Optimal Control WS20/21: Homework 2

Daniel Bergmann

January 25, 2021

Problem 1

a) Formulating the problem as an discrete-time, infinite-horizon o. c. problem yields

$$\min_{u_0, u_1, \dots} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k f_0(x_k, u_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 0.9^k f_0(x_k, u_k)$$
subject to
$$\begin{aligned}
x_{k+1} &= f(x_k, u_k), \\
x_k &\in \mathcal{X} = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_8\}, \\
u_k &\in \mathcal{U} = \{0, 1, 2\}, \\
x_0 &= \xi_1,
\end{aligned}$$
(1)

where the dynamics $f: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are defined by the arrows in the graph.

The Value function iteration is defined by the Bellman operator

$$V_{k+1}(x) = TV_k(x) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{ f_0(x, u) + \alpha V_k(x) \}$$
 with $\alpha = 0.9$. (2)

Evaluated for this particular problem, this yields

$$\begin{split} V_{k+1}(\xi_1) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_2), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_3)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_2) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 6 + 0.9V_k(\xi_5), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_3) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_5)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_4) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 6 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_5) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_6) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{5 + 0.9V_k(\xi_1), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_7) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_8) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \end{split}$$

starting with an arbitrary inital value function $V^0: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

b) Value function $V: \mathbb{R}^8 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, obtained after 1000 value function iterations:

$$V(\xi_1) = 3.61$$

$$V(\xi_2) = 4.80$$

$$V(\xi_3) = 2.90$$

$$V(\xi_4) = 3.80$$

$$V(\xi_5) = 1.90$$

$$V(\xi_6) = 1.00$$

$$V(\xi_7) = 2.00$$

$$V(\xi_8) = 0.00$$

The values in (4) induce the following state feedback:

$$k(\xi_{1}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{2}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{3}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{4}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{5}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{6}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{7}) = u_{0}$$

$$k(\xi_{8}) = u_{0}.$$
(5)

For the initial state $x_0 = \xi_1$, the state-feedback given in (5) yields the optimal input sequence

$$u^* = (2 \ 1 \ 2),$$

that steers the state to the terminal state ξ_8 via the route $\xi_1, \xi_3, \xi_6, \xi_8$.

c) We suppose

$$V^{0}(\xi) \le TV^{0}(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}, \tag{6}$$

for an initial function V^0 for a value function iteration with the Bellman Operator, defined in (2). From the lectures, we have the following properties.

$$||TV^1 - TV^2||_{\infty} \le \alpha ||V^1 - V^2||_{\infty}$$
(Contraction)
(7)

$$V^1(\xi) \le V^2(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}} \Longrightarrow TV^1(\xi) \le TV^2(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}$$
 (Monotonicity) (8)

 V^* solves the Bellman Equation V^* is the Value function, since we have discounted costs. (9)

First we show, that the iteration converges to the value function of the problem. Since (7) holds and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we deduce the convergence of $\{V_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by the Banach-Fixed-Point-Theorem. Since we have such a limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} V_k \longrightarrow V^*$, it has to satisfy the fixed point property $TV^* = V^*$. Hence it satisfies the Bellman equation and (9) verifies V^* as the value function of our problem.

Second, it remains to show that $V^0(\xi) \leq V^*(\xi) \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}$ holds.

By assumption (6) and the monotonicity property (8), we infer inductively, that $V_k(\xi) \leq V_{k+1}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus, the sequence $\{V_k(\xi)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is non-decreasing for any $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. This proves the claim $V^0(\xi) \le V^*(\xi) \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}.$

d) Maximization Problem:

$$\max_{V(\xi_1),\dots,V(\xi_n)} \sum_{k=0}^n V(\xi_i)$$
subject to
$$V(\xi_i) \le TV(\xi_i) \quad \forall_{i=1,\dots,n}.$$
(10)

To show: V^* is a solution to the maximization problem given above. Proof by contradiction. Suppose, there exists an admissible Function $V: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\tilde{V}(\xi_i) > V^*(\xi_i) \tag{11}$$

for at least one $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Since \tilde{V} is admissible for the given max. problem, we know that $\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq T\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \quad \forall_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}}$. From excercise c) we know, that hence $\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq T^k \tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq V^*(\xi_i)$ holds for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This clearly contradicts (11). Thus, V^* maximizes the objective of the given problem.

e) Transform (10) into

$$V^* = \underset{V(\xi_1),\dots,V(\xi_n)}{\operatorname{arg max.}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} V(\xi_i)$$
subject to
$$V(\xi_i) \le f_0(\xi_i, u) + \alpha V(f(\xi, u))$$

$$\forall_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_i)} \forall_{i=1,\dots,n}.$$

$$(12)$$

As shown in d), V^* maximizes the objective of problem (10). It remains to show, that the constraints of problems (10) and (14) are equivalent. The operator T is defined by the Bellman Equation, as written in (2). We have

$$V(\xi_i) \le TV(\xi_i) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{ f_0(\xi_i, u) + \alpha V_k(\xi_i) \}$$

$$\le f_0(\xi_i, \tilde{u}) + \alpha V_k(\xi_i)$$
(13)

for any input signal $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}$. This arises directly from the definition of the minimum. Thus, (10) and (14) denote the same problem.

It remains to formulate this as a linear program

$$V^* = \underset{V}{\operatorname{arg max.}} \quad c^T V$$
 subject to $AV \le b$. (14)

With $V = (V(\xi_1), \dots, V\xi_n)^T$, the objective max. $\sum_{k=0}^n V(\xi_i)$ is the same as min. c^TV with

$$c = -(1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Now we rewrite the constraints as a linear inequality AV = b.

