# Optimal Control WS20/21: Homework 2

### Daniel Bergmann

January 18, 2021

#### Problem 1

a) Formulating the problem as an discrete-time, infinite-horizon o. c. problem yields

$$\min_{u_0, u_1, \dots} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_0(x_k, u_k) = 0.9^k f_0(x_k, u_k)$$
subject to 
$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k),$$

$$x_k \in \mathcal{X} = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_8\},$$

$$u_k \in \mathcal{U} = \{0, 1, 2\},$$

$$x_0 = \xi_1,$$
(1)

where the dynamics  $f: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  are defined by the arrows in the graph.

The Value function iteration is defined by the Bellman operator

$$V_{k+1}(x) = TV_k(x) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{ f_0(x, u) + \alpha V_k(x) \}$$
 with  $\alpha = 0.9$ . (2)

Evaluated for this particular problem, this yields

$$\begin{split} V_{k+1}(\xi_1) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_2), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_2)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_2) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 6 + 0.9V_k(\xi_5), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_3) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_5)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_4) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 6 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 3 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_5) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_4), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_6)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_6) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{5 + 0.9V_k(\xi_1), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_7), 1 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_7) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 2 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \\ V_{k+1}(\xi_8) &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8), 0 + 0.9V_k(\xi_8)\}, \end{split}$$

starting with an arbitrary inital value function  $V^0: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

b) Value function  $V: \mathbb{R}^8 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , obtained after 1000 value function iterations:

$$V(\xi_1) = 3.61$$

$$V(\xi_2) = 4.80$$

$$V(\xi_3) = 2.90$$

$$V(\xi_4) = 3.80$$

$$V(\xi_5) = 1.90$$

$$V(\xi_6) = 1.00$$

$$V(\xi_7) = 2.00$$

$$V(\xi_8) = 0.00$$

The values in (4) induce the following state feedback:

$$k(\xi_{1}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{2}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{3}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{4}) = u_{1}$$

$$k(\xi_{5}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{6}) = u_{2}$$

$$k(\xi_{7}) = u_{0}$$

$$k(\xi_{8}) = u_{0}.$$
(5)

For the initial state  $x_0 = \xi_1$ , the state-feedback given in (5) yields the optimal input sequence

$$u^* = (2 \ 1 \ 2),$$

that steers the state to the terminal state  $\xi_8$  via the route  $\xi_1, \xi_3, \xi_6, \xi_8$ .

## c) We suppose

$$V^{0}(\xi) \le TV^{0}(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}, \tag{6}$$

for an initial function  $V^0$  for a value function iteration with the Bellman Operator, defined in (2). From the lectures, we have the following properties.

$$||TV^1 - TV^2||_{\infty} \le \alpha ||V^1 - V^2||_{\infty}$$
(Contraction)
(7)

$$V^1(\xi) \le V^2(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}} \Longrightarrow TV^1(\xi) \le TV^2(\xi) \quad \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}$$
 (Monotonicity) (8)

 $V^*$  solves the Bellman Equation  $V^*$  is the Value function, since we have discounted costs. (9)

First we show, that the iteration converges to the value function of the problem. Since (7) holds and  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , we deduce the convergence of  $\{V_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  by the Banach-Fixed-Point-Theorem. Since we have such a limit  $\lim_{k\to\infty} V_k \longrightarrow V^*$ , it has to satisfy the fixed point property  $TV^* = V^*$ . Hence it satisfies the Bellman equation and (9) verifies  $V^*$  as the value function of our problem.

Second, it remains to show that  $V^0(\xi) \leq V^*(\xi) \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}$  holds.

By assumption (6) and the monotonicity property (8), we infer inductively, that  $V_k(\xi) \leq V_{k+1}(\xi)$ for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus, the sequence  $\{V_k(\xi)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is non-decreasing for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ . This proves the claim  $V^0(\xi) \le V^*(\xi) \forall_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}}.$ 

#### d) Maximization Problem:

$$\max_{V(\xi_1),\dots,V(\xi_n)} \sum_{k=0}^n V(\xi_i)$$
subject to 
$$V(\xi_i) \le TV(\xi_i) \quad \forall_{i=1,\dots,n}.$$
(10)

To show:  $V^*$  is a solution to the maximization problem given above. Proof by contradiction. Suppose, there exists an admissible Function  $V: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\tilde{V}(\xi_i) > V^*(\xi_i) \tag{11}$$

for at least one  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Since  $\tilde{V}$  is admissible for the given max. problem, we know that  $\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq T\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \quad \forall_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}}$ . From excercise c) we know, that hence  $\tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq T^k \tilde{V}(\xi_i) \leq V^*(\xi_i)$ holds for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This clearly contradicts (11). Thus,  $V^*$  maximizes the objective of the given problem.

e) Transform (10) into

$$V^* = \underset{V(\xi_1),\dots,V(\xi_n)}{\operatorname{arg max.}} \sum_{k=0}^n V(\xi_i)$$
subject to
$$V(\xi_i) \le f_0(\xi_i, u) + \alpha V(f(\xi, u))$$

$$\forall_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_i)} \forall_{i=1,\dots,n}.$$

$$(12)$$

As shown in d),  $V^*$  maximizes the objective of problem (10). It remains to show, that the constraints of problems (10) and (14) are equivalent. The operator T is defined by the Bellman Equation, as written in (2). We have

$$V(\xi_i) \le TV(\xi_i) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{ f_0(\xi_i, u) + \alpha V_k(\xi_i) \}$$
  
$$\le f_0(\xi_i, \tilde{u}) + \alpha V_k(\xi_i)$$
(13)

for any input signal  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ . This arises directly from the definition of the minimum. Thus, (10) and (14) denote the same problem.

It remains to formulate this as a linear program

$$V^* = \underset{V(\xi_1),\dots,V(\xi_n)}{\operatorname{arg max.}} \sum_{k=0}^n V(\xi_i)$$
subject to
$$V(\xi_i) \le f_0(\xi_i, u) + \alpha V(f(\xi, u))$$

$$\forall_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_i)} \forall_{i=1,\dots,n}.$$

$$(14)$$

# Problem 2

- a)
- b)