

E EXAMPLE

Here we consider a simple example on the SockFarm operator's MDP. Suppose there are 10 products in total, i.e. $|\mathcal{P}| = 10$ and the operator has 5 accounts to control, i.e. $|\mathcal{A}| = 5$, and $T = 10$.

The initial state as $s_0 = (0, \{dp_{s_0}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \emptyset, \emptyset) \in S$ and $dp_{s_0}(a) = 0.2, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$. The terminal states are states where either $t_s = 10$ or $dp_s(a) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The set of detected accounts at state s is $D(s)$, which is \emptyset to start with in the initial state. The set of new requests added at state s is $\text{REQ}^+(s)$ which is also empty at the initial state.

In our example, we have $s_0 = (0, \{dp_{s_0}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \emptyset, \emptyset) \in S$. After this we go to state $s_1 = (1, \{dp_{s_1}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ since no new requests have arrived and the detection probabilities remain unchanged since no reviews have been posted because $A(s_0) = \emptyset$, i.e., $\mathcal{TR}(s_0, \alpha = \emptyset, s_1) = 1$, $R(s_0, \alpha = \emptyset) = 0$, $\pi(s_0) = \alpha = \emptyset$. Similarly, at $t = 2$, we just reach $s_2 = (2, \{dp_{s_2}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ with everything else invariant. At $t = 3$, $\mathbf{r}_1 = (p_1, 3, 5, 10)$ comes with probability 0.4. Then s_2 with $\pi(s_2) = \alpha = \emptyset$ will transition to one of the following two states:

$$\begin{aligned} s'_3 &= (3, \{dp_{s'_3}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \{\mathbf{r}_1\}, \emptyset) \\ s''_3 &= (3, \{dp_{s''_3}(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \emptyset, \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

with the following probability, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{TR}(s_2, \alpha = \emptyset, s'_3) &= Pr(\{\mathbf{r}_1\}) \times 1 = 0.4 \\ \mathcal{TR}(s_2, \alpha = \emptyset, s''_3) &= (1 - Pr(\{\mathbf{r}_1\})) \times 1 = 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $dp_{s'_3}(a) = dp_{s''_3}(a) = dp_{s_2}(a) = 0.2, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$. Now we consider s'_3 with policy $\pi(s'_3) = \alpha'_3 = \{(a_1, p_1), (a_2, p_1), (a_3, p_1)\}$ and the conditioned detection probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr((1.0, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2) \mid (0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2), \alpha'_3) &= 0.3 \\ Pr((0.6, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2) \mid (0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2), \alpha'_3) &= 0.7, \end{aligned}$$

which are determined by the detection algorithm. Then we have the following reward function:

$$R(s'_3, \alpha'_3) = (0.3 \times 0 + 0.7 \times 10) - (0.3 \times 2 + 0.7 \times 0) - 3 = 3.4,$$

where the SockFarm operator posts each review with cost 1, has one detected account with cost 2 (with probability 0.3), finishes the request with reward 10 (with probability 0.7).

At $t = 4$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = (p_2, 3, 5, 10)$ comes with probability 0.4. Then, $\pi(s'_3) = \alpha'_3$ will make the operator transit to one of the following four states:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{4,1} &= (4, (1, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2), \{(p_1, 1, 5, 10), \mathbf{r}_2\}, \{(a_2, p_1), (a_3, p_1)\}) \\ s_{4,2} &= (4, (1, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2), \{(p_1, 1, 5, 10)\}, \{(a_2, p_1), (a_3, p_1)\}) \\ s_{4,3} &= (4, (0.6, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2), \{\mathbf{r}_2\}, \{\emptyset\}) \\ s_{4,4} &= (4, (0.6, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2), \emptyset, \emptyset), \end{aligned}$$

where request \mathbf{r}_1 in $s_{4,1}$ and $s_{4,2}$ has not been finished because a_1 is detected, and a_1 can not be used anymore. The transition function

is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{TR}(s'_3, \alpha'_3, s_{4,1}) &= 0.4 \times 0.3 = 0.12 \\ \mathcal{TR}(s'_3, \alpha'_3, s_{4,2}) &= 0.6 \times 0.3 = 0.18 \\ \mathcal{TR}(s'_3, \alpha'_3, s_{4,3}) &= 0.4 \times 0.7 = 0.28 \\ \mathcal{TR}(s'_3, \alpha'_3, s_{4,4}) &= 0.6 \times 0.7 = 0.42. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following policies:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(s_{4,1}) &= \alpha_{4,1} = \{(a_4, p_1), (a_3, p_2), (a_4, p_2), (a_5, p_2)\} \\ \pi(s_{4,2}) &= \alpha_{4,2} = \{(a_4, p_1)\} \\ \pi(s_{4,3}) &= \alpha_{4,3} = \{(a_3, p_2), (a_4, p_2), (a_5, p_2)\} \\ \pi(s_{4,4}) &= \alpha_{4,4} = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the detection probability for each account is not changed after taking these actions at the corresponding state. Then the requests are finished at the corresponding state with following rewards:

$$\begin{aligned} R(s_{4,1}, \alpha_{4,1}) &= 10 + 10 - 0 - 4 = 16 \\ R(s_{4,2}, \alpha_{4,2}) &= 10 - 0 - 1 = 9 \\ R(s_{4,3}, \alpha_{4,3}) &= 10 - 0 - 3 = 7 \\ R(s_{4,4}, \alpha_{4,4}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

After that, no more requests will come, and the operator will not post any reviews in the following states. Suppose, after s'_3 , \mathbf{r}_2 is finished successfully, represented by $V(s''_3) = 2.8$. Then the expected reward for the policy π is ($\gamma = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} V(\pi) &= R(s_0, \alpha_0) + R(s_1, \alpha_1) + R(s_2, \alpha_2) + 0.6 \times V(s''_3) \\ &\quad + 0.4 \times R(s'_3, \alpha'_3) \\ &\quad + 0.4 \times (0.12 \times R(s_{4,1}, \alpha_{4,1}) + 0.18 \times R(s_{4,2}, \alpha_{4,2}) \\ &\quad \quad + 0.28 \times R(s_{4,3}, \alpha_{4,3}) + 0.42 \times R(s_{4,4}, \alpha_{4,4})) \\ &= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0.6 \times 2.8 + 0.4 \times 3.4 \\ &\quad + 0.4 \times (0.12 \times 16 + 0.18 \times 9 + 0.28 \times 7 + 0.42 \times 0) \\ &= 1.68 + 1.36 + 0.4 \times (1.92 + 1.62 + 1.96 + 0) \\ &= 5.24. \end{aligned}$$