Eulerian Pairs on Fibonacci Words

Teresa X.S. Li¹, Charles B. Mei², Melissa Y.F. Miao³

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics Southwest University

Chongqing 400715, P.R. China

^{2,3}Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC

Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

¹pmgb@swu.edu.cn, ²meib@mail.nankai.edu.cn, ³miaoyinfeng@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

Recently, Sagan and Savage introduced the notion of Eulerian pairs. In this note, we find Eulerian pairs on Fibonacci words based on Foata's first transformation or Han's bijection and a map in the spirit of a bijection of Steingrímsson.

Keywords: Fibonacci word, Eulerian pair, excedance number, descent number

AMS Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A19

1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by the notion of Eulerian pairs introduced by Sagan and Savage [6] in their study of Mahonian pairs. Let \mathbb{P} be the set of positive integers and let \mathbb{P}^* be the set of words on \mathbb{P} . For two finite subsets $S, T \subset \mathbb{P}^*$, the pair (S, T) is called a Mahonian pair if the distribution of the major index over S is the same as the distribution of the inversion number over T. Similarly, (S, T) is said to be an Eulerian pair if the distribution of the descent number over S is the same as the distribution of the excedance number over T.

The well-known theorem of MacMahon [5] can be rephrased as the fact that (S_n, S_n) is a Mahonian pair, where S_n is the set of permutations on $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Foata [3] found a combinatorial proof of this fact by establishing a correspondence which has been called the second fundamental transformation, denoted Φ_2 . With the aid of the map Φ_2 , Sagan and Savage found Mahonian pairs $(S, \Phi_2(S))$, where S is a set of ballot sequences or a set of Fibonacci words. By a Fibonacci word we mean a word on $\{1,2\}$ containing no consecutive ones. Dokes et al.[1] studied mahonian pairs on permutations avoiding some patterns. In this paper, we find Eulerian pairs on Fibonacci words based on bijections of Foata [2], Han[4] and Steingrímsson [7].

We adopt some common notation on words. For a word $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, the descent number $\operatorname{des}(\omega)$, the inversion number $\operatorname{inv}(\omega)$ and the major index $\operatorname{maj}(\omega)$ are

defined by

$$des(\omega) = \#\{i | a_i > a_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n - 1\},$$

$$inv(\omega) = \#\{(i, j) | a_i > a_j, 1 \le i < j \le n\},$$

$$maj(\omega) = \sum_{\substack{a_i > a_{i+1}, \\ 1 \le i < n - 1}} i,$$

where # indicates the cardinality of a set. Writing ω in the two-line form,

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

one can define the excedance number $exc(\omega)$ as follows

$$exc(\omega) = \#\{i | a_i > x_i, 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

Usually, we say that (a_i, a_{i+1}) is a descent in ω if $a_i > a_{i+1}$ and (a_i, x_i) is an excedance if $a_i > x_i$.

2 Eulerian pairs derived from Φ_1^{-1}

In this section, we construct Eulerian pairs on Fibonacci words by using Foata's first fundamental transformation [2]. It is worth mentioning that Foata's first fundamental transformation Φ_1 coincides with Han's bijection [4] when restricted to words on $\{1, 2\}$. From now on, we shall still use Φ_1 to denote Foata's first fundamental transformation (or Han's bijection) when restricted to $\{1, 2\}^*$.

Throughout this paper, by a binary word we mean a word on $\{1,2\}$. Let $\{1,2\}_n^*$ denote the set of binary words of length n. Clearly, a word $\omega \in \{1,2\}^*$ with d descents can be uniquely written as

$$\omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 1^{m_1} 2^{n_1} \cdots 1^{m_d} 2^{n_d}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $m_0, n_d \ge 0$, and $m_i, n_j > 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and $0 \le j \le d - 1$. It can be easily checked that $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega)$ takes the following form

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) = 1^{m_0} 21^{m_1 - 1} 2 \cdots 21^{m_d - 1} 2^{n_0 - 1} 12^{n_1 - 1} \cdots 2^{n_{d-1} - 1} 12^{n_d}. \tag{2.2}$$

The expression (2.2) enables us to describe the Eulerian pairs $(S, \Phi_1^{-1}(S))$ when $S = F_n$ and $S = F'_n$, where F_n is the set of Fibonacci words of length n and F'_n is the set of Fibonacci words of length n ending with 1. Analogous to the description of the Mahonian pairs obtained by Sagan and Savage [6], we shall use the correspondence between binary words and integer partitions. Making use of this connection, $\Phi_1^{-1}(F_n)$ and $\Phi_1^{-1}(F'_n)$ can be described in terms of statistics on integer partitions.

