A study on elliptic PDE involving *p*-harmonic and *p*-biharmonic operator with steep potential well

Ratan Kr Giri, Debajyoti Choudhuri * and Shesadev Pradhan Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India e-mails: 512MA1007@nitrkl.ac.in, choudhurid@nitrkl.ac.in, pradhansh@nitrkl.ac.in

Abstract

In this paper, we give an existence result pertaining to a nontrivial solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u - \Delta_p u + \lambda V(x) |u|^{p-2} u = f(x, u), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where p > 1, $\lambda > 0$, $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, N > 2p. We also explore the problem in the limiting case of $\lambda \to \infty$.

Keywords: p-Laplacian; p-biharmonic; elliptic PDE; Sobolev space.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35J35; Secondary 35J60, 35J92.

1. Introduction

The problem we will address in this article is

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_p^2 u - \Delta_p u + \lambda V(x) |u|^{p-2} u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\Delta_p^2 u = \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u)$, $\Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter with p > 1, N > 2p. The potential function V(x) is a real valued continuous function on

^{*}Corresponding author, Date: October 8, 2018.

 \mathbb{R}^N satisfying the following conditions:

- (V1) $V(x) \ge 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N .
- (V2) There exists b > 0 such that the set $V_b = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) < b\}$ is nonempty and has finite Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N .
- (V3) $\Omega = \operatorname{int} V^{-1}(0)$ is nonempty and has smooth boundary with $\bar{\Omega} = V^{-1}(0)$.

This type of assumptions were introduced by Bartsch et al [8] (see also [10]), referred to as the steep well potential for the potential function V(x), in the study of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Further, to study the existence of nontrivial solution and the limiting case, $\lambda \to \infty$, of the problem 1.1, we make the following assumptions on the nonlinear function f:

(F1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists constants $1 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_m < p$ and functions $\xi_i \in L^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_i}}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|f(x,u)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i \xi_i(x) |u|^{\gamma_i - 1}, \forall (x,u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(F2) There exists constants $\eta, \delta > 0, \gamma_0 \in (1, p)$ such that

$$|F(x,u)| \ge \eta |u|^{\gamma_0}$$
 for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all such u such that $|u| \le \delta$,

where
$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$$
.

In the recent years problems related to the kind in (1.1), for the case of p=2, the equations with biharmonic operator have been investigated. Readers may refer to [1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and the references there in. The present work in this article draws its motivation from W. Zhang et al [9], Ye & Tang [15] and Liu et al [1]. In all these articles, they have considered the problem (1.1) for p=2. We address the problem for $p \neq 2$, N > 2p. The notion of p-biharmonic operator is introduced in the recent work of Bhakta [3]. For $p \neq 2$, things seemed to become more complicated not only due to the lack of linearity of both p-Laplacian and p-biharmonic operator but also because of the fact that the associated energy functional is defined on a Banach space which is not a Hilbert space. We further have to deal with lack of compact embedding, since the domain considered here is \mathbb{R}^N . The main two results proved in this article the following.

Theorem 1.1. Assume the conditions (V1)-(V3), (F1), (F2) to hold. Then there exists $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda > \Lambda_0$, problem (1.1) has at least one non trivial solution u_{λ} .

Theorem 1.2. Let $u_n = u_{\lambda_n}$ be a solution of the problem (1.1) corresponding to $\lambda = \lambda_n$. If $\lambda_n \to \infty$, then

$$||u_n||_{\lambda_n} \le c,$$

for some c > 0 and for $p \le q < p_*$,

$$u_n \to \tilde{u} \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

up to a subsequence. Further, this \tilde{u} is a solution of the problem

$$\Delta_p^2 u - \Delta_p u = f(x, u), \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$u = 0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.$$
(1.2)

and $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The paper has been organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss the notations which will be used in the theorems. In section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and in section 4, we prove the Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

