



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

Hausdorff measure

Canonical name	HausdorffMeasure
Date of creation	2013-03-22 14:27:26
Last modified on	2013-03-22 14:27:26
Owner	paolini (1187)
Last modified by	paolini (1187)
Numerical id	8
Author	paolini (1187)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 28A78
Related topic	HausdorffDimension
Related topic	LebesgueMeasure

Introduction

Given a real number $\alpha \geq 0$ we are going to define a Borel external measure \mathcal{H}^α on \mathbb{R}^n with values in $[0, +\infty]$ which will comprehend and generalize the concepts of length (for $\alpha = 1$), area ($\alpha = 2$) and volume ($\alpha = 3$) of sets in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular if $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an m -dimensional regular surface then one will show that $\mathcal{H}^m(M)$ is the m -dimensional area of M . However, being an external measure, \mathcal{H}^m is defined not only on regular surfaces but on every subset of \mathbb{R}^n thus generalizing the concepts of length, area and volume. In particular, for $m = n$, it turns out that the Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^n is nothing else than the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^n .

Given any fixed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ one can consider the measures $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E)$ with α varying in $[0, +\infty)$. We will see that for a fixed set E there exists at most one value α such that $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E)$ is finite and positive; while for every other value β one will have $\mathcal{H}^\beta(E) = 0$ if $\beta > \alpha$ and $\mathcal{H}^\beta(E) = +\infty$ if $\beta < \alpha$. For example, if E is a regular 2-dimensional surface then only $\mathcal{H}^2(E)$ (which is the area of the surface) may possibly be finite and different from 0 while, for example, the volume of E will be 0 and the length of E will be infinite.

This can be used to define the dimension of a set E (this is called the Hausdorff dimension). A very interesting fact is the existence of sets with dimension α which is not integer, as happens for most *fractals*.

Also, the measure \mathcal{H}^α is naturally defined on every metric space (X, d) , not only on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Given $E \subset X$ we define the diameter of E as

$$\text{diam}(E) := \sup_{x, y \in E} d(x, y).$$

Given a real number α we consider the conventional constant

$$\omega_\alpha = \frac{\pi^{\alpha/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha/2 + 1)}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function.

For all $\delta > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $E \subset X$ let us define

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^\alpha(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_\alpha \left(\frac{\text{diam}(B_j)}{2} \right)^\alpha : B_j \subset X, \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j \supset E, \text{diam}(B_j) \leq \delta \ \forall j = 0, 1, \dots \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The *infimum* is taken over all possible enumerable families of sets $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_j, \dots$ which are sufficiently small ($\text{diam} B_j \leq \delta$) and which cover E .

Notice that the function $\mathcal{H}_\delta^\alpha(E)$ is decreasing in δ . In fact given $\delta' > \delta$ the family of sequences B_j considered in the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\delta'}^\alpha$ contains the family of sequences considered in the definition of $\mathcal{H}_\delta^\alpha$ and hence the infimum is smaller. So the limit in the following definition exists:

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{H}_\delta^\alpha(E). \quad (2)$$

The number $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) \in [0, +\infty]$ is called α -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the set $E \subset X$.