

Exercise 2.1. Gradient descent of  $\text{Tot}$ .

Show that the gradient descent of  $E_0^{\text{Tot}}$  is as in:

$$u^{t+1} = u^t - \eta \left( \frac{1}{2} (u^t - v) + \sum_{i=1}^N k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u^t) \right), \quad t=0, \dots, T.$$

Recall that a gradient descent is an iterative minimization method with the following update equation:

$$u^{t+1} = u^t - \eta \nabla E_0^{\text{Tot}}(u, v).$$

We have to compute  $\nabla E_0^{\text{Tot}}$ .

$$E_0^{\text{Tot}} = \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|^2 + \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i(k_i * u(x)) + C.$$

Let's start part by part.

$$\nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|^2 \right) = (u - v)$$

$$\nabla \left( \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i(k_i * u(x)) \right) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \varphi_i(k_i * u(x)) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u(x)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, we will change summation order.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{x \in \Omega} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u(x)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u(x)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\nabla C = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla E_0^{\text{Tot}} = (u - v) + \sum_{i=1}^N k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u(x)) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{t+1} = u^t - \eta \left( \frac{1}{2} (u - v) + \sum_{i=1}^N k_i * \varphi_i'(k_i * u(x)) \right)$$



Exercise 2.2. Maximum likelihood as density matching.

The Kullback-Leibler divergence (also called relative entropy) is a measure of how one probability distribution differs from a second reference probability distribution, given by:

$$KL(p(u) \| q(u)) = \int \log\left(\frac{p(u)}{q(u)}\right) p(u) du$$

We assume that data distributed according to  $p(u)$ . Consider the limit of the log-likelihood when  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\infty}(\theta) &= E[\log p_{\theta}(u)] = \int \log p_{\theta}(u) p(u) du = \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \log p_{\theta}(u_j). \end{aligned}$$

Show that maximizing the expected log-likelihood  $L_{\infty}$  minimizes

$$KL(p(u) \| p_{\theta}(u)) = \int \log\left(\frac{p(u)}{p_{\theta}(u)}\right) p(u) du$$

$\max_{\theta} L_{\infty}(\theta) = \max_{\theta} \int \log(p_{\theta}(u)) p(u) du = \min_{\theta} - \int \log(p_{\theta}(u)) p(u) du$   
Also,  $\min_{\theta} KL(p(u) \| p_{\theta}(u)) = \min_{\theta} \int \log\left(\frac{p(u)}{p_{\theta}(u)}\right) p(u) du =$   
 $= \min_{\theta} \int \log(p(u)) p(u) - \log(p_{\theta}(u)) p(u) du$ . The first part is independent of  $\theta$ , so it is not involved in minimization, we have  $\min_{\theta} - \int \log(p_{\theta}(u)) p(u) du + \int \log(p(u)) p(u) du \Rightarrow$  We have to solve the  $\min_{\theta} - \int \log(p_{\theta}(u)) p(u) du$  which is the same as we got before, so maximizing  $L_{\infty}(\theta)$  minimizes  $KL(p(u) \| p_{\theta}(u))$