We will denote a Sobolev space of order 2 as $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is given by

$$W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u|, \Delta u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}$$

endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) dx.$$

Let

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + V(x)|u|^p) dx < \infty \right\}$$

be endowed with the norm

$$||u||^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + V(x)|u|^p) dx.$$

For $\lambda > 0$, we set

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V(x)|u|^p) dx < \infty \}$$

with

$$||u||_{\lambda}^{p} = \int_{\mathbb{D}^{N}} (|\Delta u|^{p} + |\nabla u|^{p} + \lambda V(x)|u|^{p}) dx.$$

It is easy to verify that $(E_{\lambda}, ||\cdot||_{\lambda})$ is a closed in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ and

$$||u|| \leq ||u||_{\lambda}$$

for any $\lambda \geq 1$. We will denote μ to be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N .

Lemma 2.1. If (V1)-(V2) hold, then there exists positive constants λ_0 , c_0 such that

$$||u||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c_0||u||_{\lambda}; \text{ for all } u \in E_{\lambda}, \lambda \ge \lambda_0.$$

Proof. By using conditions (V1)-(V2) and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} ||u||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx + \int_{V_b} |u|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \backslash V_b} |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx + (\mu(V_b))^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \backslash V_b} |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx + S_{\alpha}^{-1} (\mu(V_b))^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{\lambda b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \backslash V_b} \lambda V(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + (1 + S_{\alpha}^{-1} (\mu(V_b))^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}}) |\nabla u|^p) dx + \frac{1}{\lambda b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \max \left\{ 1, 1 + S_{\alpha}^{-1} (\mu(V_b))^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}}, \frac{1}{\lambda b} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + \lambda V(x) |u|^p) dx. \end{split}$$

where S_{α} denote the Sobolev constant, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$. Take $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{b\left(1+S_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mu(V_b))^{\frac{P^*-p}{p^*}}\right)}$. Then for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we have

$$\max \left\{ 1, 1 + S_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\mu(V_b) \right)^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}}, \frac{1}{\lambda b} \right\} = \max \left\{ 1, 1 + S_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\mu(V_b) \right)^{\frac{P^* - p}{p^*}} \right\}$$
$$= c_0 \text{ (say)}.$$

Hence for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $u \in E_{\lambda}$, we have $||u||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_0||u||_{\lambda}$.

The lemma shows that $E_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the Sobolev embedding results for p < N we have $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $q \in [p, p_*]$. Hence there exists $c_q > 0$ such that

$$||u||_q \le c_q ||u||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c_0 c_q ||u||_{\lambda}$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $q \in [p, p_*]$.

3. Existence of non trivial solutions

Let

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (|\Delta u|^{p} + |\nabla u|^{p} + \lambda V(x)|u|^{p}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) dx.$$

Then it can be seen that $J_{\lambda} \in C^1(E_{\lambda}, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is given by

$$< J_{\lambda}'(u), v> = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \lambda V(x) |u|^{p-2} uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u) v dx,$$

for all $v \in E_{\lambda}$. Thus $u \in E_{\lambda}$ is a critical point of J_{λ} if and only if it is a weak solution of the problem (1.1). In order to prove the existence of non trivial solutions of the problem (1.1) we use the following theorem [6]

Theorem 3.1. Let B be a real Banach space and $J \in C^1(B, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the Palais-Smale (PS) condition. If J is bounded below, then $c = \inf_B J$ is a critical value of J.

We now prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that (V1)-(V3), (F1),(F2) are satisfied. Then there exists $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \Lambda_0$, J_{λ} is bounded below in E_{λ} .

