## REGULARITY OF PULLBACK ATTRACTORS AND EQUILIBRIUM FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS STOCHASTIC FITZHUGH-NAGUMO SYSTEM ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

#### WENQIANG ZHAO, ANHUI GU

ABSTRACT. A theory on bi-spatial random attractors developed recently by Li et al. is extended to study stochastic Fitzhugh-Nagumo system driven by a non-autonomous term as well as a general multiplicative noise. By using the so-called notions of uniform absorption and uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness, it is showed that every generated random cocycle has a pullback attractor in  $L^l(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $l \in (2,p]$ , and the family of obtained attractors is upper semi-continuous at any intensity of noise. Moreover, if some additional conditions are added, then the system possesses a unique equilibrium and is attracted by a single point.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the random dynamics of solutions of the following non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system defined on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  perturbed by a  $\varepsilon$ -multiplicative noise:

$$d\tilde{u} + (\lambda \tilde{u} - \Delta \tilde{u} + \alpha \tilde{v})dt = f(x, \tilde{u})dt + g(t, x)dt + \varepsilon \tilde{u} \circ d\omega(t), t > \tau, \tag{1.1}$$

$$d\tilde{v} + (\sigma \tilde{v} - \beta \tilde{u})dt = h(t, x)dt + \varepsilon \tilde{v} \circ d\omega(t), t > \tau, \tag{1.2}$$

with initial value numbers

$$\tilde{u}(x,\tau) = \tilde{u}_{\tau}(x), \qquad \tilde{v}(x,\tau) = \tilde{v}_{\tau}(x),$$

$$(1.3)$$

where the initial condition  $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the coefficients  $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \sigma$  are positive constants, the non-autonomous terms  $g, h \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ , the non-linearity f is a smooth function satisfying some polynomial growth,  $\varepsilon$  is the intensity of noise with  $\varepsilon \in [-a, a] \setminus \{0\}, a > 0$ ,  $\omega(t)$  is a Wiener process defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}); \omega(0) = 0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by the compact-open topology of  $\Omega$  and P be the corresponding Wiener measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

The deterministic FitzHugh-Nagumo system is an important mathematical model to describe the signal transmission across axons in neurobiology, see [22, 10, 15, 4] and references therein. It is well studied in the literature, see e.g. [20, 26, 21, 23]. In the random case, when g and h do not depend on the time, Wang [28] proved the existence and uniqueness of random attractors in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . For the general non-autonomous forcings g and h, under additive noises, Adili and Wang [2] obtained the pullback attractors in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and Bao [5] developed

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H15, 35R60, 35B40, 35B41.

Key words and phrases. Random dynamical systems; non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo systems; upper semi-continuity; pullback attractor; multiplicative noise; equilibria.

this result and obtained the regularity in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . For our problem (1.1)-(1.3), *i.e.*, under multiplicative noise, Adili and Wang [1] proved the existence and upper semi-continuity of attractors in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  recently. For the stochastic lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo system, the existences of random attractors are widely studied in [14, 12, 13]. However, to our knowledge, there are no literature to investigate the asymptotic high-order integrability of solutions to the FitzHugh-Nagumo system, even for the deterministic case.

In this paper, we strengthen these results offered by [1] and devote to obtain the asymptotic high-order integrability of solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.3). To this end, a theory on bi-spatial random attractors developed recently by Li et al. [16, 17] is extended to stochastic partial differential equations (SPDE) with both non-autonomous terms and random noises, see Theorem 2.9 and 2.10. It is showed that the uniform absorption and uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness are the appropriate notions to depict the existence and upper semi-continuity of attractor in both the initial space and terminate space [16]. As for the theory on the upper semi-continuity of pullback attractors in an initial space and its applications, we may refer to [29, 27, 31, 37] and references therein.

Then we apply the obtained theorems to prove that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) admits a unique pullback attractor in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , with the functions f, g and h satisfying almost the same conditions as in [1]. Furthermore, we derive the upper semi-continuity of pullback attractors of system in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as the intensity  $\varepsilon$  approaches any  $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . These are achieved by checking the uniform absorption and uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness properties of random cocycles. The uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is completely proved by estimate of the  $L^2$  and  $L^p$ -uniform boundedness and the  $L^p$ -truncation of solutions, see Lemma 4.4 and 4.5. it seems that the estimate of  $L^2$ -truncation is unnecessary, see [16, 17, 18, 34, 35, 19, 32, 36]. It is worth mention that an additional assumption on the non-autonomous terms (see [1]) is not used in our proof, see section 3.

The third goal is to study stochastic fixed point or random equilibrium of random dynamical system, see [6]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of equilibrium for SPDE with both non-autonomous terms and white noises. It is showed that if the parameters satisfy some additional conditions, then the system admits a unique equilibrium and is attracted by a single point.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some concepts required for our further discussions and extend the results developed by [16, 17] to the general SPDE with non-autonomous forcing. In section 3, we give the assumptions on g,h and f, and define a family of continuous random cocycles for problem (1.1)-(1.3). In section 4, we prove the existence and upper semi-continuity of pullback attractors in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The final section is concerned with the existence of equilibrium of the random cocycle.

#### 2. Preliminaries and abstract results

In this section, we give the sufficient conditions for the existence and upper semi-continuity of pullback attractors in the terminate space for random dynamical systems over two parametric spaces, which are applicable to SPDE with both non-autonomous deterministic and random terms, and the structure of the pullback attractor is presented. This is an extension of the corresponding results just established by Li *et al.* [16], which is suitable for random systems with only one stochastic terms. The reader is also referred to [29, 30, 38] for the theory of pullback attractors and its applications in *the initial space* over two parametric spaces, and to [8, 25, 11, 9] for one parametric random attractors. The reader may also refer to [7, 24] for pullback attractor of deterministic dynamical systems.

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let both  $(X, \|.\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|.\|_Y)$  be separable Banach spaces, where X is called an *initial space* which contains all initial data, and Y is called a terminate space which contains all values of solutions for a SPDE [16]. Both X and Y may not be embedded in any direction, but we assume that they are limit-uniqueness in the following sense:

**(H1)** If  $\{x_n\}_n \subset X \cap Y$  such that  $x_n \to x$  in X and  $x_n \to y$  in Y, respectively, then we have x = y.

Let Q be a nonempty set and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. We assume that there are two groups  $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  over Q and  $\Omega$ , respectively. Specifically, the mapping  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \times Q \mapsto Q$  satisfies that  $\sigma_0$  is the identity on Q, and  $\sigma_{s+t} = \sigma_s \circ \sigma_t$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly,  $\vartheta: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \Omega$  is a  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable mapping such that  $\vartheta_0$  is the identity on  $\Omega$ ,  $\vartheta_{s+t} = \vartheta_s \circ \vartheta_t$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\vartheta_t P = P$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, we call both  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  parametric dynamical systems. Let  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \geq 0\}$  and  $2^X$  be the collection of all subsets of X.

**Definition 2.1.** A measurable mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times Q \times \Omega \times X \to X, (t, q, \omega, x) \mapsto \varphi(t, q, \omega, x)$  is called to be a random cocycle on X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  if for every  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  the following statements are satisfied:

(i) 
$$\varphi(0,q,\omega,.)$$
 is the identity on  $X$ , (ii)  $\varphi(t+s,q,\omega,.) = \varphi(t,\sigma_sq,\vartheta_s\omega,.) \circ \varphi(s,q,\omega,.)$ .

An random cocycle is said to be continuous in X iff each operator  $\varphi(t, q, \omega, .)$  is continuous in X for each  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

In particular, it is pointed out that in this paper, we need further to assume that the random cocycle  $\varphi$  acting on X takes its values in the terminate space Y for for all t>0 (except that t=0), *i.e.*,

**(H2)** For every 
$$t > 0, q \in Q$$
, and  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\varphi(t, q, \omega, .) : X \to Y$ .

In the sequel, we use  $\mathcal{D}$  to denote a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X which is parameterized by  $(q, \omega) \in (Q \times \Omega)$ :

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D = \{\emptyset \neq D(q, \omega) \in 2^X; q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega\}; f_D \text{ satisfies some conditions}\}.$$

We further assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is inclusion closed, that is, for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\{\tilde{D}(q,\omega): \tilde{D}(q,\omega) \text{ is a nonempty subset of } D(q,\omega), \forall \ q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Given  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ , we say that  $D_1 = D_2$  if and only if  $D_1(q, \omega) = D_2(q, \omega)$  for each  $q \in Q$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Throughout this paper, all assertions about  $\omega$  are assumed to hold on a  $\vartheta_t$ -invariant set of full measure (unless some exceptional cases needed).

**Definition 2.2.** A set-valued mapping  $K: Q \times \Omega \to 2^X$  is called measurable in X with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\Omega$  if the mapping  $\omega \in \Omega \mapsto dist_X(x, K(q, \omega))$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -measurable for every fixed  $x \in X$  and  $q \in Q$ , where  $dist_X$  is the Haustorff semimetric in X, i.e., for the two nonempty subsets  $A, B \in 2^X$ ,

$$dis_X(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} ||a - b||_X.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Suppose  $\varphi$  is a random cocycle on X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  and takes its value in Y. A set valued mapping  $\mathcal{A} : Q \times \Omega \mapsto 2^{X \cap Y}$  is called a (X, Y)-pullback attractor for  $\varphi$  if

- (i) A is measurable in X (w.r.t the P-completion of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\Omega$ ), and  $\mathcal{A}(q,\omega)$  is compact in Y for all  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$ ,
  - (ii) A is invariant, that is, for every  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\varphi(t, q, \omega, \mathcal{A}(q, \omega)) = \mathcal{A}(\sigma_t q, \vartheta_t \omega), \forall t \geq 0,$$

(iii) A attracts every element  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  in Y, that is, for every  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} dist_Y(\varphi(t, \sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega, D(\sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)), \mathcal{A}(q, \omega)) = 0,$$

where  $dist_Y$  is the Haustorff semi-distance in Y and the set  $\varphi(t, q, \omega, D(q, \omega)) = \{\varphi(t, q, \omega, x); x \in D(q, \omega)\}.$ 

If X = Y, the above concept reduces to the well known notion of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback attractors, which is first introduced in [30]. We also remark that the measurability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is assumed in the initial space X.