The following theorem gives Eulerian pairs involving F_n and F'_n , where we use $N_{\omega}(1)$ to denote the number of ones in a word ω . For any partition λ , we denote by $l(\lambda)$ the number of parts of λ . Recall that the Durfee square $D(\lambda)$ of λ is the square partition (d^d) , where d is the largest integer $i \leq l(\lambda)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq i, \ldots, \lambda_i \geq i$. Denote by $d(\lambda)$ the size d of $D(\lambda)$, and let $B(\lambda) = (\lambda_{d+1}, \ldots, \lambda_k)$.

Theorem 2.1 Let

 $R_n = \{ \omega \in \{1, 2\}_n^* \mid \lambda = \lambda(\omega), \lambda_1 \le n - N_\omega(1), N_\omega(1) - 1 \le d(\lambda) \le N_\omega(1), B(\lambda) = \emptyset \},$ and let

$$R'_{n} = \{ \omega \in \{1, 2\}_{n}^{*} \mid \lambda = \lambda(\omega), \lambda_{1} = n - N_{\omega}(1), N_{\omega}(1) - 1 \le d(\lambda) \le N_{\omega}(1), B(\lambda) = \emptyset \}.$$

Then (F_n, R_n) and (F'_n, R'_n) are Eulerian pairs.

Proof. Φ_1 is a bijection on words which maps the excedance number to the descent number, for more details, see [2]. Thus for any set S, $(S, \Phi_1^{-1}(S))$ is an Eulerian pair. So it suffices to show that $R_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F_n)$ and $R'_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F'_n)$.

Suppose that $\omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 1^{m_1} 2^{n_1} \cdots 1^{m_d} 2^{n_d} \in F_n$, where $m_0 = 0$ or 1 and $m_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Notice that $d = N_{\omega}(1) - m_0$. From (2.2) it follows that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) = 1^{m_0} 2^{d+n_0-1} 12^{n_1-1} \cdots 12^{n_{d-2}-1} 12^{n_{d-1}-1} 12^{n_d}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\lambda = \lambda(\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega))$. From the correspondence between binary words and partitions, we see that λ has exactly d parts. Moreover, we have

$$\lambda_1 = n - N_{\omega}(1) - n_d \le n - N_{\omega}(1)$$

and

$$\lambda_d = d + n_0 - 1 \ge d.$$

Hence $B(\lambda) = \emptyset$ and $D(\lambda) = (d^d)$. It follows from (2.3) that the size of the Durfee square of λ is given by

$$d(\lambda) = \begin{cases} N_{\omega}(1) - 1, & \text{if } m_0 = 1; \\ N_{\omega}(1), & \text{if } m_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

So we see that $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) \in R_n$, which yields that $\Phi_1^{-1}(F_n) \subseteq R_n$. It is easy to see that the above process is reversible and thus we arrive at the conclusion that $R_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F_n)$.

We now proceed to show that $R'_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F'_n)$. Let ω be a binary word of length n. In view of (2.2), we see that ω ends with 1 if and only if $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega)$ ends with 1. So we deduce that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(F_n') = \{ \omega \in \Phi_1^{-1}(F_n) \mid \omega \text{ ends with } 1 \}.$$

On the other hand, by the construction of the correspondence between binary words and partitions, it can be checked that ω ends with 1 if and only if $\lambda_1 = n - N_{\omega}(1)$, where $\lambda = \lambda(\omega)$. Since $R_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F_n)$, we obtain that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(F_n') = \{ \omega \in R_n \mid \omega \text{ ends with } 1 \}$$
$$= \{ \omega \in R_n \mid \lambda = \lambda(\omega), \lambda_1 = n - N_\omega(1) \},$$

that is, $R'_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(F'_n)$. This completes the proof.

3 Eulerian pair derived from Γ

In this section, we extend the bijection of Steingrímsson ϕ [7] on permutations to a map Γ on words. While the extended map is not a bijection, it still transforms the descent number to the excedance number. As far as F_n is concerned, the map Γ is not injective, but it turns out to be injective on F'_n . Therefore, we obtain an Eulerian pair $(F'_n, \Gamma(F'_n))$.

We begin with an overview of Steingrímsson's bijection ϕ on permutations. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ be a permutation of [n]. For notational convenience, let $\phi(\pi) = f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n)$. Set $\pi_0 = 0$ and $\pi_{n+1} = n+1$.

