

## uniqueness of measures extended from a $\pi\text{-system}$

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf UniquenessOf Measures Extended From Apisystem}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:33:08 Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:33:08

Owner gel (22282)Last modified by gel (22282)

Numerical id 8

Author gel (22282) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 28A12

Related topic LebesgueMeasure Related topic DynkinsLemma The following theorem allows measures to be uniquely defined by specifying their values on a http://planetmath.org/PiSystem $\pi$ -system instead of having to specify the measure of every possible measurable set. For example, the collection of open intervals  $(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  forms a  $\pi$ -system generating the http://planetmath.org/BorelSigmaAlgebraBorel  $\sigma$ -algebra and consequently the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  is uniquely defined by the equality  $\mu((a,b)) = b-a$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be measures on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Suppose that A is a  $\pi$ -system on X generating  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lambda = \mu$  on A and that there exists a sequence  $S_n \in A$  with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = X$  and  $\lambda(S_n) < \infty$ . Then,  $\lambda = \mu$ .

Proof. Choose any  $T \in A$  such that  $\lambda(T) < \infty$  and set  $\mathcal{B} = \{S \in \mathcal{A} : \lambda(S \cap T) = \mu(S \cap T)\}$ . For any  $S \in A$ ,  $S \cap T \in A$  and the requirement that  $\lambda, \mu$  agree on A gives  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ , so  $\mathcal{B}$  contains A. We show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Dynkin system in order to apply Dynkin's lemma. It is clear that  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, the additivity of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  gives

$$\lambda\left((S_2\setminus S_1)\cap T\right) = \lambda(S_2\cap T) - \lambda(S_1\cap T) = \mu(S_2\cap T) - \mu(S_1\cap T) = \mu\left((S_2\setminus S_1)\cap T\right)$$

and therefore  $S_2 \setminus S_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ . Now suppose that  $S_n$  is an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  increasing to  $S \subseteq X$ . Then, monotone convergence of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  gives

$$\lambda(S \cap T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(S_n \cap T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(S_n \cap T) = \lambda(S \cap T),$$

so  $S \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Dynkin system containing A. By Dynkin's lemma this shows that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $\sigma(A) = \mathcal{A}$ .

We have shown that  $\lambda(S \cap T) = \mu(S \cap T)$  for any  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $T \in A$  with  $\lambda(T) < \infty$ . In the particular case where  $X \in A$  and  $\lambda, \mu$  are finite measures then it follows that  $\lambda(S) = \mu(S)$  simply by taking T = X. More generally, choose a sequence of sets  $T_n \in A$  satisfying  $\lambda(T_n) < \infty$  and  $\bigcup_n T_n = X$ . For any  $S \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $S_n \equiv (S \cap T_n) \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1} T_m$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $S_n \subseteq T_n$  and  $\bigcup_n S_n = S$ . So,  $\lambda(S_n) = \mu(S_n)$  and the countable additivity of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  gives

$$\lambda(S) = \sum_{n} \lambda(S_n) = \sum_{n} \mu(S_n) = \mu(S).$$