



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

proof of Choquet’s capacibility theorem

Canonical name	ProofOfChoquetsCapacibilityTheorem
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:47:51
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:47:51
Owner	gel (22282)
Last modified by	gel (22282)
Numerical id	4
Author	gel (22282)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 28A05
Classification	msc 28A12

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a paved space such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite unions and finite intersections, and let  $I$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -http://planetmath.org/ChoquetCapacitycapacity. We prove the capacitability theorem, which states that all  $\mathcal{F}$ -http://planetmath.org/AnalyticSets sets are  $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ -http://planetmath.org/ChoquetCapacitycapacitable. The idea is to deduce it from the following special case.

**Lemma.** *With the above notation, every set in  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ -capacitable.*

Recall that  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  is the collection of countable intersections of countable unions in  $\mathcal{F}$  and, since countable unions and intersections of analytic sets are analytic, all such sets are analytic. According to the capacitability theorem they should then be capacitable, and the lemma is indeed a special case.

Supposing that the lemma is true, then the capacitability theorem can be deduced as follows. For an  $\mathcal{F}$ -analytic set  $A \subseteq X$ , there is a http://planetmath.org/PavedSpacepaved space  $(K, \mathcal{K})$  and  $S \in (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{K})_{\sigma\delta}$  such that  $A = \pi_X(S)$ , where  $\pi_X$  is the projection map from  $X \times K$  to  $X$ . Letting  $\mathcal{G}$  be the closure under finite unions and finite intersections of the paving  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{K}$ , then the composition  $I \circ \pi_X$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -capacity, and the projection of any  $(\mathcal{G}, I \circ \pi_X)$ -capacitable set onto  $X$  is itself  $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ -capacitable (see http://planetmath.org/ExtendingACapacityToACartesianProductextending a capacity to a Cartesian product). In particular,  $S \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma\delta}$  so, by the lemma, is  $(\mathcal{G}, I \circ \pi_X)$ -capacitable. Therefore,  $A = \pi_X(S)$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ -capacitable. It only remains to prove the lemma.

*Proof of lemma.* If  $S \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  then there exists  $S_{m,n} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$S = \bigcap_n \bigcup_m S_{m,n}.$$

For any positive integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  let us write

$$S(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k) \equiv \left( \bigcap_{n \leq k} \bigcup_{m \leq m_n} S_{m,n} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{n > k} \bigcup_m S_{m,n} \right).$$

In particular,  $S() = S$  and,  $I(S()) = I(S)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  suppose that we have chosen positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}$  such that  $I(S(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1})) > I(S) - \epsilon$ . Since  $I$  is a capacity and  $S(m_1, \dots, m_k)$  increases to  $S(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1})$  as  $m_k$  increases to infinity,

$$I(S(m_1, \dots, m_k)) \rightarrow I(S(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}))$$

as  $m_k$  tends to infinity. So, by choosing  $m_k$  large enough, we have

$$I(S(m_1, \dots, m_k)) > I(S) - \epsilon.$$

Then, by induction, we can find an infinite sequence  $m_1, m_2, \dots$  such that this inequality holds for every  $k$ . Setting

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &\equiv \bigcap_{n \leq k} \bigcup_{m \leq m_n} S_{m,n} \in \mathcal{F}, \\ A &\equiv \bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \leq m_n} S_{m,n} = \bigcap_k A_k \in \mathcal{F}_\delta, \end{aligned}$$

then  $A \subseteq S$ . Furthermore,  $A_k$  contains  $S(m_1, \dots, m_k)$  and decreases to  $A$  as  $k$  tends to infinity. As  $I$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -capacity this gives

$$I(A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(A_k) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(S(m_1, \dots, m_k)) \geq I(S) - \epsilon.$$

So  $S$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ -capacitable, as required. □