# Matrix methods – Least squares problems

**MATH 2740 – Mathematics of Data Science – Lecture 07**

**Julien Arino**
julien.arino@umanitoba.ca

**Department of Mathematics @ University of Manitoba**

**Fall 202X**

# Outline

QR factorisation

# QR factorisation

# QR factorisation

# Matrix factorisations

Matrix factorisations are popular because they allow to perform some computations more easily

There are several different types of factorisations. Here, we study just the QR factorisation, which is useful for many least squares problems

# QR factorisation

### Definition 1 (Orthogonal set of vectors)

The set of vectors $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an **orthogonal set** if

$$\forall i, j = 1, \ldots, k, \quad i \neq j \implies v_i \bullet v_j = 0$$

### Theorem 2

$\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\forall i$, $v_i \neq 0$, orthogonal set $\implies \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ linearly independent

### Definition 3 (Orthogonal basis)

Let $S$ be a basis of the subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ composed of an orthogonal set of vectors. We say $S$ is an **orthogonal basis** of $W$

## Proof of Theorem 2

Assume $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ orthogonal set with $\mathbf{v}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Recall $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is LI if

$$c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0} \iff c_1 = \cdots = c_k = 0$$

So assume $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ are s.t. $c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$. Recall that $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{0}_k \bullet \mathbf{x} = 0$. So for some $\mathbf{v}_i \in \{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathbf{0} \bullet \mathbf{v}_i \\
&= (c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k) \bullet \mathbf{v}_i \\
&= c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \bullet \mathbf{v}_i + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k \bullet \mathbf{v}_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

As $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ orthogonal, $\mathbf{v}_j \bullet \mathbf{v}_i = 0$ when $i \neq j$, (1) reduces to

$$c_i \mathbf{v}_i \bullet \mathbf{v}_i = 0 \iff c_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = 0$$

As $\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ for all $i$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| \neq 0$ and so $c_i = 0$. This is true for all $i$, hence the result $\quad\square$

## Example – Vectors of the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$

For $\mathbb{R}^3$, we denote

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

($\mathbb{R}^k$ for $k > 3$, we denote them $\boldsymbol{e}_i$)

Clearly, $\{\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}\}$, $\{\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{k}\}$, $\{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}\}$ orthogonal sets. The standard basis vectors are also $\neq \boldsymbol{0}$, so the sets are LI. And

$$\{\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}\}$$

is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ since it spans $\mathbb{R}^3$ and is LI

$$c_1 \boldsymbol{i} + c_2 \boldsymbol{j} + c_3 \boldsymbol{k} = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Orthonormal version of things

### Definition 4 (Orthonormal set)

The set of vectors $\{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an **orthonormal set** if it is an orthogonal set and furthermore

$$\forall i = 1, \ldots, k, \quad \|\boldsymbol{v}_i\| = 1$$

### Definition 5 (Orthonormal basis)

A basis of the subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an **orthonormal basis** if the vectors composing it are an orthonormal set

$\{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is orthonormal if

$$\boldsymbol{v}_i \bullet \boldsymbol{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Projections

## Definition 6 (Orthogonal projection onto a subspace)

$W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace and $\{\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k\}$ an orthogonal basis of $W$. $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the **orthogonal projection** of $\boldsymbol{v}$ **onto** $W$ is

$$\text{proj}_W(\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_1 \bullet \boldsymbol{v}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_1\|^2} \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \cdots + \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_k \bullet \boldsymbol{v}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_k\|^2} \boldsymbol{u}_k$$

## Definition 7 (Component orthogonal to a subspace)

$W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace and $\{\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k\}$ an orthogonal basis of $W$. $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the **component** of $\boldsymbol{v}$ **orthogonal to** W is

$$\text{perp}_W(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{v} - \text{proj}_W(\boldsymbol{v})$$

What this aims to do is to construct an orthogonal basis for a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

To do this, we use the *Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process*, which turn s a basis of $W$ into an orthogonal basis of $W$