**Definition 2.4.**(see[15].) Let both Z and I be two metric spaces. A family  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  of sets in Z is said to be upper semi-continuous at  $\alpha_0$  if

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} dist_Z(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}) = 0.$$

A family  $A_{\alpha}$  of set-mappings over Q and  $\Omega$  is called to be upper semi-continuous if  $A_{\alpha}(q,\omega)$  is upper semi-continuous for each  $q \in Q$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

In the sequel, we need to consider a family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  with  $I=[-a,a]\setminus\{0\}$ , where a>0 and  $\varphi_0$  is a deterministic cocycle over the parametric space  $(Q,\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ .

**Definition 2.5.**(see[16].) A family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is said to be convergent at point  ${\alpha}={\alpha}_0$  in X if for each  $q\in Q, {\omega}\in \Omega$ , and  $x,x_0\in X$ ,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(t, q, \omega, x) \to \varphi_{\alpha_0}(t, q, \omega, x_0) \text{ in } X,$$

whenever  $\alpha \to \alpha_0$  and  $x \to x_0$ . A family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is said to be convergent in X if it is convergent at any point  $\alpha$ . We say a family of random cocycles  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}(\alpha \in (0,a])$  converges to a deterministic cocycle  $\varphi_0$  in X if for each  $q \in Q$ , and  $x, x_0 \in X$ ,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(t, q, \omega, x) \to \varphi_{0}(t, q, x_{0}) \text{ in } X,$$

whenever  $\alpha \to \alpha_0$  and  $x \to x_0$ .

**Definition 2.6.**(see[16].) A family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is said to be uniformly absorbing in X if each  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  has a closed and measurable pullback absorbing set  $K_{\alpha}$  in X such that the closure  $\overline{K} = \{\overline{\bigcup_{{\alpha}\in I}K_{\alpha}(q,\omega)}; q\in Q, \omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}$  and for each  $q\in Q, \omega\in\Omega$ ,

 $\limsup_{\alpha \to 0} \|K_{\alpha}(q,\omega)\|_{X} \le c \quad for \ some \ deterministic \ constant \ c > 0.$ 

Here a pullback absorbing set  $K_{\alpha}$  means that for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists an absorbing time  $T = T(D, q, \omega) > 0$  such that for each  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(t, \sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega, D(\sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)) \subseteq K(q, \omega)$$
 for all  $t \ge T$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is said to be uniformly pullback asymptotically compact over I in X if for each  $q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , the sequence

$$\{\varphi_{\alpha_n}(t_n, \sigma_{-t_n}q, \vartheta_{-t_n}\omega, x_n)\}\$$
has a convergence subsequence in  $X,$  (2.1)

whenever  $\alpha_n \in I$ ,  $t_n \to \infty$ , and  $x_n \in D(\sigma_{-t_n}q, \vartheta_{-t_n}\omega)$ . A family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is uniformly pullback asymptotically compact in Y if the convergence in (2.1) holds under Y-norm. A single cocycle  $\varphi_{\alpha_0}$  is pullback asymptotically compact in X if (2.1) holds for a single point  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X, and  $\varphi$  be a random cocycle on X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ . A mapping  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times Q \times \Omega \to X$  is called a complete orbit of  $\varphi$  if for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, q \in Q$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there holds:

$$\varphi(t, \sigma_{\tau}q, \sigma_{\tau}\omega, \psi(\tau, q, \omega)) = \psi(t + \tau, q, \omega).$$

If in addition, there exists  $D = \{D(q, \omega); q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega \in \mathcal{D}\}$  such that  $\psi(\tau, q, \omega) \in D(\sigma_{\tau}q, \sigma_{\tau}\omega)$ , then  $\psi$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -complete orbit of  $\varphi$ .

- 2.2. **Abstract results.** By slightly modifying the arguments of Theorem 3.1 in Li *et al.* [16], we can extend the corresponding theory to the case in which the random systems with non-autonomous term as well as random noises are considered.
- **Theorem 2.9.** Let (X,Y) be a pair of Banach spaces satisfying hypothesis (H1), and  $\varphi$  a continuous random cocycle in X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  such that hypothesis (H2) holds. Assume further that
- (i)  $\varphi$  has a closed and measurable (w.r.t. the P-completion of  $\mathcal{F}$ ) pullback absorbing set  $K = \{K(q, \omega); q \in Q, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D} \text{ in } X;$ 
  - (ii)  $\varphi$  is pullback asymptotically compact in X;
  - (iii)  $\varphi$  is pullback asymptotically compact in Y.

Then the random cocycle  $\varphi$  admits a unique (X,Y)-pullback attractor  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$ , which is structured by

$$\mathcal{A}(q,\omega) = \bigcap_{\tau > 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq \tau} (t, \sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega, K(\sigma_{-t}q, \vartheta_{-t}\omega))}^{Y}$$

$$= \{ \psi(0, q, \omega); \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of the random cocycle } \varphi \}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover,  $A = A_X$ , where  $A_X$  is the (X, X)-pullback attractor.

In the following, we will consider both the semi-continuity of a family of the bi-spatial pullback attractors and the existence problem. We give a unified result, where the concepts of uniform absorption, uniform convergence and uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness are used. To this end, we need to consider a family of random cocycles  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  with  $I=[-a,a]\setminus\{0\}$ , where a>0 and  $\varphi_0$  is a deterministic cocycle over the parametric space  $(Q,\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ .

Then analogous to the proofs of Theorem 3.1 in Li et al. [17], or some small modifying the argument of Theorem 4.1 in Li et al. [16], we can extend their results about random attractors with single random noises to the random cocycle with non-autonomous term as well as random noises.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X and (X,Y) a pair of Banach spaces satisfying hypothesis (H1). Suppose that  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in I}$  is a family of continuous random cocycles in X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  such that hypothesis (H2) holds, and  $\varphi_0$  is a continuous deterministic cocycle in X over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  satisfying  $\varphi_0(t, q, .): X \to Y$  for all t > 0 and  $q \in Q$ . Assume further that

- (i)  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  is convergent in X at any  $\alpha \in [-a, a]$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi_{\alpha}(\alpha \in I)$  is uniformly absorbing in X;
- (iii)  $\varphi_{\alpha}(\alpha \in I)$  is uniformly pullback asymptotically compact in X;
- (iv)  $\varphi_{\alpha}(\alpha \in I)$  is uniformly pullback asymptotically compact in Y.

Then each random cocycle  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  admits a unique (X,Y)-pullback attractor  $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}$ , such that the family  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is upper semi-continuous at any  ${\alpha}\in I$  in both X and Y. If in addition,  $\varphi_0$  has an (X,Y)-attracting set  $A_0$ , then the  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is upper semi-continuous at  ${\alpha}=0$  in both X and Y.

### 3. Non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with multiplicative noise

For the non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system (1.1)-(1.3), the nonlinearity f(x, s) satisfy almost the same assumptions as in [1], *i.e.*, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x,s)s \le -\alpha_1|s|^p + \psi_1(x),$$
 (3.1)

$$|f(x,s)| \le \alpha_2 |s|^{p-1} + \psi_2(x),$$
 (3.2)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}f(x,s) \le \alpha_3,\tag{3.3}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} f(x, s) \right| \le \psi_3(x).$$
 (3.4)

where  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$  are determined constants,  $\psi_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and  $\psi_2, \psi_3 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The non-autonomous terms  $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ 

 $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$  satisfy that for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta_0 s} (\|g(s,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|h(s,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) ds < +\infty, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are as in (1.1)-(1.3). The  $H^1$ -condition on the non-autonomous term h in (3.5) is required to prove the asymptotic compactness of solutions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , see [1]:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta_0 s} \|h(s,.)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 ds < +\infty.$$

In order to model the random noises in system (1.1)-(1.3), we need to define a shift operator  $\vartheta$  on  $\Omega$  (which is defined in the introduction) by  $\vartheta_t \omega(s) = \omega(s+t) - \omega(t)$  for every  $\omega \in \Omega, t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\vartheta_t$  is a measure preserving transformation group on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , that is,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  is a parametric dynamical system. By the law of the iterated logarithm (see [8]), there exists a  $\vartheta_t$ -invarant set  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$  of full measure such that for  $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$ ,

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \to 0$$
, as  $|t| \to +\infty$ . (3.6)

Put  $Q = \mathbb{R}$ . Define a family of shift operator  $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  by  $\sigma_t(\tau) = t + \tau$  for all  $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then both  $\{\mathbb{R}, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\}$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  are parametric dynamical systems. We will define a continuous random cocycle for system (1.1)-(1.3) over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ .

Given  $\omega \in \Omega$ , put  $z(t, \omega) = z_{\varepsilon}(t, \omega) = e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)}$ . Then we have  $dz + \varepsilon z \circ d\omega(t) = 0$ . Let  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  satisfy problem (1.1)-(1.3) and write

$$u(t,\tau,\omega,u_0) = z(t,\omega)\tilde{u}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{u}_0) \text{ and } v(t,\tau,\omega,v_0) = z(t,\omega)\tilde{v}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{v}_0).$$
 (3.7)

Then (u, v) solves the follow system

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \lambda u - \Delta u + \alpha v = z(t, \omega) f(x, z^{-1}(t, \omega)u) + z(t, \omega) g(t, x), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \sigma v - \beta u = z(t, \omega)h(t, x), \tag{3.9}$$

with initial conditions  $u_{\tau} = z(\tau, \omega)\tilde{u}_{\tau}$  and  $v_{\tau} = z(\tau, \omega)\tilde{v}_{\tau}$ .

