[DAK: Hello, Dr. Ducasse. I will insert each comment after the sentence or phrase it refers to. I will enclose each comment within square brackets and my initials. Iwill explain each kind of markup where I first use it. If the system is unsatisfactory, please describe its shortcomings, or suggest how to improve it. /DAK]

About this book

Knowledge is only one part of understanding. Genuine understanding comes from hands on experience. S. Papert

Goal

The goal of this book is to explain elementary programming concepts [such as loops, abstractions, composition, and conditionals] to novices of all ages.

[DAK: Before I comment on a phrase in your text, I will mark it by bolding it, and either underlining it or enclosing it in square brackets. I will mark suggested deletions by bracketing, bolding, underlining and striking out. Generally I will insert further comment for a suggestion only if I think some explanation is necessary.

The introduction of technical terms in the first sentence of your introduction seems unnecessary and possibly intimidating. I suggest

The goal of this book is to explain elementary programming concepts to novices of all ages.

(I will highlight my suggested rewording by bolding the font.) Or to suggest the relative unimportance of the sub-clause, make it an aside by parenthesizing it:

The goal of this book is to explain elementary programming concepts (such as loops, abstractions, composition, and conditionals) to novices of all ages.

The original sentence is linguistically and logically correct in your original, so with this comment I am acting more like an 'editor' than a 'proofreader'.

However I shouldn't presume to offer editorial comment until you invite it, or before I understand what tone and style you want. From here on I will restrict my role to proofreader for language and readability issues (grammar, confusing or unclear phrasing, vocabulary, usage, meaning, connotation, idiom etc.) until you ask otherwise. /DAK]

I also believe that learning by experimenting and solving problems is [the] central to human knowledge acquisition.

Therefore I propose to [understand] present the concepts [based on] through simple problems.

[DAK: 'understand' applies to the student, not the teacher. I suggest 'explain', 'present' or 'introduce'. 'Based on' is a static relationship that isn't appropriate to the active concept in this sentence. I suggest 'through' or 'using'. So the sentence might read

Therefore I propose to present the concepts through simple problems. /DAK]

My ultimate goal is to teach you object-oriented programming because it provides an excellent metaphor for teaching programming. However, teaching object-oriented programming requires some basic notions of abstraction[s].

Therefore, I wrote this book to present these basic programming concepts with the special perspective that this book is the first of a series of two books. The current book is completely self-contained and does not require [] **you** to read the next one.

[DAK: I mark suggested insertions in-line and bolded, immediately following empty brackets. /DAK]

The second book introduces a new robot that can be programmed. It focuses on [intermediary] intermediate-level topics such as [finding path in maze] finding a path through a maze or drawing fractals.

It also acts as a companion book for [the persons] people who want to know more, who want to adapt the environment to their own needs. It introduces object-oriented programming.

[DAK: 'the persons' is permissible but unidiomatic. 'people who want', or 'those who want' are more common. Other phrases are also possible. The meanings are nearly the same; the tone varies slightly, mostly in formality. |DAK|

Audience

The ideal reader I have in mind is a person that wants to have fun programming. This person may be a teenager or an adult, a teacher in high-school, or somebody willing to teach programming to kids in any organization. This person does not have to be fluent in programming in any language.

The material of this book has been primarily developed for my [wife who] wife, who is a [physic] physics and mathematics teacher in a [french] French school ([] where the students are between 11 [to] and 15 years old).

[Late] In late 1998, my wife had to teach computer [sciences] science and we got frustrated by the lack of [adapted] appropriate material.

We were dreaming about a way to teach a process of facing problems and finding solutions. My wife started to teach HTML, Word and similar [not exciting] unexciting topics [], and she was absolutely not satisfied.

[DAK: 'not exciting' and 'absolutely not satisfied' are slangy. The first doesn't read smoothly in this sentence, so I suggested a change. The second reads OK, and seems to fit your desired tone, so I didn't suggest a change. If you ask me to eliminate slang I will try, but it would be difficult to remove all slang and still be informal and idiomatic. See my comment and question at the end of this doc. /DAK]

She was surprised by the fact that a lot of material was simply ad-hoc and [not promoting] didn't promote any scientific attitude.

In addition we were aware of the work on Logo and liked the idea of [the] experimentation as a basis for learning. We were aware that Smalltalk was influenced by the ideas of Papert and Logo[], and [by the fact] that it originated from [a research project to teach] research on teaching programming to kids. Moreover Smalltalk has a simple syntax that mimics natural language. [] {paragraph break}

[DAK: I will mark suggested insertions of non-textual items like breaks by inserting the name in curly braces. /DAK]

At that time Squeak arrived [in] at a mature state and books started to [be] become available in late 1999. So I started and wrote the present book.

The environments I use in this book and its companion book [is] are fully working[], and went [over] through several iterations [and] of improvements based on the feedback we got from teachers. A [constant point] guiding rule in our work has been to modify [as few as possible the Squeak environment as] the Squeak environment as little as possible, as our goal is that readers [can extend and develop other ideas] will be able to extend these ideas and develop others.

in Squeak. [Still has] This has had for example the consequence that we did not provide an adapted debugger that [would] could have hidden some irrelevant details.

 $[\mathrm{DAK};\ \mathrm{Please}\ \mathrm{send}\ \mathrm{me}\ \mathrm{your}\ \mathrm{feedback}\ \mathrm{about}\ \mathrm{these}\ \mathrm{comments},\ \mathrm{and}\ \mathrm{your}\ \mathrm{instructions}\ \mathrm{and}\ \mathrm{preferences}\ \mathrm{for}\ \mathrm{how}\ \mathrm{I}\ \mathrm{proofread}\ \mathrm{later}\ \mathrm{pages}.\ \mathrm{I}\ \mathrm{am}\ \mathrm{interested}\ \mathrm{in}\ \mathrm{your}\ \mathrm{suggestions}\ \mathrm{about}\ \mathrm{formatting},\ \mathrm{which}\ \mathrm{issues}\ \mathrm{you}\ \mathrm{are}\ \mathrm{interested}\ \mathrm{in}\ \mathrm{and}\ \mathrm{which}\ \mathrm{I}\ \mathrm{shouldn't}\ \mathrm{waste}\ \mathrm{my}\ \mathrm{time}\ \mathrm{on},\ \mathrm{etc.}\ /\mathrm{DAK}]$