- (1) If there exists an integer m such that $k < m \le n$ and $\pi_m < \pi_k$, then we set $f(\pi_{k+1}) = \pi_k$.
- (2) If $\pi_k > \pi_m$ for $k < m \le n$, then we set $f(\pi_{j+1}) = \pi_k$, where j is the largest number such that $\pi_j < \pi_k$.

Steingrísson proved that the map ϕ is a bijection which maps the descent number to the excedance number.

Proposition 3.1 ([7], Remark 4.7) Let π be a permutation on [n]. Then for $1 \le k \le n$, $\pi_k > \pi_{k+1}$ if and only if (π_k, π_{k+1}) is an excedance in $\phi(\pi)$.

Steingrímsson's bijection can be extended to a map Γ on words. Recall that the standardization of a word $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ can be expressed as $\pi = \beta_{\omega}(1)\beta_{\omega}(2)\cdots\beta_{\omega}(n)$ on [n], where $\beta_{\omega}(i)$ is given by

$$\beta_{\omega}(i) = \#\{j \mid 1 \le j \le n, a_j < a_i\} + \#\{j \mid j \le i, a_j = a_i\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ be a word. The map Γ is defined as follows. Assume that $\pi = \beta_{\omega}(1)\beta_{\omega}(2)\cdots\beta_{\omega}(n)$ is the standardization of ω . Let $\phi(\pi) = f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a unique integer j_i such that $\beta_{\omega}(j_i) = f(i)$. Then $\Gamma(\omega)$ is defined

to be the word $a_{j_1}a_{j_2}\cdots a_{j_n}$. For example, let $\omega=132232131$. Then the standardization of ω is $\pi=174586293$ and $\phi(\pi)=169748253$. So we have $\Gamma(\omega)=123323121$.

The following theorem shows that the map Γ also transforms the descent number to the excedance number.

Theorem 3.2 For any word ω , we have

$$des(\omega) = exc(\Gamma(\omega)).$$

Proof. Assume that $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is a word. Let $\pi = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$ be the standardization of ω . It is obvious that (a_i, a_{i+1}) is a descent in ω if and only if (σ_i, σ_{i+1}) is a descent in π . By Proposition 3.1, we see that (σ_i, σ_{i+1}) is a descent in π if and only if (σ_i, σ_{i+1}) forms an excedance in $\phi(\pi)$. With the aid of the construction of Γ , it can be seen that (σ_i, σ_{i+1}) forms an excedance in $\phi(\pi)$ if and only if (a_i, a_{i+1}) is an excedance in $\Gamma(\omega)$. Thus, we have $\operatorname{des}(\omega) = \operatorname{exc}(\Gamma(\omega))$. This completes the proof.

Next we consider the restriction of Γ to words on $\{1,2\}$. In this case, it is easy to verify that $\Gamma(\omega 2^m) = \Gamma(\omega) 2^m$ for $m \geq 1$. The following lemma shows how to compute $\Gamma(\omega 1^m)$ based on $\Gamma(\omega)$.

Lemma 3.3 Suppose that ω is a binary word of length n that contains k ones. Let $\Gamma(\omega) = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$. Assume that t is the largest integer i such that ω ends with 2^i . Set $U = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k$ and $V = b_{k+1} b_{k+2} \cdots b_{n-t}$. Then we have the following recurrence relations:

- (1) If t = 0, then $\Gamma(\omega 1) = U1V$. In general, if t = 0, then $\Gamma(\omega 1^m) = U1^mV$ for any $m \ge 1$;
- (2) If t > 0, then $\Gamma(\omega 1) = U2V12^{t-1}$. In general, if t > 0, then we have $\Gamma(\omega 1^m) = U21^{m-1}V12^{t-1}$ for any $m \ge 1$.

Proof. Let $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $a_{n+1} = 1$. Suppose that $\Gamma(\omega 1) = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n+1}$. To determine $\Gamma(\omega 1)$, we consider occurrences of ones in $\Gamma(\omega 1)$. Assume that $a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, \ldots, a_{s_k}$ are the ones in ω , where $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k$. Let us define $\beta(i) = \beta_{\omega}(i)$ and $\beta'(j) = \beta_{\omega 1}(j)$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n+1$. It can be seen that $\beta'(n+1) = k+1$ and for $i \le n$,

$$\beta'(i) = \begin{cases} \beta(i), & \text{if } a_i = 1; \\ \beta(i) + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\{\beta'(s_1) < \beta'(s_2) < \dots < \beta'(s_k)\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