It is known (see [1]) that for every  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  the problem (1.1) possesses a unique solution (u, v) such that  $u \in C([\tau, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^2(\tau, T, H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^p(\tau, T, L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and  $v \in C([0, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . In addition, the solution (u, v) is continuous in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the initial value  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau})$ . Then formally  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (z^{-1}(t, \omega)u, z^{-1}(t, \omega)v)$  is the solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) with the initial value  $\tilde{u}_{\tau} = z^{-1}(\tau, \omega)u_{\tau}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{\tau} = z^{-1}(\tau, \omega)v_{\tau}$ .

We are at the position to give the continuous random cocycle  $\varphi$  associated with problem (1.1)-(1.3) over  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ . Define

$$\varphi(t,\tau,\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau},\tilde{v}_{\tau})) = (\tilde{u}(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau}),\tilde{v}(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_{\tau}))$$

$$= (z^{-1}(t+\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)u(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau}),z^{-1}(t+\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)v(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau})),$$
(3.10)

where  $u_{\tau} = z(\tau, \omega)\tilde{u}_{\tau}$  and  $v_{\tau} = z(\tau, \omega)\tilde{v}_{\tau}$ .

Suppose that for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{\delta}$  the collection of all families of nonempty subsets of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that (3.11) holds. Then it is obvious that  $\mathcal{D}_{\delta}$  is inclusion closed.

We emphasize that the choices of the constants  $\delta_1, \delta_0$  in (3.11) and (3.5) respectively are different from the ones used in [1]. It makes us omit the additional assumption

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{\delta_1} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta s} (\|g(s,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|h(s,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) ds = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

which is intrinsically used in [1], see the detailed proof of Lemma 4.1 in the following section

Note that Adili and Wang [1] established the existence and upper semi-continuous of pullback attractors for problem (1.1)-(1.3) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . In this paper, we obtain an identical result in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , but we do not increase the restrictions (except that  $\psi_1 \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as in (3.1)) on the nonlinearity f. On the contrary, the restrictive assumption (3.12) on the non-autonomous terms g and h given in [1] is omitted. Furthermore, we construct a unique random equilibrium for this system when some additional assumptions on the physical parameters are added.

#### 4. Existence and upper semi-continuous of attractors in $L^p \times L^2$

From now on, we assume without loss of generality that  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$  for any a > 0. Consider that  $e^{-a|\omega(s)|} \le z_{\varepsilon}(s, \omega) = e^{-\varepsilon \omega(s)} \le e^{a|\omega(s)|}$  for  $\varepsilon \in I$ , and  $\omega(.)$  is continuous on [-2, 0]. Then there exist two positive random constants  $E = E(\omega)$  and  $F = F(\omega)$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$E < z_{\varepsilon}(s, \omega) < F$$
 for all  $s \in [-2, 0]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$ . (4.1)

Hereafter, we denote by  $\|.\|$  and  $\|.\|_p$  the norms in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)(p > 2)$ , respectively. Throughout this paper, the number c is a generic positive constant independent of  $\tau, \omega, D$  and  $\varepsilon$  in any place, which may vary its values everywhere.

# 4.1. Uniform absorption and uniformly asymptotic compactness in $L^2 \times L^2$ . This subsection is concern with some uniform estimates of solutions on a certain compact interval $[\tau - 1, \tau]$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . The uniform absorption of the family of random cocycles $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is proved. Note that the notations (u, v), $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ , and $\varphi$ are the abbreviations of $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ , $(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ respectively, where the later implies the dependence of solutions on $\varepsilon$ , omitting the subscript $\varepsilon$ if there is no confusion.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) holds and a > 0. Given  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $D = \{D(\tau, \omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$ , then there exists a constant  $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$ , and  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ , the solution  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$  of problem (3.8)-(3.9) satisfies

$$\|(u_{\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t}),v_{\varepsilon}(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t}))\|^{2} \leq ce^{2\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)}(1+L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)),\xi \in [\tau-1,\tau],$$
(4.2)

$$\|(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau - t}), \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{v}_{\tau - t}))\|^{2} \le c(1 + L_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega)), \quad \xi \in [\tau - 1, \tau],$$
(4.3)

and

$$\int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{\delta(s-\tau)} \Big( \|v_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})\|^{2} \\
+ z_{\varepsilon}^{2-p}(s, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega) \|u_{\varepsilon}(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t})\|_{p}^{p} \Big) ds \leq c e^{2\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)} (1 + L_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega)), \tag{4.4}$$

where  $(u_{\tau-t}, v_{\tau-t}) = z_{\varepsilon}(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t})$ , and  $L_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega)$  is given by

$$L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta_{01}s + 2\varepsilon|\omega(s)|} \Big( \|g(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + 1 \Big) ds, \tag{4.5}$$

such that  $\varepsilon \to L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)$  is an increasing function on  $(0,+\infty)$ , where  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_{01} < \delta_1 < \delta$ .

In particular, the family of random cocycles  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon \in (0, a])$  defined by (3.10) is uniformly absorbing on (0, a] for any a > 0 in the sense of Definition 2.6.

*Proof* Taking the inner products of (3.8) and (3.9) with u and v, respectively, by using (3.1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) + \delta(\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) 
+ 2\alpha_1 \beta z^{2-p}(t,\omega) \|u\|_p^p \le cz^2(t,\omega) (\|g(t,.)\|^2 + \|h(t,.)\|^2 + \|\psi_1\|_1).$$
(4.6)

By applying the Gronwall lemma over the interval  $[\tau - t, \xi]$  with  $\xi \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$  and  $t \geq 1$ , along with  $\omega$  replaced by  $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$ , we get from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^{2} + \|v(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^{2} \\ &+ \int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{-\delta(\xi-s)} (\|v(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^{2} + z^{2-p}(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)\|u(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|_{p}^{p}) ds \\ &\leq ce^{-\delta(\xi-\tau+t)} (\|u_{\tau-t}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t}\|^{2}) + c \int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{-\delta(\xi-s)} z^{2}(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega) (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds \\ &\leq ce^{2\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)} \Big( e^{-\delta t} z^{2}(-t,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t}\|^{2}) + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\delta(\tau-s)-2\varepsilon\omega(s-\tau)} (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds \Big) \\ &\leq ce^{2\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)} \Big( e^{-\delta t} z^{2}(-t,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t}\|^{2}) + \int_{-t}^{0} e^{\delta_{01}s-2\varepsilon\omega(s)} (\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds \Big), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$  and  $\delta_{01} < \delta$ . By (3.6) we calculate that  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{-(\delta - \delta_1)t} z^2(-t, \omega) = 0$ . Then from the property of  $\mathcal{D}_{\delta}$  in (3.11) it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{-\delta t} z^2(-t, \omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t}\|^2) = 0.$$
 (4.8)

Thus (4.7) and (4.8) together implies that there exists a random constant  $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \ge 1$  such that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$  and all  $t \ge T$ , (4.2) and (4.4) hold. By (3.7) and (4.2) it is showed that (4.3) hold true for all  $t \ge T$ .

On the other hand, from (3.5) and (3.6) it follow that the integral in  $L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)$  is meaningful and thus  $L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)$  is finite. Further,

$$L_{\varepsilon}(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta_{01}s + 2\varepsilon |\vartheta_{-t}\omega(s)|} \Big( \|g(s + \tau - t, .)\|^{2} + \|h(s + \tau - t, .)\|^{2} + 1 \Big) ds$$

(letting 
$$s - t = s'$$
)  $\leq e^{\delta_{01}t + 2\varepsilon|\omega(-t)|} \int_{-\infty}^{-t} e^{\delta_{01}s + 2\varepsilon|\omega(s)|} \Big( \|g(s + \tau, .)\|^2 + \|h(s + \tau, .)\|^2 + 1 \Big).$ 
(4.9)

We see from (3.6) and the relation  $\delta_0 < \delta_{01}$  that  $\lim_{s \to -\infty} e^{-(\delta_{01} - \delta_0)s + 2\varepsilon |\omega(s)|} = 0$ , so there exists a positive variable  $a(\omega)$  such that

$$0 < e^{-(\delta_{01} - \delta_0)s + 2\varepsilon |\omega(s)|} \le a(\omega), \quad s \in (-\infty, 0],$$

from which it follows that

$$L_{\varepsilon}(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega) \le a(\omega)e^{\delta_{01}t + 2\varepsilon|\omega(-t)|} \int_{-\infty}^{-t} e^{\delta_{0}s} \Big( \|g(s + \tau, .)\|^2 + \|h(s + \tau, .)\|^2 + 1 \Big) ds.$$
(4.10)

Then from (3.11),(3.6),(3.5) and (4.10) we deduce that

$$K_{\varepsilon} = \{K_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega) = \{(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in (L^{2}(\mathbb{R}))^{2}; \ \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|^{2} \le c(1 + L_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega))\}; \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta},$$

and further the union  $\overline{\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} K_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)} \subset K_{a}(\tau,\omega)$ . Thus  $\overline{K} = \{\overline{\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} K_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)}; \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$ . The measurability of the absorbing set  $K_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)$  follows from the measurability of the variable  $L_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\omega)$ . Finally since by (4.5) and (3.5) and the relation  $\delta_{0} < \delta_{01}$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|K_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \omega)\| \le L_0(\tau, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta_{01} s} |(\|g(s+\tau, .)\|^2 + \|h(s+\tau, .)\|^2 + 1) ds < +\infty,$$

then we have showed the uniformly absorbing of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon \in (0, a])$  for any a > 0.

