



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

zeros of Dirichlet eta function

Canonical name	ZerosOfDirichletEtaFunction
Date of creation	2014-11-21 21:17:02
Last modified on	2014-11-21 21:17:02
Owner	pahio (2872)
Last modified by	pahio (2872)
Numerical id	19
Author	pahio (2872)
Entry type	Derivation
Classification	msc 30D30
Classification	msc 30B40
Classification	msc 11M41
Related topic	DirichletEtaFunction

As stated in the <http://planetmath.org/AnalyticContinuationOfRiemannZetaToCriticalLine> entry, the definition of the Riemann zeta function may be continued from the half-plane $\Re s > 1$ to the half-plane $\Re s > 0$ by using the Dirichlet eta function $\eta(s)$ via the equation

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\eta(s)}{1 - \frac{2}{2^s}}. \quad (1)$$

Then only the status of the points

$$s_n := 1 + n \cdot \frac{2\pi i}{\ln 2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad (2)$$

which are the zeros of $1 - \frac{2}{2^s}$, remains : are they poles of $\zeta(s)$ or not? E. Landau has 1909 signaled this problem, which has been elementarily solved not earlier than after 40 years, by D. V. Widder. He proved that those numbers, except $s = 1$, are also zeros of $\eta(s)$. This means that they only are removable singularities of $\zeta(s)$ and that (1) in fact extends $\zeta(s)$ to every points of the half-plane $\Re s > 0$ except $s = 1$.

A new direct proof by J. Sondow of the vanishing of the Dirichlet eta function at the points $s_n \neq 1$ was published in 2003. It is based on a relation between the partial sums $\eta_n(s)$ and $\zeta_n(s)$ of the series defining respectively the functions $\eta(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$ for $\Re s > 1$, which involves the approximation of an integral by a Riemann sum.

With some clever but not so complicated performed on finite sums, Sondow writes for any s the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{2n}(s) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{2n-1}}{(2n)^s} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{2n-1}}{(2n)^s} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots + \frac{1}{(2n)^s} \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{2^s} \right) \zeta_{2n}(s) + \frac{2}{2^s} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^s} + \dots + \frac{1}{(2n)^s} \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{2^s} \right) \zeta_{2n}(s) + \frac{2n}{(2n)^s} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1+1/n)^s} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+n/n)^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now if t is real, $s = 1 + it$, and $2^{1-s} = 2^{-it} = 1$, then the factor multiplying $\zeta_{2n}(s)$ is zero and consequently

$$\eta_{2n}(s) = \frac{1}{n^{it}} R_n(1/(1+x)^s, 0, 1)$$

where $R_n(f(x), a, b)$ denotes a special Riemann sum approximating the integral of $f(x)$ over $[a, b]$. For $s = 1$, i.e. $t = 0$, one gets

$$\eta(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{2n}(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(1/(1+x), 0, 1) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1+x} = \ln 2$$

and otherwise, when $t \neq 0$, one has $|n^{1-s}| = |n^{-it}| = 1$, giving

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(s)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\eta_{2n}(s)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_n(1/(1+x)^s, 0, 1)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1+x)^s} \right| = \left| \frac{2^{1-s} - 1}{1-s} \right| = \left| \frac{1-1}{-it} \right| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note. By (1) the Dirichlet eta function has as zeros also the zeros of the Riemann zeta function (see <http://planetmath.org/RiemannZetaFunctionRiemannhypothesis>).

References

- [1] E. LANDAU: *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*. Erster Band. Berlin (1909); p. 161, 933.
- [2] D. V. WIDDER: *The Laplace transform*. Princeton University Press (1946); p. 230.
- [3] J. SONDOW: “Zeros of the alternating zeta function on the line $\Re s = 1$ ”. — *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003). Also available <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0209393>here.
- [4] J. SONDOW: “The Riemann hypothesis, simple zeros, and the asymptotic convergence degree of improper Riemann sums”. — *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998). Also available <http://www.ams.org/journals/proc/1998-126-05/S0002-9939-98-04607-3>/here.