# Gram-Schmidt process

## Theorem 8

$W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *a subset and* $\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_k\}$ *a basis of W. Let*

$$\boldsymbol{v}_1 = \boldsymbol{x}_1$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_2 = \boldsymbol{x}_2 - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \bullet \boldsymbol{x}_2}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_1\|^2} \boldsymbol{v}_1$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_3 = \boldsymbol{x}_3 - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \bullet \boldsymbol{x}_3}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_1\|^2} \boldsymbol{v}_1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_2 \bullet \boldsymbol{x}_3}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_2\|^2} \boldsymbol{v}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_k = \boldsymbol{x}_k - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \bullet \boldsymbol{x}_k}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_1\|^2} \boldsymbol{v}_1 - \cdots - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_{k-1} \bullet \boldsymbol{x}_k}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_{k-1}\|^2} \boldsymbol{v}_{k-1}$$

*and*

$$W_1 = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), W_2 = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2), \ldots, W_k = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_k)$$

*Then* $\forall i = 1, \ldots, k$, $\{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_i\}$ *orthogonal basis for* $W_i$

# QR factorisation

### Theorem 9

*Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$. The columns of $Q$ form an orthonormal set if and only if*

$$Q^T Q = \mathbb{I}_n$$

### Definition 10 (Orthogonal matrix)

$Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is an **orthogonal matrix** if its columns form an orthonormal set

So $Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ orthogonal if $Q^T Q = \mathbb{I}$, i.e., $Q^T = Q^{-1}$

### Theorem 11 (NSC for orthogonality)

$Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ *orthogonal* $\iff Q^{-1} = Q^T$

## Theorem 12 (Orthogonal matrices "encode" isometries)

*Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$. TFAE*

1. *$Q$ orthogonal*
2. $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|Q\boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|$
3. $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, Q\boldsymbol{x} \bullet Q\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{x} \bullet \boldsymbol{y}$

## Theorem 13

*Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be orthogonal. Then*

1. *The rows of $Q$ form an orthonormal set*
2. *$Q^{-1}$ orthogonal*
3. $\det Q = \pm 1$
4. $\forall \lambda \in \sigma(Q), |\lambda| = 1$
5. *If $Q_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n$ also orthogonal, then $QQ_2$ orthogonal*

## Proof of 4 in Theorem 13

All statements in Theorem 13 are easy, but let's focus on 4

Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of $Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ orthogonal, i.e., $\exists \mathbb{R}^n \ni \boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ s.t.

$$Q\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda\boldsymbol{x}$$

Take the norm on both sides

$$\|Q\boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\lambda\boldsymbol{x}\|$$

From 2 in Theorem 12, $\|Q\boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|$ and from the properties of norms, $\|\lambda\boldsymbol{x}\| = |\lambda|\,\|\boldsymbol{x}\|$, so we have

$$\|Q\boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\lambda\boldsymbol{x}\| \iff \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = |\lambda|\,\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \iff 1 = |\lambda|$$

(we can divide by $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|$ since $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ as an eigenvector) $\qquad\square$

# The QR factorisation

### Theorem 14

*Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$ with LI columns. Then A can be factored as*

$$A = QR$$

*where $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$ has orthonormal columns and $R \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is nonsingular upper triangular*

# Back to least squares

So what was the point of all that..?

### Theorem 15 (Least squares with QR factorisation)

$A \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$ with LI columns, $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If $A = QR$ is a QR factorisation of $A$, then the unique least squares solution $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ of $A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ is

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = R^{-1}Q^T\boldsymbol{b}$$

## Proof of Theorem 15

$A$ has LI columns so

▶ least squares $A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ has unique solution $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \boldsymbol{b}$

▶ by Theorem 14, $A$ can be written as $A = QR$ with $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$ with orthonormal columns and $R \in \mathcal{M}_n$ nonsingular and upper triangular

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^T A \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = A^T \boldsymbol{b} &\implies (QR)^T QR \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = (QR)^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies R^T Q^T QR \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = R^T Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies R^T \mathbb{I}_n R \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = R^T Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies R^T R \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = R^T Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies (R^T)^{-1} R \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = (R^T)^{-1} R^T Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies R \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \\
&\implies \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = R^{-1} Q^T \boldsymbol{b} \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$