The uniformly asymptotic compactness in  $L^2 \times L^2$  has been proved by [1].

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the family of random cocycles  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  defined by (3.10) is uniformly pullback asymptotically compact over  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$  in  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ .

4.2. Uniformly asymptotic compactness in  $L^p \times L^2$ . In this subsection, we prove that the family of random cocycles  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(0,a])$  is uniformly asymptotically compact in  $L^p \times L^2$ . We need to prove the  $L^p$ -uniform boundedness of the first component of solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  as well as the uniform smallness of truncation of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in  $L^p$  norm.

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Given  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$  and  $D = \{D(\tau,\omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$ , then there exist some random constants  $C = C(\tau,\omega)$  and  $T = T(\tau,\omega,D) \geq 2$  such that for all  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ , the solution  $(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon})$  of problem (3.8)-(3.9) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\xi \in [\tau - 1, \tau]} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, a]} \|u_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t})\|_{p}^{p} \le C(\tau, \omega), \tag{4.11}$$

where  $C(\tau, \omega)$  is independent  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof* Multiplying (3.8) by  $|u|^{p-2}u$  and then integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{p}^{p} + \lambda\|u\|_{p}^{p} \le \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v|u|^{p-1}dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, x^{-1}(t, x))|u|^{p-2} dx + x(t, x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(t, x)|u|^{p}$$

$$+ z(t,\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x,z^{-1}(t,\omega)u) |u|^{p-2} u dx + z(t,\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(t,x) |u|^{p-2} u dx.$$
 (4.12)

From (3.1) and  $\psi \in L^{p/2}$ , applying Young inequality, we obtain that

$$z(t,\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,z^{-1}(t,\omega)u)|u|^{p-2}udx \le -\alpha_1 z^{2-p}(t,\omega)||u||_{2p-2}^{2p-2} + \frac{\lambda}{4}||u||_p^p + cz^p(t,\omega)||\psi_1||_{p/2}^{p/2}.$$
(4.13)

On the other hand,

$$\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v|u|^{p-1} dx \le \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 z^{2-p}(t,\omega) \|u\|_{2p-2}^{2p-2} + cz^{p-2}(t,\omega) \|v\|^2, \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$z(t,\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(t,x) |u|^{p-2} u dx \le \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 z^{2-p}(t,\omega) \|u\|_{2p-2}^{2p-2} + c z^p(t,\omega) \|g(t,\cdot)\|^2.$$
 (4.15)

Then combination (4.12)-(4.15), it give that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_p^p + \delta\|u\|_p^p \le cz^{p-2}(t,\omega)\|v\|^2 + cz^p(t,\omega)(\|g(t,.)\|^2 + \|\psi_1\|_{p/2}^{p/2}),\tag{4.16}$$

where  $\delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$ . Note that  $\frac{1}{\xi - \tau + 2} \le 1$  for  $\xi \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ . Applying Gronwall lemma (see also Lemma 5.1 in [33]) over the interval  $[\tau - 2, \xi]$ , along with  $\omega$  replaced by  $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$ , we deduce that

$$||u(\xi, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t})||_{p}^{p} \leq c \int_{\tau - 2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s - \tau)} ||u(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t})||_{p}^{p} ds$$

$$+ c \int_{\tau - 2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s - \tau)} z^{p - 2} (s, \vartheta_{-\tau}) ||v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau - t})||^{2} ds$$

+ 
$$c \int_{\tau-2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)} z^p(s, \vartheta_{-\tau}) (\|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 + 1) ds.$$
 (4.17)

We now estimate every term on the right hand side of (4.17). First from (4.1) it follows that for all  $s \in [\tau-2,\tau]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0,a]$ ,  $z^{2-p}(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega) = e^{\varepsilon(2-p)\omega(-\tau)}z^{2-p}(s-\tau,\omega) \geq e^{\varepsilon(2-p)\omega(-\tau)}F^{2-p}$ . Then from (4.4), there exists  $T = T(\tau,\omega,D) \geq 2$ , such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0,a]$  and  $t \geq T$ ,

$$\int_{\tau-2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)} \|u(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})\|_{p}^{p} ds \le cF^{p-2} e^{ap|\omega(-\tau)|} (1 + L_{a}(\tau,\omega)). \tag{4.18}$$

Noticing that  $z^{p-2}(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}) \leq e^{(p-2)\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)}F^{p-2}$  for  $s \in [\tau-2,\tau]$ , then from (4.4) again we see that

$$\int_{\tau-2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)} z^{p-2}(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}) \|v(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 ds \le cF^{p-2} e^{ap|\omega(-\tau)|} (1 + L_a(\tau,\omega)).$$
(4.19)

On the other hand, by (3.5),

$$\int_{\tau-2}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)} z^p(s,\vartheta_{-\tau}) (\|g(s,.)\|^2 + 1) ds \le F^p e^{ap|\omega(-\tau)|} \int_{-2}^{0} e^{\delta s} (\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + 1) ds < +\infty.$$
(4.20)

Hence (4.17)-(4.20) implies the desired.

Let  $M = M(\tau, \omega) > 0$ . Denote by  $(u - M)_+$  the positive part of u - M, *i.e.*,

$$(u-M)_{+} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u-M, & \text{if } u > M; \\ 0, & \text{if } u \leq M. \end{array} \right.$$

The next lemma will show that the unbounded part of the absolute value |u| approaches zero in  $L^p$ -norm on the state domain  $\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})|\geq M)$  for M large enough, where

$$\mathbb{R}^{N}(|u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})| \geq M) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}; |u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})| \geq M\}.$$

Note that we need not to prove some auxiliary lemmas except Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, see [16, 17, 18, 19, 34, 35].

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Given  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$  and  $D = \{D(\tau,\omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$ , then for any  $\eta > 0$ , there exist random constants  $M = M(\tau,\omega,\eta,D) > 1$  and  $T = T(\tau,\omega,D) \geq 2$  such that for all  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ , the first component  $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$  of solutions  $(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon},\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon})$  of problem (1.1)-(1.3) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau - t})|^p dx \le \eta,$$

where  $\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}| \geq M) = \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau - t})| \geq M)$ , and M, T are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof* Let  $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$  and  $t \ge T \ge 2$ , where T is determined by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Replacing  $\omega$  by  $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$  in (3.8)-(3.9), we see that  $u = u(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t}), v = v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})$ , is a solution of the following system

$$\frac{du}{ds} + \lambda u - \Delta u + \alpha v = \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} f(x, \tilde{u}) + \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} g(s, x), \tag{4.21}$$

$$\frac{dv}{ds} + \sigma v - \beta u = \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} h(s, x). \tag{4.22}$$

For fixed  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we assume that  $M = M(\tau, \omega) > 1$ . We multiply (4.21) by  $(u - M)_+^{p-1}$  and integrate over  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to yield

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u - M)_{+}^{p} dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Delta u(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx 
= -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx + \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, \tilde{u})(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx 
+ \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(s, x)(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx.$$
(4.23)

We now have to estimate every term in (4.23). First, it is obvious that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u (u - M)_+^{p-1} dx = (p - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u - M)_+^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge 0, \tag{4.24}$$

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx \ge \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u - M)_{+}^{p} dx. \tag{4.25}$$

The most involved work is to calculate the nonlinearity in (4.23). Consider that for u(s) > M for  $\in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ , we have  $\tilde{u}(s) = z^{-1}(s, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)u(s) = \frac{z(-\tau, \omega)}{z(s-\tau, \omega)}u(s) > 0$ , and thus by (3.1) and (4.1), we find that for every  $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ ,

$$f(x,\tilde{u}) \leq -\alpha_{1}|\tilde{u}|^{p-1} + \frac{1}{\tilde{u}}\psi_{1}(x)$$

$$= -\alpha_{1}\left(\frac{z(s-\tau,\omega)}{z(-\tau,\omega)}\right)^{1-p}|u|^{p-1} + \frac{z(s-\tau,\omega)}{z(-\tau,\omega)}\frac{\psi_{1}(x)}{u}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\alpha_{1}z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}}M^{p-2}(u-M) - \frac{\alpha_{1}z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}}(u-M)^{p-1}$$

$$+ \frac{F}{z(-\tau,\omega)}|\psi_{1}(x)|(u-M)^{-1},$$

from which and (4.1) again it follows that

$$\frac{z(s-\tau,\omega)}{z(-\tau,\omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,\tilde{u})(u-M)_+^{p-1} dx$$

$$\leq -\frac{\alpha_1 E z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}} M^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^p dx - \frac{\alpha_1 E z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2} dx$$

$$+\frac{F^{2}}{z^{2}(-\tau,\omega)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\psi_{1}(x)|(u-M)_{+}^{p-2}dx$$

$$\leq -\frac{\alpha_{1}Ez^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}}M^{p-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(u-M)_{+}^{p}dx - \frac{\alpha_{1}Ez^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{2F^{p-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(u-M)_{+}^{2p-2}dx$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(u-M)_{+}^{p}dx + \frac{cF^{p}}{z^{p}(-\tau,\omega)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}(u>M)}|\psi_{1}(x)|^{p/2}dx, \qquad (4.26)$$

in which we have used the Young inequality in the last term, and here  $c = (\frac{2}{\lambda})^{p-2/2}$ . On the other hand by using the Young inequality again, we get that for  $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ ,

$$\left| \frac{z(s - \tau, \omega)}{z(-\tau, \omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(s, x) (u - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx \right| \leq \frac{F}{z(-\tau, \omega)} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(s, x) (u(s) - M)_{+}^{p-1} dx \right| \\
\leq \frac{\alpha_{1} E z^{p-1} (-\tau, \omega)}{4F^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u - M)_{+}^{2p-2} dx + \frac{F^{p+1}}{\alpha_{1} E z^{p+1} (-\tau, \omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} (u(s) \geq M)} g^{2}(s, x) dx, \\
(4.27)$$

and

$$\left| -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v(u-M)_{+}^{p-1} dx \right| \leq \frac{\alpha_{1} E z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)}{4F^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u-M)_{+}^{2p-2} dx + \frac{\alpha^{2} F^{p-1}}{\alpha_{1} E z^{p-1}(-\tau,\omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}(u(s)>M)} v^{2} dx.$$
(4.28)