Proof. Using the Hölder's inequality we have,

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{p} ||u||_{\lambda}^{p} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} ||u||_{\lambda}^{p} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \xi_{i}(x) |u|^{\gamma_{i}} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} ||u||_{\lambda}^{p} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\xi_{i}(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_{i}}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-\gamma_{i}}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma_{i}}{p}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} ||u||_{\lambda}^{p} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{p}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{0}^{\gamma_{i}} ||\xi||_{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_{i}}} ||u||_{\lambda}^{\gamma_{i}}.$$

Since $1 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_m < p$, the above inequality implies that $J_{\lambda}(u) \to +\infty$, when $||u||_{\lambda} \to +\infty$. Consequently, there exists $\Lambda_0 = \max\{1, \lambda_0\} > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \Lambda_0$, J_{λ} is bounded from below.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that the conditions (V1)-(V3), (F1), (F2) are satisfied. Then J_{λ} satisfies the Palais-Smale (PS) condition for every $\lambda \geq \Lambda_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $(u_n) \subset E_{\lambda}$ be a sequence such that $J_{\lambda}(u_n)$ is bounded and $J'_{\lambda}(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then by lemma 3.2, (u_n) is bounded below in E_{λ} . Thus there exists a constant c > 0 such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$||u_n||_q \le c_q c_0 ||u_n||_{\lambda} \le c$$
; for all $u \in E_{\lambda}, \lambda \ge \lambda_0, p \le q \le p_*$.

Hence by Eberlein-Smulian theorem, passing on to a subsequence (the subsequence is still denoted by u_n), we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in E_{λ} . Since the inclusion $E_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for $q \in [p, p_*)$, we have

$$u_n \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since $\xi_i(x) \in L^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_i}}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^+)$, we can choose $R_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_{\epsilon}}} |\xi_i(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_i}} dx\right)^{\frac{p-\gamma_i}{p}} < \epsilon, \quad 1 \le i \le m. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $u_n \to u_0$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}} |u_n - u_0|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma_i}{p}} < \epsilon \tag{3.2}$$

for $n \geq N_0$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. By (3.2) and Hölder inequality, we have,

$$\int_{B_{R\epsilon}} |f(x, u_n - u_0)| |u_n - u_0| dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \int_{B_{R\epsilon}} |\xi_i(x)| |u_n - u_0|^{\gamma_i} dx
\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \left(\int_{B_{R\epsilon}} |\xi_i(x)|^{\frac{p}{p - \gamma_i}} dx \right)^{\frac{p - \gamma_i}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{R\epsilon}} |u_n - u_0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma_i}{p}}
\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i ||\xi_i||_{\frac{p}{p - \gamma_i}} \right) \epsilon, \forall n \geq N_0.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}} |f(x, u_n - u_0)| |u_n - u_0| dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(3.3)

On the other hand, by (3.1) and boundedness of (u_n) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}\backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}} |f(x, u_{n} - u_{0})| |u_{n} - u_{0}| dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i} ||\xi_{i}||_{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_{i}}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}} ||u_{n} - u_{0}||_{p, \mathbb{R}^{N}\backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}}^{\gamma_{i}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i} ||u_{n} - u_{0}||_{p}^{\gamma_{i}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i} (||u_{n}||_{p} + ||u_{0}||_{p})_{i}^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i} (c + ||u_{0}||_{p})^{\gamma_{i}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_{\epsilon}}} |f(x, u_n - u_0)| |u_n - u_0| dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(3.4)

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n - u_0)| |u_n - u_0| dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.5)

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in E_{λ} , hence $\langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n - u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But

$$0 \le ||u_n - u_0||_{\lambda}^p = \langle J_{\lambda}'(u_n - u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n - u_0))(u_n - u_0) dx$$
$$\le \langle J_{\lambda}'(u_n - u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n - u_0)||u_n - u_0| dx$$

By (3.5) and $\langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n - u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle \to 0$, it follows that $||u_n - u_0||^p_{\lambda} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This shows that $u_n \to u_0$ in E_{λ} .

