FIT5136 Prototype Presentation Marking Rubrics

	Actual Weigh t (%)	N (0-4.9)	P (5 - 5.9)	C (6 - 6.9)	D (7 - 7.9)	HD (8 - 10)
		Unacceptable Fails to identify what is required		Expected Basic understanding and delivery of what is required.	Good Reflects a mastery of what is required	Excellent Reflects the highest level of performance, beyond what is required
Interface Design, Flow and						
1.1 User Experience	35%	-Navigation between the screens is absolutely confusing or no navigation -No use of styling and no consideration of design of the application	application, followed some old program UI design principles that is considered outdated		-Have good navigation, few of the navigation and experience is confusing -Demonstrate majority of the main event flow -User have good sense of control to the app -Have good use of the styling towards the application, design style indicate some relevance to the latest UI design principle	-Have excellent navigation, no confusing navigation -Demonstrate all main event flow -User feels they have full control to the app -Have excellent styling towards the application, please to the eye, design style indicate relevance to the latest UI design principle
1.2 UI Content	10%	Data or options in the program are not relevant to the project	Some basic sample data with understandable menu options	Fair sample data with understandable menu options	- Good sample data with good and easy to understand menu options - Appropriate placement	- Excellent sample data with no confusion to menu options - Excellent placement of the content with no cluttering and maintain minimalism
1.3 Client requirement	35%	The team designed system based on their own requirements	The team designed a few parts of the prototype in accordance with client requirements.	The team designed some parts of the prototype in accordance with client requirements.	The team designed majority of the parts of the prototype in accordance with client requirements.	The team designed the prototype in accordance with client requirements.
Presentation Style Presentation Style						
2.1 Organisation (team)	10%	-Organisation of presentation to assist understanding and contain major mistakes or inconsistency -Presenter had no rehearsal -Demonstrate no evidence of collaboration, preparation and practice	of mistakes or consistency -Presenter had no rehearsal -Demonstrate basic evidence of	-Organisation of presentation to assist understanding contains some mistakes or inconsistency -Presenter had lack of rehearsal -Demonstrate some evidence of collaboration, preparation and practice	-Good Organisation of presentation to assist understanding and no mistakes -Presenter shows proper evidence of rehearsal -Demonstrate good evidence of collaboration, preparation and practice	-Excellent organisation of presentation to assist understanding and no mistakes -Well presented -Demonstrate excellent evidence of collaboration, preparation and practice
2.2 Visual Aids (team)	10%	-No use of visual aid to assist in delivering the message, standards used including images, animations where applicable	-Almost no use of visual aid to assist in delivering the message, standards used including images, animations where applicable	lmaccaga ctandarde licad incliiding imagac	-Good and sensible use of visual aid to assist in delivering the message, standards used including images, animations where applicable	-Excellent and sensible use of visual aid to assist in delivering the message, standards used including images, animations where applicable