For convenience of calculations, we introduce the following notations:

$$k = k(\tau, \omega, M) = \frac{\alpha_1 E e^{-(p-1)a|\omega(-\tau)|}}{2F^{p-1}} M^{p-2};$$
(4.29)

which is increasing to infinite in M for p > 2, and

$$G(\tau,\omega) = \max\Big\{\frac{F^{p+1}e^{a(p+1)|\omega(-\tau)|}}{\alpha_1 E}; \frac{\alpha^2 F^{p-1}e^{a(p-1)|\omega(-\tau)|}}{\alpha_1 E}; (\frac{2}{\lambda})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} F^p z^{ap|\omega(-\tau)|}\Big\}, \tag{4.30}$$

which is a nonnegative random constant depending only on  $\tau$ ,  $\omega$ . Combination (4.23)-(4.28) and using the notations (4.29)-(4.30), we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(s) - M)_+^p dx + k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(s) - M)_+^p dx \le G(\tau, \omega) (\|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 + \|v\|^2 + 1),$$
(4.31)

where  $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ . Applying Gronwall lemm (also see Lemma 5.1 in [33]) over  $[\tau - 1, \tau]$ , by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, we find that for all  $t \ge T$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t}) - M \right)_{+}^{p} dx \leq \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} \| u(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{0}) \|_{p}^{p} ds 
+ G(\tau, \omega) \left( \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} \| v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t}) \|^{2} ds + \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} (\| g(s, \cdot) \|^{2} + 1) ds \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C(\tau,\omega) + cG(\tau,\omega)e^{2a|\omega(-\tau)|}(1 + L_a(\tau,\omega)) + G(\tau,\omega)}{k} + G(\tau,\omega) \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} ||g(s,.)||^2 ds.$$
(4.32)

For fixed  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the first term in the last inequality of (4.32) varies only with the number k, but k is a large number as  $M \to +\infty$ . Therefore, we get that this term converges to zero when M goes to infinite. It remains to prove that the second term vanishes for M large enough. First, choosing a large M such that  $k = k(\tau, \omega, M) > \delta_0$  ( $\delta_0$  is as in (3.5)) and taking  $\varsigma \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds = \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau-\varsigma} e^{k(s-\tau)} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds$$

$$= e^{-k\tau} \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau-\varsigma} e^{(k-\delta_0)s} e^{\delta s} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + e^{-k\tau} \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} e^{ks} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds$$

$$\leq e^{-k\varsigma} e^{\delta_0(\varsigma-\tau)} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta_0 s} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds.$$
(4.33)

By (3.5), the first term above vanishes as  $k \to +\infty$ , and by  $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$  we can choose  $\varsigma$  small enough such that the second term in (4.33) is small. In terms of these arguments, from (4.32)and (4.33) we have proved that

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t}) - M \right)_+^p dx \to 0, \tag{4.34}$$

when  $M \to +\infty$ . Therefore, for any  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $M_1 = M_1(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 1$  large enough such that

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{D}^N} \left( u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t}) - M_1 \right)_+^p dx \le e^{-ap|\omega(-\tau)|} \frac{\eta}{2^{p+1}}. \tag{4.35}$$

If  $u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t}) \ge 2M_1$ , then  $u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t}) - M_1 \ge \frac{u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t})}{2}$ , so by (4.35) it infer us that

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N (u(\tau) \ge 2M_1)} |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t})|^p dx \le e^{-ap|\omega(-\tau)|} \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{4.36}$$

We see from (3.7) that  $\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau-t}) = z(-\tau, \omega)u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t})$ . Then in terms of the fact that  $e^{-a|\omega(-\tau)|} \leq z(-\tau, \omega) = e^{-\varepsilon\omega(-\tau)} \leq e^{a|\omega(-\tau)|}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$ , it induce that  $\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau-t}) \geq 2M_1 e^{a|\omega(-\tau)|}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t}) \geq 2M_1)$ . This along with (4.36) implies that,

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}(\tilde{u}(\tau) > 2M_{1}e^{a|\omega(-\tau)|})} |\tilde{u}(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau-t})|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq \sup_{t\geq T} \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,a]} e^{ap|\omega(-\tau)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_1)} |u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})|^p dx \leq \frac{\eta}{2}. \quad (4.37)$$

Similarly, we can deduce that there exists  $M_2 = M_2(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 0$  large enough such that

$$\sup_{t \ge T} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau) < -2M_2 e^{a|\omega(-\tau)|})} |\tilde{u}(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau-t})|^p dx \le \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{4.38}$$

Put  $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\} \times e^{a|\omega(-\tau)|}$ . Then (4.37) and (4.38) together imply the desired.

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\{\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau, \tau - t_n, \vartheta_{-t_n}\omega, \tilde{u}_{0,n})\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  whenever  $\varepsilon_n \in (0, a], t_n \to +\infty$  and  $(\tilde{u}_{0,n}, \tilde{v}_{0,n}) \in D(\tau - t_n, \vartheta_{-t_n}\omega) \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\tilde{u}_n(\tau) = \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(\tau, \tau - t_n, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{0,n})$ . From Lemma 4.3, for any  $\eta > 0$ , there exist random constants  $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 1$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_1(\tau, \omega, D) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that the solution  $\tilde{u}_n(\tau)$  satisfies that for all  $n \geq \mathcal{Z}_1$ ,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_n \in (0,a]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N (|\tilde{u}_n(\tau)| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau)|^p dx \le \frac{\eta^p}{2^{p+2}}. \tag{4.39}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 4.2 also implies that there exists a  $\mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{Z}_1(\tau, \omega, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n, m \geq \mathcal{Z}_2$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau) - \tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{(2M)^{p-2}} \frac{\eta^p}{4},\tag{4.40}$$

whenever  $\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_m \in (0, a]$ . Here M is as in (4.39). We then decompose the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by  $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \mathcal{O}_3 \cup \mathcal{O}_4$ , where

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_n(\tau)| \le M) \cap \mathcal{O}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \le M); \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \mathbb{R}^N(|u_n(\tau)| \ge M) \cap \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \le M);$$

$$\mathcal{O}_3 = \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_n(\tau)| \le M) \cap \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \ge M); \quad \mathcal{O}_4 = \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_n(\tau)| \ge M) \cap \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \ge M).$$

We now put  $\mathcal{Z} = \max\{\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2\}$ . Then for all  $n, m \geq \mathcal{Z}$ , (4.39) and (4.40) hold true. By (4.40), we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_{1}} |\tilde{u}_{n}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{m}(\tau)|^{p} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}(|\tilde{u}_{n}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{m}(\tau)| \leq 2M)} |\tilde{u}_{n}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{m}(\tau)|^{p} dx 
\leq (2M)^{p-2} ||\tilde{u}_{n}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{m}(\tau)||^{2} \leq (2M)^{p-2} \cdot (2M)^{2-p} (\frac{\eta^{p}}{4}) = \frac{\eta^{p}}{4}.$$
(4.41)

On the other hand, according to (4.39),

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_2} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau) - \tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^p dx \le 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_n(\tau)| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau)|^p dx \le \frac{\eta^p}{4}, \tag{4.42}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_3} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau) - \tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^p dx \le 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^p dx \le \frac{\eta^p}{4}, \tag{4.43}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_4} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau) - \tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^p dx \le 2^{p-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau)| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_n(\tau)|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}_m(\tau)| \ge M)} |\tilde{u}_m(\tau)|^p dx \right) \le \frac{\eta^p}{4}.$$

$$(4.44)$$

It follows form (4.41)-(4.44) that

$$\|\tilde{u}_n(\tau) - \tilde{u}_m(\tau)\|_p \leq \eta$$
 for all  $n, m \geq \mathcal{Z}$ ,

whenever  $\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_m \in (0, a]$ , which shows that  $\{\tilde{u}_n(\tau)\}$  also has a convergent subsequence in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then the proof is concluded.

By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 we immediately have

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the family of random cocycles  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  defined by (3.10) is uniformly pullback asymptotically compact over  $\varepsilon \in (0, a]$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . In particular, each  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  has a unique  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ -pullback attractor  $A_{\varepsilon}$ 

*Proof* The uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness is followed from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4, and the existence and uniqueness of bi-spatial pullback attractor are from Theorem 2.9, or Theorem 2.10.

4.3. Convergence of the family  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  on (0,a] in  $L^2 \times L^2$ . This subsection deal with the convergence of solutions at any intension  $\varepsilon$  of noise. The convergence at zero has been shown by [1]. Here we need to prove it also converges at any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . To this end, the following assumption on the nonlinearity f as in [2] is also required. That is, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(x,s) \right| \le \alpha_4 |s|^{p-2} + \psi_4(x), \tag{4.45}$$

where  $\alpha_4 > 0$ ,  $\psi_4 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if p = 2 and  $\psi_4 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if p > 2. We need further to assume that  $\psi_2 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\psi_2$  is as in (3.2) and  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$  is conjugation of p.

To begin with, from (4.6), it is very easy to derive the following inequality.

