le 1$, we may conclude that $h(r_{mn}) < 1$ and $h(r_{mn+1}) < 1$, which implies that $h(r_{mn})h(r_{mn+1}) \ne 1$, so $s(h(r_{mn}),h(r_{mn+1}))$ is well-defined. By definition, $r_{mn+1} = s(r_{mn},t_m)$, so $h(r_{mn+1}) = s(h(r_{mn}),h(t_m))$. Recall that $h(t_m) = t_{m+1}$. By theorem 1, we have

$$s(h(r_{mn}), s(h(r_{mn}), t_{m+1})) = s(s(h(r_{mn}), h(r_{mn})), t_{m+1})).$$

By theorem 2, $s(h(r_{mn}), h(r_{mn}))$ equals r_{mn} which, in turn, by our induction hypothesis, equals r_{m+1n} . Combining the results of this paragraph, we may conclude that:

$$s(h(r_{mn}), h(r_{m\,n+1})) = s(r_{m+1\,2n}, t_{m+1}),$$

which means that $r_{m+1\,2n+1}$ is defined and equals $s(h(r_{mn}), h(r_{m\,n+1}))$. Moreover, by definition,

$$s(h(r_{mn}), h(r_{m\,n+1})) = \frac{h(r_{mn}) + h(r_{m\,n+1})}{1 - h(r_{mn})h(r_{m\,n+1})}$$

Since $r_{m\,n+1} > r_{mn}$, we have $h(r_{m\,n+1}) > h(r_{mn})$ as well. This implies that the numerator is less than $2h(r_{m\,n+1})$ and that the denominator is greater than $1 - h(r_{m\,n+1}^2$. Hence, we have $r_{m+1\,2n+1} < s(h(r_{m\,n+1},h(r_{m\,n+1}) = h(r_{m\,n+1} < 1.$

Since, as we have just shown, $r_{m+1\,2n+1} < 1$ and, as we already know, $t_{m+1} < 1$, we have $r_{m+1\,2n+1}t_{m+1} < 1$, so $r_{m+1\,2n+2}$ is well-defined. Furthermore, we may evaluate this quantity using theorem 1:

$$\begin{split} s(r_{m+1\,2n+1},t_{m+1}) &= s(s(r_{m\,n},t_{m+1}),t_{m+1}) \\ &= s(r_{m\,n},s(t_{m+1},t_{m+1})) \\ &= s(r_{m\,n},t_m) \\ &= r_{m\,n+1} \end{split}$$

Hence, we have $r_{m+12m+2} = r_{m\,n+1}$.