



## construction of outer measures

Canonical name	ConstructionOfOuterMeasures
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:33:17
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:33:17
Owner	gel (22282)
Last modified by	gel (22282)
Numerical id	10
Author	gel (22282)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 28A12
Related topic	OuterMeasure
Related topic	LebesgueOuterMeasure
Related topic	CaratheodorysLemma

The following theorem is used in measure theory to construct <http://planetmath.org/OuterMeasure> measures on a set  $X$ , starting with a non-negative function on a collection of subsets of  $X$ . For example, if we take  $X$  to be the real numbers,  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the collection of bounded open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  and define  $p$  by  $p((a, b)) = b - a$  for real numbers  $a < b$ , then the Lebesgue outer measure is obtained.

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a set,  $\mathcal{C}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  containing the empty set and  $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a function satisfying  $p(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then the function  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by*

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(A_i) : A_i \in \mathcal{C}, A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right\} \quad (1)$$

*is an outer measure.*

*Proof.* The definition of  $\mu^*$  immediately gives  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$  for sets  $A \subseteq B$ , and if  $A = \emptyset$  then we can take  $A_i = \emptyset$  in (1) to obtain  $\mu^*(\emptyset) \leq \sum_i p(\emptyset) = 0$ , giving  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ . Only the countable subadditivity of  $\mu^*$  remains to be shown. That is, if  $A_i$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  then

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_i A_i \right) \leq \sum_i \mu^*(A_i). \quad (2)$$

To prove this inequality, we may restrict to the case where  $\mu^*(A_i) < \infty$  for each  $i$  so that, choosing any  $\epsilon > 0$ , equation (1) says that there exists a sequence  $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $A_i \subseteq \bigcup_j A_{i,j}$  and,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(A_{i,j}) \leq \mu^*(A_i) + 2^{-i}\epsilon.$$

As  $\bigcup_i A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j} A_{i,j}$ , equation (1) defining  $\mu^*$  gives

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_i A_i \right) \leq \sum_{i,j} p(A_{i,j}) = \sum_i \sum_j p(A_{i,j}) \leq \sum_i (\mu^*(A_i) + 2^{-i}\epsilon) = \sum_i \mu^*(A_i) + \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves subadditivity (2).  $\square$

Although this result is rather general, placing few restrictions on the function  $p$ , there is no guarantee that the outer measure  $\mu^*$  will agree with  $p$  for the sets in  $\mathcal{C}$  nor that  $\mathcal{C}$  will consist of <http://planetmath.org/CaratheodorysLemma>  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets.

For example, if  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of the bounded open intervals, and  $p((a, b)) = (b - a)^2$  for real numbers  $a < b$ , then  $\mu^*((a, b)) = 0 \neq p((a, b))$ .

Alternatively if  $p((a, b)) = \sqrt{b - a}$  for all  $a < b$  then it follows that  $\mu^*((a, b)) = \sqrt{b - a}$  so

$$\mu^*((0, 1)) + \mu^*([1, 2)) = 1 + 1 \neq \mu^*((0, 2)) = \sqrt{2},$$

and  $(0, 1)$  is not  $\mu^*$ -measurable.