and

$$\{\beta'(i)|1 \le i \le n, a_i = 2\} = \{k+2, \cdots, n+1\}.$$

By the construction of Γ , it is not hard to see that $b_{\beta(s_i+1)} = a_{s_{(i+1)}} = 1$ and $c_{\beta'(s_i+1)} = a_{s_{(i+1)}} = 1$ for $0 \le i \le k-1$, where $s_0 = 0$. For $0 \le i \le k-1$, it is clear that $\beta'(s_i+1) \le k$ if and only if $\beta'(s_i+1) = \beta(s_i+1)$. This means that the ones in $c_1c_2 \cdots c_k$ appear in the same positions as in U. Moreover, for the case $\beta'(s_i+1) \ge k+2$, we see that $\beta'(s_i+1) = \beta(s_i+1) + 1$. In other words, a one appearing in the j-th position in V corresponds to a one in the j-th position in $c_{k+2}c_{k+3}\cdots c_{n-t+1}$.

Let us further consider the position of a_{n+1} in $\Gamma(\omega 1)$. Observe that $s_k = n - t$. By the construction of Γ , we find that $c_{\beta'(n-t+1)} = a_{n+1} = 1$. If t = 0, then $c_{k+1} = c_{\beta'(n+1)} = a_{n+1}$, which means that a_{n+1} is in the (k+1)-th position in $\Gamma(\omega 1)$. When t > 0, since $\beta'(n-t+1) = n-t+2$, we find that $c_{n-t+2} = c_{\beta'(n-t+1)} = a_{n+1}$. Thus a_{n+1} is in the (n-t+2)-th position in $\Gamma(\omega 1)$. In summary, we deduce that

$$\Gamma(\omega 1) = \begin{cases} U1V, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ U2V12^{t-1}, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$
 (3.2)

So the lemma holds for m = 1. By iterating the above process, it can be seen that the lemma holds for m > 1. This completes the proof.

By Lemma 3.3, for any word ω in form (2.1), $\Gamma(\omega)$ is of the following form

$$\Gamma(\omega) = 1^{m_0} 21^{m_1 - 1} \cdots 21^{m_{d-1} - 1} 21^{m_d} 2^{n_0 - 1} 12^{n_1 - 1} \cdots 2^{n_{d-2} - 1} 12^{n_{d-1} - 1 + n_d}. \tag{3.3}$$

The following theorem gives a description of $\Gamma(F'_n)$.

Theorem 3.4 Let

$$T_n = \{\omega \in \{1, 2\}_n^* \mid \lambda = \lambda(\omega), \lambda_1 \leq n - N_\omega(1), N_\omega(1) - 1 \leq l(\lambda) = \lambda_{l(\lambda)} \leq N_\omega(1)\}.$$

Then we have $\Gamma(F'_n) = T_n$. Moreover, (F'_n, T_n) is an Eulerian pair.

Proof. Using the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it can be shown that $\Gamma(F'_n) = T_n$. To prove (F'_n, T_n) is an Eulerian pair, it suffices to verify that Γ is injective on F'_n . Assume that $\omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 12^{n_1} \cdots 12^{n_{d-2}} 12^{n_{d-1}} 1$ and $\omega' = 1^{m'_0} 2^{n'_0} 12^{n'_1} \cdots 12^{n'_{d'-2}} 12^{n'_{d'-1}} 1$ are two words in F'_n such that $\Gamma(\omega) = \Gamma(\omega')$. It follows from (3.3) that $\Gamma(\omega) = 1^{m_0} 2^{d} 12^{n_0-1} \cdots 2^{n'_{d'-2}-1} 1$. So we have d = d', $m_0 = m'_0$ and $n_i = n'_i$ for any $0 \le i \le d-1$. This implies that $\omega = \omega'$. Hence Γ is injective on F'_n . This completes the proof.

It should be noted that Γ is neither surjective nor injective on F_n . For example, there is no ω satisfying $\Gamma(\omega) = 2121$. On the other hand, we have

$$\Gamma(2^212^212^31) = \Gamma(2^212^212^212) = \Gamma(2^212^21212^2) = 2^3121212^2.$$

We conclude this section with a remark that $\Gamma(F_n) = \Gamma(F'_n)$. In fact, for any word $\omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 12^{n_1} \cdots 12^{n_{d-1}} 12^{n_d} \in F_n$, let $\sigma = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 12^{n_1} \cdots 12^{n_{d-1}+n_d} 1$ in F'_n . Then we have $\Gamma(\omega) = \Gamma(\sigma)$.

4 Concluding Remarks

In this section, we make some remarks on Euler-Mahonian pairs on binary words, which are related to the bijections Φ_1 , Φ_2 and Γ .

