- The output begins with echoing the function call. Then the information on deviance residuals is displayed.
- Deviance residuals are approximately normally distributed if the model is specified correctly.
- Here it shows a little bit of skeweness since median is not quite zero.

- ► The Poisson regression coefficients for each of the variables along with the standard errors, z-scores, p-values and 95% confidence intervals for the coefficients.
- The coefficient for math is 0.07.
- ► This means that the expected log count for a one-unit increase in math is 0.07.

Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)

Coefficients:

```
1.6e-15 ***
(Intercept) -5.2471
                         0.6585
                                 -7.97
progAcademic
               1.0839
                         0.3583
                                 3.03
                                        0.0025 **
progVocational
               0.3698
                         0.4411
                                 0.84
                                        0.4018
               0.0702
                         0.0106
                                  6.62
math
                                       3.6e-11 ***
                     0.001 '**' 0.01 '*'
                                       0.05 '.' 0.1 '
Signif. codes:
```

- ► The indicator variable progAcademic compares between prog = Academic and prog = "General", the expected log count for prog = Academic increases by about 1.1.
- The indicator variable prog.Vocational is the expected difference in log count (≈ 0.37) between prog = "Vocational" and the reference group (prog = "General").

- The output above indicates that the incident rate for prog = "Academic" is 2.96 times the incident rate for the reference group (prog = "General").
- ► Likewise, the incident rate for **prog** = "Vocational" is 1.45 times the incident rate for the reference group holding the other variables at constant.

► The percent change in the incident rate of num\_awards is by 7% for every unit increase in math.

#### **Deviance**

- In statistics, deviance is a quality of fit statistic for a model that is often used for statistical hypothesis testing.
- It is a generalization of the idea of using the sum of squares of residuals in ordinary least squares to cases where model-fitting is achieved by maximum likelihood.

- The information on deviance is also provided.
- We can use the residual deviance to perform a goodness of fit test for the overall model.

- ► The residual deviance is the difference between the deviance of the current model and the maximum deviance of the ideal model where the predicted values are identical to the observed.
- Therefore, if the residual difference is small enough, the goodness of fit test will not be significant, indicating that the model fits the data.

- If the test had been statistically significant, it would indicate that the data do not fit the model well.
- We could try to determine if there are omitted predictor variables, if our linearity assumption holds and/or if there is an issue of over-dispersion.

# **Comparing Candidate Models**

- We can also test the overall effect of prog by comparing the deviance of the full model with the deviance of the model excluding prog.
- The two degree-of-freedom chi-square test indicates that prog, taken together, is a statistically significant predictor of num\_awards.

### **Comparing Models**

```
# update m1 model dropping prog
m2 <- update(m1, . ~ . - prog)</pre>
```

# test model differences with chi square test
anova(m2, m1, test="Chisq")

#### Analysis of Deviance Table

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\* 0.001 '\*\* 0.01 '\* 0.05 '.' 0.1

### **Incident Rate Ratios**

- Sometimes, we might want to present the regression results as incident rate ratios (IRRs) and their standard errors, together with the confidence interval.
- To compute the standard error for the incident rate ratios, we will use the **Delta method** ( Numerical Computation Method).
- ► To this end, we make use the function deltamethod implemented in R package msm.

#### **Incident Rates**

Incidence rate is the occurrence of an event over person-time, for example person-years.

$$Incidence Rate = \frac{events}{Person Time}$$

Note: the same time intervals must be used for both incidence rates.

### **Incident Rate Ratios**

A **rate ratio** (sometimes called an incidence density ratio) in epidemiology, is a relative difference measure used to compare the incidence rates of events occurring at any given point in time.

Incidence Rate Ratio  $= \frac{\text{Incidence Rate 1}}{\text{Incidence Rate 2}}$ 

#### **Delta Method**

```
s \leftarrow deltamethod(list(~exp(x1), ~exp(x2), ~exp(x3),
      \sim \exp(x4), coef(m1), cov.m1)
#exponentiate old estimates dropping the p values
rexp.est \leftarrow exp(r.est[, -3])
# replace SEs with estimates
# for exponentiated coefficients
rexp.est[, "Robust SE"] <- s
```

```
rexp.est
```

```
Estimate Robust SE LL UL (Intercept) 0.005263 0.00340 0.001484 0.01867 progAcademic 2.956065 0.94904 1.575551 5.54620 progVocational 1.447458 0.57959 0.660335 3.17284 math 1.072672 0.01119 1.050955 1.09484
```

- Sometimes, we might want to look at the expected marginal means.
- For example, what are the expected counts for each program type holding math score at its overall mean?
- To answer this question, we can make use of the predict function.
- First off, we will make a small data set to apply the predict function to it.

```
(s1 <- data.frame(math = mean(p$math),</pre>
 prog = factor(1:3, levels = 1:3,
 labels = levels(p$prog))))
   math
               prog
1 52.65 General
2 52.65 Academic
3 52.65 Vocational
```

```
predict(m1, s1, type="response", se.fit=TRUE)
 $fit
 0.2114 0.6249 0.3060
 $se.fit
 0.07050 0.08628 0.08834
 $residual.scale
 [1] 1
```

- ▶ In the output above, we see that the predicted number of events for level 1 of prog is about 0.21, holding math at its mean.
- ► The predicted number of events for level 2 of prog is higher at 0.62, and the predicted number of events for level 3 of prog is about .31.
- ▶ The ratios of these predicted counts  $\left(\frac{0.625}{0.211} = 2.96, \frac{0.306}{0.211} = 1.45\right)$  match what we saw looking at the IRR.