**Lemma 4.7.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau), \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ , the solution (u, v) of problem (3.8) satisfies for all  $t \geq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon}(t,\tau,\omega,u(\tau))\|^2 + \|v_{\varepsilon}(t,\tau,\omega,v(\tau))\|^2 \\ + \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\delta(s-t)} \Big( \|v_{\varepsilon}(s,\tau,\omega,v_{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|^2 + z_{\varepsilon}^2(s,\omega) \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s,\tau,\omega,\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|_p^p \Big) ds \\ \leq z_{\varepsilon}^2(\tau,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^2) + c \int_{\tau}^{t} z_{\varepsilon}^2(s,\omega) (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^2 + 1) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then by applying Lemma 4.7 we have

**Lemma 4.8.** Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) and (4.45) hold. Let  $(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon})$  be the solution of problem (3.8)-(3.9) with initial data  $(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon,\tau})$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0$  and  $\|(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon,\tau}) - (\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau})\| \to 0$  for  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 \in (0, a]$ . Then for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega, T > 0$  and every  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \| (\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon, \tau}), \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon, \tau})) - (\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0, \tau}), \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_0, \tau})) \| = 0.$$

$$(4.46)$$

In particular, let  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  be the solution of problem (3.8)-(3.9) for  $\varepsilon = 0$  with initial data  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\tau})$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and  $\|(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon,\tau})) - (\tilde{u}_{\tau}, \tilde{v}_{\tau})\| \to 0$ . Then for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , T > 0

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \| (\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\tau}),\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon,\tau})) - (\tilde{u}(t,\tau,\tilde{u}_{\tau}),\tilde{v}(t,\tau,\tilde{v}_{\tau})) \| = 0.$$
 (4.47)

*Proof* Put  $U = U(t) = u_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\varepsilon, \tau}) - u_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\varepsilon_0, \tau})$  and  $V = V(t) = v_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\varepsilon, \tau}) - v_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\varepsilon_0, \tau})$ . Then we get the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dU}{dt} + \lambda U - \Delta U + \alpha V = e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon}) - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) \\ + (e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)}) g(t, x), \\ \frac{dV}{dt} + \sigma V - \beta U = (e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)}) h(t, x), \end{cases}$$

$$(4.48)$$

where  $u_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon}(t) = u_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\varepsilon, \tau})$ . Let  $\eta$  be a small positive number. Since  $\omega$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there exists a  $\chi = \chi(\tau, \omega, \eta, T) > 0$  such that for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi) \subset (0, a]$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$|e^{\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}| + |e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}| \le \eta. \tag{4.49}$$

By (4.48), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\beta \|U\|^2 + \alpha \|V\|^2) + \lambda \beta \|U\|^2 + \sigma \alpha \|V\|^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon}) - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) \right) U dx$$

$$+ \left( e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(t, x) U dx + \left( e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(t, x) V dx. \quad (4.50)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (4.50) is rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big( e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon}) - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) \Big) U dx \\ &= e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big( f(x, e^{\varepsilon \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon}) - f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) \Big) U dx + (e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) U dx \\ &= e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(x, s) (e^{\varepsilon \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon} - e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) U dx + (e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, e^{\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} u_{\varepsilon_0}) U dx \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(x, s) U^2 dx + (e^{-\varepsilon_0 \omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(x, s) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0} U dx \end{split}$$

$$+\left(e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)}-e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{P}^N}f(x,e^{\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}u_{\varepsilon_0})Udx. \tag{4.51}$$

By (4.45) and (4.49), the second term on the right hand side of (4.51) is bounded by

$$(e^{-\varepsilon_{0}\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(x,s) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}} U dx$$

$$\leq |e^{-\varepsilon_{0}\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \alpha_{4} |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} + \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}|^{p-2} |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}| |U| + |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}| |U|\psi_{4}| \right) dx$$

$$\leq c\eta \left( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} + \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\|_{p}^{p} + \|U\|_{p}^{p} + \|\psi_{4}\|_{\frac{p}{p-2}}^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \right). \tag{4.52}$$

By (3.2) and (4.49), connection with  $\psi_2 \in L^q$ , the third term on the right hand side of (4.51) is bounded by

$$(e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}) U dx \le |e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varepsilon_2 |\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}|^{p-1} + \psi_2) |U| dx$$

$$\le c\eta \Big( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}\|_p^p + \|U\|_p^p + \|\psi_2\|_q^q \Big), \tag{4.53}$$

where  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Then combination (4.51)-(4.53), we find that for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi)$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( e^{-\varepsilon \omega(t)} f(x, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) - e^{-\varepsilon_{0}\omega(t)} f(x, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}) \right) U dx$$

$$\leq \alpha_{3} \|U\|^{2} + c\eta + c\eta \left( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} + \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\|_{p}^{p} + \|U\|_{p}^{p} \right)$$

$$\leq \alpha_{3} \|U\|^{2} + c_{0}\eta \left( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{p} + \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\|_{p}^{p} + \|U\|_{p}^{p} \right). \tag{4.54}$$

For the last two terms on the right hand side of (4.51), by (4.49), we have for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi)$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$(e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(t, x) U dx \le \eta \|U\|^2 + \eta \|g(t, .)\|^2, \tag{4.55}$$

$$(e^{-\varepsilon\omega(t)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(t)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(t, x) V dx \le \eta \|V\|^2 + \eta \|h(t, .)\|^2.$$
 (4.56)

Then by (4.50) and (4.54)-(4.56), we get that for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi)$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\beta \|U\|^2 + \alpha \|V\|^2) \le c_1(\beta \|U\|^2 + \alpha \|V\|^2) 
+ c_2 \eta \Big( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p + \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}\|_p^p + \|u_{\varepsilon_0}\|_p^p + \||u_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p + \|g(t,.)\|^2 + \|h(t,.)\|^2 \Big),$$
(4.57)

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants independent of  $\tau, \omega$  and  $\varepsilon$ . By (4.57) we immediately have for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi)$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$||U(t)||^2 + ||V(t)||^2 \le c_3 e^{c_1(t-\tau)} (||U(\tau)||^2 + ||V(\tau)||^2)$$

$$+ c_4 \eta e^{c_1(t-\tau)} \int_{\tau}^{t} \left( \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_p^p + \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}(s)\|_p^p + \||u_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_p^p + \|u_{\varepsilon_0}(s)\|_p^p + \|g(s,.)\|^2 + \|h(s,.)\|^2 \right) ds.$$

$$(4.58)$$

Since  $e^{-\varepsilon\omega(s)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then for every fixed  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and  $s \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ , there exist  $\mu = \mu(\tau, \omega, T)$  and  $\nu = \nu(\tau, \omega, T)$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, a], \ \mu \leq z_{\varepsilon}(s, \omega) \leq \nu$  for all  $s \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ . Therefor by Lemma 4.7, it follows that for all  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s,\tau,\omega,u_{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|_{p}^{p} ds$$

$$\leq \mu^{-2} e^{\delta(t-\tau)} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\delta(s-t)} z_{\varepsilon}^{2}(s,\omega) \|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s,\tau,\omega,u_{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|_{p}^{p} ds$$

$$\leq \mu^{-2} e^{\delta(t-\tau)} \left( z_{\varepsilon}^{2}(\tau,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2}) + c\nu^{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds \right)$$

$$\leq \mu^{-2} e^{\delta(t-\tau)} \left( e^{2a|\omega(\tau)|} (\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2}) + c\nu^{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds \right).$$

$$(4.59)$$

By a similar technique we can calculate that for all  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} \|u_{\varepsilon}(s,\tau,\omega,u_{\varepsilon}(\tau))\|_{p}^{p} ds$$

$$\leq \mu^{p-2} e^{\delta(t-\tau)} \left(e^{2a|\omega(\tau)|} (\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|^{2}) + c\nu^{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} (\|g(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + \|h(s,\cdot)\|^{2} + 1) ds\right).$$
(4.60)

Then by (4.58-(4.60)) it gives that

$$||U(t)||^{2} + ||V(t)||^{2} \leq c_{3}e^{c_{1}(t-\tau)}(||U(\tau)||^{2} + ||V(\tau)||^{2})$$

$$+ c_{5}\eta e^{c_{6}(t-\tau)} \Big(e^{2a|\omega(\tau)|}(\mu^{-2} + \mu^{p-2})(||\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)||^{2} + ||\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_{0},\tau}||^{2} + ||\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)||^{2} + ||\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_{0},\tau}||^{2})$$

$$+ \nu^{2}(\mu^{-2} + \mu^{p-2}) \int_{\tau}^{t} (||g(s,.)||^{2} + ||h(s,.)||^{2} + 1)ds + \int_{\tau}^{t} (||g(s,.)||^{2} + ||h(s,.)||^{2})ds \Big).$$

$$(4.61)$$

On the other hand, by (4.49) it follows that for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi) \subset (0, a]$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|U(\tau)\|^2 &= \|e^{-\varepsilon\omega(\tau)}\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau) - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(\tau)}\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2e^{-2\varepsilon\omega(\tau)}\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}\|^2 + 2|e^{-\varepsilon\omega(\tau)} - e^{-\varepsilon_0\omega(\tau)}|^2\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2e^{2a|\omega(\tau)|}\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau) - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}\|^2 + 2\eta^2\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}\|^2. \end{split}$$
(4.62)

Similarly,

$$||V(\tau)||^{2} \le 2e^{2a|\omega(\tau)|} ||\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau) - \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_{0},\tau}||^{2} + 2\eta^{2} ||\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_{0},\tau}||^{2}. \tag{4.63}$$

We now let  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0$  and  $||u_{\varepsilon,\tau} - u_{\varepsilon_0,\tau}|| \to 0$ . Then by (4.61)-(4.63) we obtain that for all  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,

$$||U(t)||^{2} + ||V(t)||^{2} = ||u_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\varepsilon, \tau}) - u_{\varepsilon_{0}}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\varepsilon_{0}, \tau})||^{2}$$

$$+ ||v_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\varepsilon, \tau}) - v_{\varepsilon_{0}}(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\varepsilon_{0}, \tau})||^{2} \to 0.$$

$$(4.64)$$

Notice that by (4.49) we also have for every  $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0 - \chi, \varepsilon_0 + \chi)$  and  $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$ ,  $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)) - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_0, \tau})\|^2 \le 2e^{2a|\omega(t)|} \|u_{\varepsilon}(t) - u_{\varepsilon_0}(t)\|^2 + 2\eta^2 \|u_{\varepsilon_0}(t)\|^2$ . (4.65)

$$\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\tau)) - \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_0}(t,\tau,\omega,\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_0,\tau})\|^2 \le 2e^{2a|\omega(t)|} \|v_{\varepsilon}(t) - v_{\varepsilon_0}(t)\|^2 + 2\eta^2 \|v_{\varepsilon_0}(t)\|^2.$$

$$(4.66)$$

Then by (4.64)-(4.66) we get (4.46). Repeating the same arguments we can derive (4.47).

