

**Future Skills**  
**Micro-Credentials in Software Development**  
**Certificate in Introductory Software Development MCSD 51**  
**Marking Rubric (Part 1 ONLY)**

Marking Rubric							
Criteria	0% - 39% (E)	40% - 49% (D)	50% – 69% (C)	70% – 84% (B)	85% – 100% (A)	Maximum Marks	Student Marks
System Proposal (LOs 1, 2 & 4)	Very poor structure and quality of writing	The proposal is of poor quality with many problems in writing and structure of report	The proposal is of acceptable quality with some problems in writing and structure of report	The proposal is written with good quality but has minor issues	The proposal is well-written and organised with professional quality	10	10
30 Marks	No or incomplete description on the project background, stakeholders, objectives, and expected outcomes	Very little / insufficient description on the project background, stakeholders, objectives, and expected outcomes	Little description on the project background, stakeholders, objectives, and expected outcomes	Good description on the project background, stakeholders, objectives, and expected outcomes	Excellent description on the project background, stakeholders, objectives, and expected outcomes.		

**Commented [SH1]:** The student provided a thorough and detailed proposal for "Online Coffee Shop," clearly outlining the application's features, target audience, and expected outcomes.

	Missing or mostly incorrect use cases	Very few or poorly identified use cases	A few use cases are fairly identified	A reasonable number of use cases are correctly identified	Use cases are comprehensively identified	5	5
	Incompetent analysis of ethical and cultural impacts	Inadequate analysis of ethical and cultural impacts	Fair analysis of ethical and cultural impacts	Acceptable analysis of ethical and cultural impacts	Comprehensive analysis of ethical and cultural impacts	5	5
	Missing project timeline or very poor-quality timeline	Poor-quality timeline with insufficient and unclear detail	Adequate timeline with sufficient detail	Good timeline with sufficient detail	High-quality and very detailed timeline	5	5
	Missing or very poor problem domain sketch and incorrect use case diagrams	Poor problem domain sketch and use case diagrams	Adequate problem domain sketch and use case diagrams	Reasonable problem domain sketch and use case diagrams	Excellent problem domain sketch and use case diagrams	5	5
Total						30	30
FEEDBACK							
The student presented a well-thought-out proposal for the "Online Coffee Shop," thoroughly outlining features, user stories, and use cases. The student demonstrated a strong understanding of user needs, addressed ethical and cultural considerations, and provided a clear, detailed timeline with use case diagrams.							

**Commented [SH2]:** The student clearly and effectively identified user stories and use cases, demonstrating a solid understanding of user needs.

**Commented [SH3]:** The student well addressed key ethical aspects like data security and transparency, and cultural inclusivity.

**Commented [SH4]:** The student has effectively outlined a 3-phase timeline with clear, detailed steps based on the IID model.

**Commented [SH5]:** The student provided detailed use case diagrams for each actor, clearly illustrating interactions and responsibilities.