

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## a Lebesgue measurable but non-Borel set

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf ALebesgue Measurable But Non Borel Set}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:37:01 Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:37:01

Owner gel (22282) Last modified by gel (22282)

Numerical id 6

Author gel (22282) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 28A05 Classification msc 28A20 We give an example of a subset of the real numbers which is Lebesgue measurable, but not Borel measurable.

Let S consist of the set of all irrational real numbers with continued fraction of the form

$$x = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}$$

such that there exists an infinite sequence  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  where each  $a_{i_k}$  divides  $a_{i_{k+1}}$ . It can be shown that this set is Lebesgue measurable, but not Borel measurable.

In fact, it can be shown that S is an http://planetmath.org/AnalyticSet2analytic set, meaning that it is the image of a continuous function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  for some Polish space X and, consequently, S is a universally measurable set.

This example is due to Lusin (1927).