4.4. **Main results.** We are now at the point to present the main results in this paper.

**Theorem 4.9.** Suppose  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  and (3.1)-(3.5) hold true. Then

- (i) Each random cocycle  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  generated by (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique pullback attractor  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$  and the corresponding deterministic cocycle  $\varphi_0$  has a unique pullback attractor  $\mathcal{A}_0$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , both  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  are  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), L^l(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ -pullback attractors.
- (ii) If further (4.45) holds true, then the family  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$  is upper semi-continuous under the Hausdorff semi-distance of  $L^{l}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$  at any  $\varepsilon_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $l \in (2, p], p > 2$ .

Proof Let  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^l(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then it is known that the hypothesises (H1) and (H2) (see Lemma 2.7 in [34]) hold true. By the Sobolev interpolation and association with Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.6, we immediately obtain the uniformly pullback asymptotic compactness in  $L^l(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any 2 < l < p. Then along with uniform absorption (see Lemma 4.1) and convergence property (see Lemma 4.8), all conditions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied.

#### 5. Existence of random equilibria for the generated random cocycle

Random equilibrium is a special case of omega-limit sets. We can refer to [3, 6] for the definitions and applications to monotone random dynamical system. The problem of the construction of equilibria for a general random dynamical system is rather complicate [6]. Recently, Gu [13] proved that the stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo lattice equations driven by fractional Brownian motions possess a unique equilibrium. However, we here introduce the random equilibrium in the case of non-autonomous stochastic dynamical system. Specifically, we have

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  be parametric dynamical systems. A random variable  $u^*: Q \times \Omega \mapsto X$  is said to be an equilibrium (or fixed point, or stationary solution) of random cocycle  $\varphi$  if it is invariant under  $\varphi$ , i.e., if

$$\varphi(t, q, \omega, u^*(q, \omega)) = u^*(\sigma_t q, \vartheta_t \omega) \text{ for all } t \ge 0, \ q \in Q, \ \omega \in \Omega.$$

In this section, the parametric dynamical systems  $(Q, \{\sigma_t\}_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$  are same as in section 3. We will prove the existence of equilibrium for problem (1.1)-(1.3) on the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . To this end, we need to assume that

$$\delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\} > \alpha_3, \quad \beta \ge 1, \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\alpha_3$  is as in (3.3) and  $\lambda, \sigma, \beta$  are as in the FitzHugh-Nagumo system (1.1)-(1.2). For convenience, here we write  $\varepsilon = 1$ . First, we have

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose that g and h satisfy (3.5) and f satisfies (3.1) and (3.3) such that (5.1) holds. Then there exists a positive constant  $0 < b_0 < \delta - \alpha_3$  such that the solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.3) with initial values  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_i}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t_i})(i=1,2), t_1 < t_2$  satisfy the following decay property:

$$\begin{split} &\|\tilde{u}(\tau,\tau-t_{1},\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_{1}})-\tilde{u}(\tau,\tau-t_{2},\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_{2}})\|^{2} \\ &+\|\tilde{v}(\tau,\tau-t_{1},\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_{1}})-\tilde{v}(\tau,\tau-t_{2},\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_{2}})\|^{2} \\ &\leq c\Big(e^{-b_{0}t_{1}}z^{2}(-t_{1},\omega)(\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2}+\|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2})+e^{-b_{0}t_{2}}z^{2}(-t_{2},\omega)(\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2}+\|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2})\Big) \\ &+ce^{(b_{0}-b)t_{1}}\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{b_{0}s}z^{2}(s,\omega)(\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^{2}+\|h(s+\tau,.)\|^{2}+1)ds, \end{split}$$

where c is a deterministic non-random constant.

Proof Put 
$$\bar{u} = u(t, \tau - t_1, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t_1}) - u(t, \tau - t_2, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t_2})$$
 and  $\bar{v} = v(t, \tau - t_1, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau - t_1}) - v(t, \tau - t_2, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau - t_2}).$ 

Then from (3.8)-(3.9), along with (5.1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\beta \|\bar{u}\|^2 + \alpha \|\bar{v}\|^2) + b(\beta \|\bar{u}\|^2 + \alpha \|\bar{v}\|^2) \le 0,$$
(5.2)

where  $b = \delta - \alpha_3$ . By applying Gronwall lemma to (5.2) over the interval  $[\tau - t_1, \tau]$ , we immediately get

$$\|\bar{u}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|\bar{v}(\tau)\|^{2} \leq ce^{-bt_{1}}(\|u(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t_{2}}) - u_{\tau - t_{1}}\|^{2} + \|v(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau - t_{2}}) - v_{\tau - t_{1}}\|^{2}) \leq ce^{-bt_{1}}(\|u(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau - t_{2}})\|^{2} + \|v(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau - t_{2}})\|^{2}) + ce^{-bt_{1}}(\|u_{\tau - t_{1}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau - t_{1}}\|^{2}),$$

$$(5.3)$$

where  $c = c(\alpha, \beta)$  is a positive deterministic constant. Write

$$0 < \delta_0 < b_0 < b = \delta - \alpha_3, \tag{5.4}$$

where  $\delta_0$  is as in (3.5). From (4.6) and using (5.4), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) + b_0(\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) \le cz^2(t,\omega)(\|g(t,.)\|^2 + \|h(t,.)\|^2 + 1).$$
(5.5)

Then by Gronwall lemma again over the interval  $[\tau - t_2, \tau - t_1]$ , we find that

$$\|u(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t_{2}})\|^{2} + \|v(\tau - t_{1}, \tau - t_{2}, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t_{2}})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq ce^{b_{0}(t_{1}-t_{2})}(\|u_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2})$$

$$+ c\int_{\tau-t_{2}}^{\tau-t_{1}} e^{-b_{0}(\tau-t_{1}-s)}z^{2}(s, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega)(\|g(s, .)\|^{2} + \|h(s, .)\|^{2} + 1)ds$$

$$\leq ce^{b_{0}(t_{1}-t_{2})}(\|u_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2})$$

$$+ ce^{b_{0}t_{1}}e^{2\omega(-\tau)}\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{b_{0}s}z^{2}(s, \omega)(\|g(s+\tau, .)\|^{2} + \|h(s+\tau, .)\|^{2} + 1)ds,$$

$$(5.6)$$

where  $c = c(\alpha, \beta)$  is a positive deterministic constant. Then combination (5.6) and (5.3) we have

$$\begin{split} \|\bar{u}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|\bar{v}(\tau)\|^{2} &\leq ce^{-bt_{1}}(\|u_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2}) + ce^{(b_{0}-b)t_{1}}e^{-b_{0}t_{2}}(\|u_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2}) \\ &+ ce^{(b_{0}-b)t_{1}}e^{2\omega(-\tau)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{b_{0}s}z^{2}(s,\omega)(\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^{2} + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^{2} + 1)ds \\ &\leq ce^{-b_{0}t_{1}}(\|u_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{1}}\|^{2}) + e^{-b_{0}t_{2}}(\|u_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2} + \|v_{\tau-t_{2}}\|^{2}) \\ &+ ce^{(b_{0}-b)t_{1}}e^{2\omega(-\tau)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{b_{0}s}z^{2}(s,\omega)(\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^{2} + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^{2} + 1)ds, \end{split}$$

$$(5.7)$$

where we have used  $e^{(b_0-b)t_1} \leq 1$  for  $b_0 < b$ . In terms of the relation (3.7), we get

$$\begin{split} \|\bar{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\bar{v}(\tau)\|^2 &\leq e^{-2\omega(-\tau)} \|\bar{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\bar{v}(\tau)\|^2 \\ &\leq ce^{-2\omega(-\tau)} \Big( e^{-b_0t_1} (\|u_{\tau-t_1}\|^2 + \|v_{\tau-t_1}\|^2) + e^{-b_0t_2} (\|u_{\tau-t_2}\|^2 + \|v_{\tau-t_2}\|^2) \Big) \\ &+ ce^{(b_0-b)t_1} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{b_0s} z^2(s,\omega) (\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + 1) ds \\ &= ce^{-2\omega(-\tau)} \Big( e^{-b_0t_1} z^2 (\tau - t_1, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_1}\|^2) \\ &+ e^{-b_0t_2} z^2 (\tau - t_2, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_2}\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_2}\|^2) \Big) \\ &+ ce^{(b_0-b)t_1} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{b_0s} z^2(s,\omega) (\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + 1) ds \\ &= c \Big( e^{-b_0t_1} z^2 (-t_1,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_1}\|^2) + e^{-b_0t_2} z^2 (-t_2,\omega) (\|\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_2}\|^2 + \|\tilde{v}_{\tau-t_2}\|^2) \Big) \\ &+ ce^{(b_0-b)t_1} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{b_0s} z^2(s,\omega) (\|g(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + \|h(s+\tau,.)\|^2 + 1) ds, \end{split}$$

which finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose that g and h satisfy (3.5), f satisfies (3.1) and (3.3) such that (5.1) holds. Let  $D = \{D(\tau, \omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta}$  where  $\mathcal{D}_{\delta}$  is defined as in (3.11). Then for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ , there exists a unique element  $u^* = u^*(\tau, \omega) \in \Omega$ 

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
 such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} (\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau-t}), \tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_{\tau-t})) = u^*(\tau, \omega), \quad in \ L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ . Furthermore, the convergence is uniform  $(w.r.t. \ (\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega))$ .

