

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof of Carathéodory's extension theorem

 ${\bf Canonical\ name} \quad {\bf ProofOfCaratheodorysExtensionTheorem}$ 

Date of creation 2013-03-22 18:33:28 Last modified on 2013-03-22 18:33:28

Owner gel (22282)Last modified by gel (22282)

Numerical id 4

Author gel (22282) Entry type Proof

Classification msc 28A12

Related topic CaratheodorysLemma

Related topic Measure

Related topic OuterMeasure2

The first step is to extend the set function  $\mu_0$  to the power set P(X). For any subset  $S \subseteq X$  the value of  $\mu^*(S)$  is defined by taking sequences  $S_i$  in A which cover S,

$$\mu^*(S) \equiv \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(S_i) : S_i \in A, \ S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \right\}. \tag{1}$$

We show that this is an http://planetmath.org/OuterMeasure2outer measure. First, it is clearly non-negative. Secondly, if  $S=\emptyset$  then we can take  $S_i=\emptyset$  in (??) to obtain  $\mu^*(S)\leq \sum_i \mu_0(\emptyset)=0$ , giving  $\mu^*(\emptyset)=0$ . It is also clear that  $\mu^*$  is increasing, so that if  $S\subseteq T$  then  $\mu^*(S)\leq \mu^*(T)$ . The only remaining property to be proven is subadditivity. That is, if  $S_i$  is a sequence in P(X) then

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_i S_i \right) \le \sum_i \mu^*(S_i). \tag{2}$$

To prove this inequality, choose any  $\epsilon > 0$  and, by the definition (??) of  $\mu^*$ , for each i there exists a sequence  $S_{i,j} \in A$  such that  $S_i \subseteq \bigcup_i S_{i,j}$  and,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(S_{i,j}) \le \mu^*(S_i) + 2^{-i}\epsilon.$$

As  $\bigcup_i S_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j} S_{i,j}$ , equation (??) defining  $\mu^*$  gives

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_i S_i \right) \le \sum_{i,j} \mu_0(S_{i,j}) = \sum_i \sum_j \mu_0(S_{i,j}) \le \sum_i (\mu^*(S_i) + 2^{-i}\epsilon) = \sum_i \mu^*(S_i) + \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves subadditivity (??). So,  $\mu^*$  is indeed an outer measure.

The next step is to show that  $\mu^*$  agrees with  $\mu_0$  on A. So, choose any  $S \in A$ . The inequality  $\mu^*(S) \leq \mu_0(S)$  follows from taking  $S_1 = S$  and  $S_i = \emptyset$  in (??), and it remains to prove the reverse inequality. So, let  $S_i$  be a sequence in A covering S, and set

$$S_i' = (S \cap S_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} S_j \in A.$$

Then,  $S_i'$  are disjoint sets satisfying  $\bigcup_{j=1}^i S_j' = S \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^i S_j$  and, therefore,  $\bigcup_i S_i' = S$ . By the countable additivity of  $\mu_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i} \mu_0(S_i) = \sum_{i} (\mu_0(S_i') + \mu_0(S_i \setminus S_i')) \ge \sum_{i} \mu_0(S_i') = \mu_0(S).$$

As this inequality hold for any sequence  $S_i \in A$  covering S, equation (??) gives  $\mu^*(S) \geq \mu_0(S)$  and, by combining with the reverse inequality, shows that  $\mu^*$  does indeed agree with  $\mu_0$  on A.

We have shown that  $\mu_0$  extends to an outer measure  $\mu^*$  on the power set of X. The final step is to apply Carathéodory's lemma on the restriction of outer measures. A set  $S \subseteq X$  is said to be  $\mu^*$ -measurable if the inequality

$$\mu^*(E) \ge \mu^*(E \cap S) + \mu^*(E \cap S^c)$$
 (3)

is satisfied for all subsets E of X. Carathéodory's lemma then states that the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets is a http://planetmath.org/SigmaAlgebra $\sigma$ -algebra and that the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}$  is a measure. To complete the proof of the theorem it only remains to be shown that every set in A is  $\mu^*$ -measurable, as it will then follow that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(A)$  and the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is a measure.

So, choosing any  $S \in A$  and  $E \subseteq X$ , the proof will be complete once it is shown that (??) is satisfied. Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , equation (??) says that there is a sequence  $E_i$  in A such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_i E_i$  and

$$\sum_{i} \mu_0(E_i) \le \mu^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

As  $E \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_i (E_i \cap S)$  and  $E \cap S^c \subseteq \bigcup_i (E_i \cap S^c)$ ,

$$\mu^*(E \cap S) + \mu^*(E \cap S^c) \le \sum_i \mu_0(E_i \cap S) + \sum_i \mu_0(E_i \cap S^c) = \sum_i \mu_0(E_i) \le \mu^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, this shows that (??) is satisfied and S is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.