For any word $\omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} \cdots 1^{m_d} 2^{n_d}$, Sagan and Savage have shown that

$$\Phi_2(\omega) = 1^{m_d - 1} 21^{m_{d-1} - 1} 2 \cdots 1^{m_1 - 1} 21^{m_0} 2^{n_0 - 1} 12^{n_1 - 1} 1 \cdots 2^{n_{d-1} - 1} 12^{n_d}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear from (4.1) that $des(\omega) = exc(\Phi_2(\omega))$. So we deduce that the Mahonian pairs (S, T) given by Sagan and Savage [6] are Euler-Mahonian pairs in the sense that

$$\sum_{\omega \in S} p^{\operatorname{des}(\omega)} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\omega)} = \sum_{\omega \in T} p^{\operatorname{exc}(\omega)} q^{\operatorname{inv}(\omega)}.$$

It should be noted that in general $\Phi_2(F_n) \neq \Phi_1^{-1}(F_n)$, $\Phi_2(F'_n) \neq \Phi_1^{-1}(F'_n)$ and $\Phi_2(F'_n) \neq \Gamma(F'_n)$. However, there exists a set G_n such that $(G_n, \Phi_1^{-1}(G_n))$, $(G_n, \Phi_2(G_n))$ and $(G_n, \Gamma(G_n))$ are the same Eulerian pairs. Meanwhile, we find a set H of binary words for which $\Phi_1^{-1} = \Phi_2$.

Theorem 4.1 Let G_n be the set of words in $\{1,2\}_n^*$ with no consecutive twos and let

$$H = \{ \omega = 1^{m_0} 2^{n_0} 1^{m_1} 2^{n_1} \cdots 1^{m_d} 2^{n_d} | m_0 = m_d - 1, m_i = m_{d-i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le d - 1 \}.$$

Then we have $\Phi_2(G_n) = \Phi_1^{-1}(G_n) = \Gamma(G_n)$ and $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) = \Phi_2(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in H$.

Proof. Given a word $\omega \in G_n$ with d descents, it can be written uniquely as

$$1^{m_0}21^{m_1}2\cdots 1^{m_d}2^{n_d}$$

where $m_0 \geq 0$, $n_d = 0$ or 1, and $m_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. By (2.2) and (3.3), we find that $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) = \Gamma(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in G_n$. Therefore, we have $\Phi_1^{-1}(G_n) = \Gamma(G_n)$. To show that $\Phi_1^{-1}(G_n) = \Phi_2(G_n)$, we define a map φ on binary words

$$\varphi(1^{m_0}2^{n_0}1^{m_1}2^{n_1}\cdots 1^{m_{d-1}}2^{n_{d-1}}1^{m_d}2^{n_d}) = 1^{m_d-1}2^{n_0}1^{m_{d-1}}2^{n_1}\cdots 2^{n_{d-2}}1^{m_1}2^{n_{d-1}}1^{m_0+1}2^{n_d}.$$

It is easy to check that φ is an involution on $\{1,2\}^*$. Observing that $\varphi(G_n) = G_n$, by (4.1) and (2.2), we obtain that $\Phi_2(\omega) = \Phi_1^{-1}(\varphi(\omega))$ for any $\omega \in G_n$. Thus we have $\Phi_1^{-1}(G_n) = \Phi_2(G_n)$.

By the definition of φ , we find that $H = \{\omega \in \{1,2\}^* \mid \varphi(\omega) = \omega\}$. Since $\Phi_2(\omega) = \Phi_1^{-1}(\varphi(\omega))$ for any binary word ω , we conclude that $\Phi_1^{-1}(\omega) = \Phi_2(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in H$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank the referee for helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] T. Dokos, T. Dwyer, B.P. Johnson, B.E. Sagan and K. Selsor, Permutation patterns ans statistics, Discrete Math. 312 (2012), 2760–2775.
- [2] D. Foata, Etude algébrique de certains problèmes d'analyse combinatorie et du calcul des probabilités, Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Pairs, 14 (1965), 81–241.
- [3] D. Foata, On the Netto inversion number of a sequence, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 19 (1968), 236–240.
- [4] G.N. Han, Une transformation fondamentale sur les réarrangements de mots, Adv. Math., 105 (1994), 26–41.
- [5] P.A. MacMahon, Two applications of general theorems in combinatory analysis, Proc. London Math. Soc., 15 (1916), 314–321.
- [6] B.E. Sagan and C.D. Savage, Mahonian pairs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 119 (2012), 526–545.
- [7] E. Steingrímsson, Permutation statistics of indexed and poset permutations, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1991.