*Proof* We choose the constant  $b_0$  in Lemma 5.2 satisfying  $b > b_0 > \delta_1$ , where  $\delta_1$  is as in (3.11). If  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t_i}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t_i}) \in D(\tau - t_i, \vartheta_{-t_i}\omega)$ , i = 1, 2, then similar to (4.8) we have

$$\lim_{t_i \to +\infty} e^{-b_0 t_i} z^2(-t_i, \omega) \|(\tilde{u}_{\tau - t_i}, \tilde{v}_{\tau - t_i})\|^2 = 0, i = 1, 2.$$

Thus the result is derived directly from Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose that g and h satisfies (3.5), f satisfies (3.1) and (3.3) such that (5.1) holds. Then for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ , the element  $u^* = u^*(\tau, \omega)$  defined in Lemma 5.3 is a unique random equilibrium for the cocycle  $\varphi$  defined by (3.10) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , i.e.,

$$\varphi(t,\tau,\omega,u^*(\tau,\omega)) = u^*(\tau+t,\vartheta_t\omega), \text{ for every } t > 0, \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \omega \in \Omega.$$

Furthermore, the random equilibrium  $\{u^*(\tau,\omega), \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$  is the unique element of the pullback attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$  for the random cocycle  $\varphi$ , i.e., for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega) = \{u^*(\tau,\omega)\}$ .

Proof From the definition of random cocycle,

$$\varphi(t,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})) = (\tilde{u}(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}),\tilde{v}(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})).$$

then for for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ , we see from Lemma 5.3 that

$$u^*(\tau,\omega) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \varphi(t,\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega, (\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t})), \tag{5.8}$$

where  $(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t}, \tilde{v}_{\tau-t}) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ . Thus by the continuity and the cocycle property of  $\varphi$  and (5.8), we find that for every  $t \geq 0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{split} \varphi(t,\tau,\omega,u^*(\tau,\omega)) &= \varphi(t,\tau,\omega,.) \circ \lim_{s \to +\infty} \varphi(s,\tau-s,\vartheta_{-s}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})) \\ &= \lim_{s \to +\infty} \varphi(t,\tau,\omega,.) \circ \varphi(s,\tau-s,\vartheta_{-s}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})) \\ &= \lim_{s \to +\infty} \varphi(t+s,\tau-s,\vartheta_{-s}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})) \\ &= \lim_{s \to +\infty} \varphi(t+s,(\tau+t)-t-s,\vartheta_{-s-t}\vartheta_t\omega,(\tilde{u}_{\tau-t},\tilde{v}_{\tau-t})) = u^*(\tau+t,\vartheta_t\omega), \end{split}$$

which also implies the invariance of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\varphi(t,\tau,\omega,\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega)) = \mathcal{A}(\tau+t,\vartheta_t\omega)$ . The compactness of  $\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega)$  is obvious and the attracting property follows from (5.8).

#### Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by Chongqing Basis and Frontier Research Project of China (No. cstc2014jcyjA00035), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271388), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Grant (No.2014M550452).

#### References

- [1] A. Adili, B. Wang, Random attractors for non-autonomous stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with multiplicative noise, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Supplement, (2013)1-10.
- [2] A. Adili, B. Wang, Random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems driven by deterministic non-autonomous forcing, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Ser. B, 18(3)(2013)643-666.
- [3] L. Arnold, Random Dynamical System, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [4] J. Bell, Some threshold results for models of myelinated nerves, Math. Biosci., 54(1981)181-190.
- [5] T. Q. Bao, Regularity of pullback random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., 35(1)(2015)441-466.
- [6] I. Chueshov, Monotone Random System Theory and Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] A. N. Carvalho, J. A. Langa, J. C. Robinson, Attractors for Infinite-Dimensional Non-autonomous Dynamical Systems, Appl.Math.Sciences, vol. 184, Springer, 2013.
- [8] H. Crauel, F. Flandoli, Attracors for random dynamical systems, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 100(1994)365-393.
- [9] H. Crauel, A. Debussche, F. Flandoli, Random attractors, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 9(1997)307-341.
- [10] R. FitzHugh, Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane, Biophys. J., 1(1961) 445-466.
- [11] F. Flandoli, B. Schmalfuß, Random attractors for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with multiplicative noise, Stoch. Stoch. Rep., 59(1996)21-45.
- [12] A. Gu, Y. Li and J. Li, Random attractor for stochastic lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo system driven by  $\varepsilon$ -stable Lvy noises, Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos, 24(10)(2014)1450123.
- [13] A. Gu, Y. Li, Singleton sets random attractor for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo lattice equations driven by fractional Brownian motions, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat., 19(2014)3929-3937.
- [14] J. Huang. The random attractor of stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo equations in an infinite lattice with white noises, Physica D, 233(2007)83-94.
- [15] C. Jones, Stability of the traveling wave solution of the FitzHugh-Nagumo System, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 286(1984)431-469.
- [16] Y. Li, A. Gu, J. Li, Existences and continuity of bi-spatial random attractors and application to stochastic semilinear Laplacian equations, J. Differential Equations, 258(2)(2015)504-534.
- [17] Y. Li, H. Cui, J. Li, Upper semi-continuity and regularity of random attractors on p-times integrable spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 109(2014)33-44.
- [18] Y. Li, B. Guo, Random attractors for quasi-continuous random dynamical systems and applications to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations, J. Differential Equations, 245(2008)1775-1800.
- [19] J. Li, Y. Li, B. Wang, Random attractors of reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative noise in  $L^p$ , Applied. Math. Compu., 215 (2010)3399-3407.
- [20] Y. Lu, Z. Shao, Determining nodes for partly dissipative reaction diffusion systems, Nonlinear Anal., 54(5)(2003)873-884.
- [21] M. Marion, Finite-dimensional attractors associated with partly disspativen reaction-diffusion systems, SIAM, J. Math. Anal., 20(1989)818-844.
- [22] J. Nagumo, S. Arimoto and S. Yosimzawa, An active pulse transimission line simulating nerve axon, Proc. J. R. E., 50(1964)2061-2070.
- [23] A. Rodriguez-Bernal, B. Wang, Attractors for Partly Dissipative Reaction Diffusion Systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 252(2)(2000)790-803.
- [24] J. C. Robinson Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems: An introducion to Dissipative Parabolic PDEs and the Theory of Global Attractors, Cambridge Univ Press, 2001.
- [25] B. Schmalfuss, Backward cocycle and attractors of stochastic differential equations, in: V. Reitmann, T. Riedrich, N. Koksch (Eds.), International Seminar on Applied Mathematics-Nonlinear Dynamics: Attractor Approximation and Global Behavior, Technische Universität, Dresden, 1992, pp: 185-192.
- [26] Z. Shao, Existence and continuity of strong solutions of partly dissipative reaction diffusion systems, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Supplement, (2011)1319-1328.

- [27] B. Wang, Existence and upper Semicontinuity of attractors for stochastic equations with deterministic non-autonomous terms, Stochastics and Dynamics, 14(4)(2014)DOI: 10.1142/S0219493 714500099.
- [28] B. Wang, Random attractors for the FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains, Nonlinear Anal., 71(7-8)(2009)2811-2828.
- [29] B. Wang, Random attractors for non-autonomous stochastic wave equations with multiplicative noises, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., 34(1)(2014)369-330.
- [30] B. Wang, Suffcient and necessary criteria for existence of pullback attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems, J. Differential Equations, 253(2012)1544-1583.
- [31] B. Wang, Upper semi-continuity of random attractors for no-compact random dynamical system, Electronic J. Differential Equations, 139(2009)1-18.
- [32] J. Yin, Y. Li, H. Zhao, Random attractors for stochastic semi-linear degenerate parabolic equations with additive noise in  $L^q$ , Appl. Math. Comput., 225(2013)526-540.
- [33] W. Zhao, Regularity of random attractors for a degenerate parabolic equations driven by additive noises, Appl. Math. Compu., 239(2014)358-374.
- [34] W. Zhao, Y. Li,  $(L^2, L^p)$ -random attractors for stochastic reaction-diffusion equation on unbounded domains, Nonlinear Anal., 75(2)(2012)485-502.
- [35] W. Zhao, Y. Li, Random attractors for stochastic semi-linear dengerate parabolic equations with additive noise, Dynamics of PDE, 11(3)(2014)269-298.
- [36] C. Zhong, M. Yang, C. Sun, The existence of global attractors for the norm-to-weak continuous semigroup and its application to the nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations, J. Differential Equations, 223(2006)367-399.
- [37] S. Zhou, Upper-semicontinuity of attractors for random lattice systems perturbed by small white noises, Nonlinear Anal., 75(5)(2012)2793-2805.
- [38] S. Zhou, M. Zhao, Random attractors for damped non-autonomous wave equations with memory and white noise, Nonlinear Anal., 120(2015)202-226.

Wenqiang Zhao

School Of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, China

E-mail address: gshzhao@sina.com

Anhu Gi

School of Mathematics and statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China *E-mail address*: gahui@swu.edu.cn