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. By lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and theorem 3.1, it follows that $c_{\lambda} = \inf_{E_{\lambda}} J_{\lambda}(u)$ is a critical value of J_{λ} , that is there exists a critical point $u_{\lambda} \in E_{\lambda}$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = c_{\lambda}$. Therefore, u_{λ} is a solution for the problem (1.1) for $\lambda > \Lambda_0$. Now we will show that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. Let $u^{\#} \in (W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $||u^{\#}||_{\infty} \leq 1$, where Ω is given in the condition (V3). Then by the condition (F2), we have

$$J_{\lambda}(tu^{\#}) = \frac{1}{p}||tu^{\#}||_{\lambda}^{p} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, tu^{*})dx$$
$$= \frac{t^{p}}{p}||u^{\#}||_{\lambda}^{p} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tu^{\#})dx$$
$$\leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}||u^{\#}||_{\lambda}^{p} - \eta t^{\gamma_{0}} \int_{\Omega} |u^{\#}|^{\gamma_{0}}dx,$$

 $\forall t \in (0, \delta)$, where δ is defined in (F2). Since $1 < \gamma_0 < p$, it follows that $J_{\lambda}(tu^{\#}) < 0$ for t > 0 small enough. Hence $J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = c_{\lambda} < 0$. Therefore, u_{λ} is a nontrivial solution of the problem (1.1).

4. Limiting case $\lambda \to \infty$

We consider the limiting case, $\lambda \to \infty$, of the problem (1.1) on the set $V^{-1}(0)$. Define $\tilde{W}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} u, u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u = 0 \text{ a.e.}, \text{ in } R^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$,

where Ω is given in the condition (V3). Then $\tilde{W}(\Omega) \subset E_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Define

$$\tilde{c} = \inf_{u \in \tilde{W}(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}|_{\tilde{W}(\Omega)},$$

where $J_{\lambda}|_{\tilde{W}(\Omega)}$ is a restriction of J_{λ} on $\tilde{W}(\Omega)$, that is

$$J_{\lambda}|_{\tilde{W}(\Omega)} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx,$$

for $u \in \tilde{W}(\Omega)$). Similar to the proof of the theorem 1.1, it can be seen that $\tilde{c} < 0$ is achieved and

$$c_{\lambda} \leq \tilde{c} < 0$$
, for all $\lambda > \Lambda_0$.

Proof of the Theorem 1.2. For any sequence $\lambda_n \to \infty$, let $u_n = u_{\lambda_n}$ be the critical points of J_{λ_n} . Thus we have,

$$c_{\lambda_n} = J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \le \tilde{c} < 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Also in the lemma 3.2, we have seen that

$$J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \ge \frac{1}{p} ||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^p - \sum_{i=1}^m c_p^{\gamma_i} c_0^{\gamma_i} ||\xi_i||_{\frac{p}{p-\gamma_i}} ||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^{\gamma_i}.$$

Therefore, (4.1) and the above inequality implies that

$$||u_n||_{\lambda_n} \le c, \tag{4.2}$$

where the constant c > 0 is independent of λ_n . Therefore, passing on to a subsequence we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in E_{λ} . This implies that $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \leq q < p_*$. Then by Fatou's lemma we have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |\tilde{u}|^p dx \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n|^p dx \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^p}{\lambda_n} = 0,$$

which implies that $\tilde{u} = 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus V^{-1}(0)$. Since $\Omega = \text{int}V^{-1}(0)$ and Ω has smooth boundary, hence $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{W}(\Omega)$. Now for any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, since $\langle J'_{\lambda_n}(u_n), \varphi \rangle = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\Delta \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \Delta \tilde{u} \Delta \varphi + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, \tilde{u}) \varphi dx = 0,$$

which implies that \tilde{u} is a weak solution of the problem (1.2), where we have used the density of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\tilde{W}(\Omega)$.