For a state $\xi_i \in \mathcal{X}$, the inequality

$$V(\xi_i) \le f_0(\xi, u) + \alpha V(f(\xi_i, u))$$

$$\iff V(\xi_i) - \alpha V(f(\xi_i, u)) \le f_0(\xi_i, u)$$
(15)

has to hold for each possible input $u \in \mathcal{U}$, which leads to three scalar inequalities per state. Such an inequality can be represented with a line in the matrix A, with an 1 in the i-th column and $-\alpha$ in the $f(\xi_i, u)$ -th column. The corresponding entry of the vector b is $f_0(\xi_i, u)$. The resulting matrices A, b for the particular problem can be found in the solutions-sheet or can be generated by the matlab script.

One can determine the optimal input u^* in any state ξ_i by using the input that makes inequality (13) an equality. This minimizes the V-value of the next state that will be reached.

Problem 2

a) System

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k \quad \text{with}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 \\ -0.7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(16)$$

The origin $x^e = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a equlibrium point of (16), since $x^e = Ax^e$. We determine the eigenvalues by computing roots of the characteristic polynomial of A.

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & -0.5 \\ 3 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1.$$

hence, roots of the char. pol. are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = 1 \pm i \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}$$
 with *i* the imaginary unit.

Since $|\lambda_i| > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, x^e$ is an unstable equilibrium.

b) MPC optimization problem at time k with given state $x_k = \bar{x}$:

$$\min_{u_k, \dots, u_{k+N}} \sum_{j=k}^{k+N-1} f_0(x_j, u_j) + \phi(x_{k+N})$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^{k+N-1} = x_j^T Q x_j + u_j^T R u_j + x_{k+N}^T P x_{k+N}$$
subject to
$$x_{j+1} = A x_j + B u_j \text{ for all } j = k, \dots, k+N \qquad \text{(dynamic constraints)}$$

$$u_j \leq 1, \text{ for all } j = k, \dots, k+N, \qquad \text{(input constraints)}$$

$$-u_j \leq 1, \text{ for all } j = k, \dots, k+N, \qquad \text{(terminal constraint)}$$

$$x_{k+N}^T P x_{k+N} \leq c, \qquad \text{(terminal constraint)}$$

$$x_k = \bar{x}, \qquad \text{(initial state constraint)}$$

with

$$Q = I_2, R = 1, N = 3, c = \frac{\lambda_{\min}(P)}{|K|^2}, K = (0.3, 1.4).$$

- c) For the given controller u = Kx for \mathcal{X}_f , we show
 - For all states $x \in \mathcal{X}_f$, u = Kx is a feasible input signal. From linear algebra, we know

$$\lambda_{\min}(P) \|x\|^2 \le x^T P x \Longleftrightarrow \|x\|^2 \le \frac{x^T P x}{\lambda_{\min}(P)},\tag{18}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the euclidean norm. We can use that to estimate

$$||u|| = ||Kx|| = x^T K K^T x = ||K||^2 x^T x = ||K||^2 ||x||^2$$
(19)

$$\stackrel{(18)}{\leq} ||K||^2 \frac{x^T P x}{\lambda_{\min}(P)} \leq ||K||^2 \frac{c}{\lambda_{\min}(P)} = 1.$$
 (20)

Hence, for $x \in \mathcal{X}_f$, satisfying the terminal constraint from (17), u is a feasible input.

• The condition $\phi(x_{j+1}) - \phi(x_j) \le f_0(x_j, u_j)$ is satisfied for all states x_j . Since we have linear system dynamics and quadratic costs as a quadratic expression in x_j . Plugging in our particular system, yields

$$\phi(x_{i+1}) - \phi(x_i) \le f_0(x_i, u_i) \tag{21}$$

$$\iff x_{j+1}^T P x_{j+1} - x_j^T P x_j \le -x_j^T Q x_j - u_j^T u_j \tag{22}$$

$$\iff (Ax_j + Bu_j)^T P(Ax_j + Bu_j) - x_j^T P x_j \le -x_j^T Q x_j - u_j^T R u_j. \tag{23}$$

With the state feedback $u_i = -Kx_i$, we get

$$((A - BK)x_j)^T P((A - BK)x_j) - x_j^T P x_j \le -x_j^T Q x_j - (-Kx_j)^T R(-Kx_j)$$
 (24)

$$\iff x_i^T((A - BK)^T P(A - BK) - P + Q + K^T RK)x_i \le 0 \tag{25}$$

Hence with $M := (A - BK)^T P(A - BK) - P + Q + K^T RK$, we have

$$\phi(x_{j+1}) - \phi(x_j) \le f_0(x_j, u_j) \Longleftrightarrow x_j^T M x_j \le 0 \Longleftrightarrow M \le 0.$$

For our example, we have $M = \begin{pmatrix} -0.266 & 1.068 \\ 1.068 & -6.364 \end{pmatrix} \leq 0$, thus the condition holds true.

• The terminal region \mathcal{X}_f is positively invariant We show the invariance of the terminal region by proving $x_j^T P x_j < c$ for all times. Since f_0 is positive definite, we have with (21)

$$\phi(x_{j+1}) - \phi(x_j) \le f_0(x_j, u_j) \le 0.$$

Hence $x_j^T P x_j$ is decreasing over time and remaining smaller than c and hence $x_j \in \mathcal{X}_f$