Next we show that $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If not, then by Lions Vanishing lemma [7, 5], there exists $\delta > 0$, $\rho > 0$ and sequence $\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|x_n| \to \infty$ such that

$$\int_{B_{\rho}(x_n)} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^p dx \ge \delta.$$

Since $|x_n| \to \infty$, hence $\mu(B_\rho(x_n) \cap V_b) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{B_{\rho}(x_n) \cap V_b} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^p dx \le \mu \left(B_{\rho}(x_n) \cap V_b \right)^{\frac{p_* - p}{p_*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^{p_*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_*}} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. Consequently

$$||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^p \ge \lambda_n b \int_{B_{\rho}(x_n) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \ge b\}} |u_n|^p dx$$

$$= \lambda_n b \int_{B_{\rho}(x_n) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \ge b\}} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^p dx, \quad [\text{as } \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus V^{-1}(0)]$$

$$= \lambda_n b \left(\int_{B_{\rho}(x_n)} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^p dx - \int_{B_{\rho}(x_n) \cap V_b} |u_n - \tilde{u}|^p dx + o(1) \right)$$

$$\to \infty, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

which contradicts to (4.2). Therefore, $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \leq q < p_*$. Next, we show that $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in E_λ and E_λ compactly embedded in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence by the similar method as in lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n - \tilde{u})| |u_n - \tilde{u}| dx \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(4.3)

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in E_{λ} , hence $\langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n - \tilde{u}), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But

$$||u_n - \tilde{u}||_{\lambda}^p = \langle J_{\lambda}'(u_n - \tilde{u}), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n - \tilde{u}))(u_n - \tilde{u}) dx$$

$$\leq \langle J_{\lambda}'(u_n - \tilde{u}), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n - \tilde{u})| |u_n - \tilde{u}| dx$$

Therefore, by (4.3) and the above inequality, it follows that $||u_n - \tilde{u}||_{\lambda} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Again by the lemma 2.1,

$$||u_n - \tilde{u}||_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c_0||u_n - \tilde{u}||_{\lambda},$$

hence we have $u_n \to \tilde{u}$ in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

From (4.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \tilde{u}|^p + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u}) dx \le \tilde{c} < 0,$$

which implies that $\tilde{u} \neq 0$. This completes the theorem.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (R. Kr. Giri) thanks the financial assistantship received from the Ministry of Human Resource Development (M.H.R.D.), Govt. of India.

References

- [1] J. Liu, Shaoxiong Chen and X. Wu, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 395 (2012), 608-615.
- [2] J. Zhang and Z. Wei, Infinitely many nontrivial solutions for a class of biharmonic equations via variant fountain theorems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75 (2011), 7474-7485.
- [3] M. Bhakta, Entire solutions for a class of elliptic equations involving p-biharmoic operator and Rellich potentials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 423 (2015), 1570-1579.
- [4] M. B. YANG and Z. F. SHEN, Infinitely many solutions for fourth order elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N , Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 24 (2008), 1269-1278.
- [5] M. WILLEM, Minimax Theorems, Bikhäuser, Basel (1996).
- [6] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in. Math., 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [7] P. L. LIONS, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation, The local compact case Part I, Ann. Inst.. H. Poincaré Anal. Nonlinéaire, 1 (1984), 109-145.
- [8] T. BARTSCH, A. PANKOV and Z. WANG, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 3 (2001), 549-569.
- [9] W. Zhang, X. Tang and J. Zhang, Existence and Concentration of solutions for sublinear fourth-order elliptic equations, *Elec. J. Differ. Equa.*, Vol. 2015 (2015), No.3, 1-9.
- [10] Y. DING and A. SZULKIN, Existence and number of solutions for a class of semilinear Schrödinger equations, *Progress in Nonlinear Diff. Equations and Their Applications*, 66 (2005), 221-231.
- [11] Y. H. Wei, Multiplicity results for some fourth-order elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 385 (2012), 797-807.
- [12] Y. L. Yin and X. Wu, High energy solutions and nontrivial solutions for fourth-order elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 375 (2011), 699-705.
- [13] Y. W. YE and C. L. TANG, Infinitely many solutions for fourth-order elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 394 (2012), 841-854.

- [14] Y. Yang and J. Zhang, Existence of solutions for some fourthorder nonlinear elliptic problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 351 (2009), 128-137.
- [15] Y. YE and CHUN-LEI TANG, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 406 (2013), 335-351.