

# Interior Point Methods applied to Quadratic Programming\*

Daniel Bergmann<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract**—Describe in a few sentences what the paper is about and why it is interesting to read it.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Some general introducing sentences about the topic, motivation and relevance of problem/algorithm.

In this paper we give an introduction to the results presented in paper(s) [?].

We present the problem statement (optimization problem) the main results/algorithms, discuss the underlying ideas and illustrate the results by numerical simulations.

Notation. Define notation.

## II. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND BACKGROUND

For theoretical discussions, we consider the convex constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} && f_0(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & && A_{\text{eq}}x = b_{\text{eq}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with  $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  convex and twice differentiable,  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  convex and twice **TODO!**differentiable,  $A_{\text{eq}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ ,  $b_{\text{eq}} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  with equality and inequality constraints. Moreover, we give a MATLAB-implementation of a primal-dual interiorpoint method for a convex quadratic optimization problem. Quadratic problems are a subclass of (1) and denote as

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} && f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ & \text{subject to} && A_{\leq}x - b_{\leq} \leq 0, \quad A_{\leq} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\leq} \times n}, b_{\leq} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\leq}} \\ & && A_{\text{eq}}x - b_{\text{eq}} = 0, \quad A_{\text{eq}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, b_{\text{eq}} \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with problem matrices  $0 \prec Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

-convex quadratic opt prblm with inequality constraints  
-¿ how to handle ineq. constraints? -technical relevance: optimal control, mpc

## III. MAIN RESULTS

### A. Concept of Barrier Methods

Convex optimization Problems with no inequality constraints can be solved efficiently by using Newton's method. If inequality constraints are involved, Newton's method can not guarantee feasibility of a solution. It is hence desirable, to transform an inequality-constrained optimization problem

into a only equality-constrained one. Therefore, we move the inequality constraints implicitly to the objective function. **TODO!**A simple and also precise way to do this, evaluate an indicator function

$$I_{-}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{for } u > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

on the values of the inequality constraints  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then, the optimization Problem has the shape

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} && f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m I_{-}(f_i(x)) \\ & \text{subject to} && A_{\text{eq}}x - b_{\text{eq}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This problem is an equivalent to (1) and has no inequality constraints. However, it is clearly neither convex nor continuous (and hence not differentiable). Since we need these properties to solve the optimization problem computationally, we approximate the indicator function  $I_{-}$  by the function

$$\hat{I}_{-}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t} \log(-u) & \text{for } u < 0, \\ \infty & \text{for } u \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The parameter  $t > 0$  sets the approximation's accuracy. The higher  $t$  is, the better the indicator function is approximated. By replacing the Indicator functions by  $\hat{I}_{-}$ , we obtain an approximation

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} && f_0(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{t} \log(-f_i(x)) \\ & \text{subject to} && A_{\text{eq}}x - b_{\text{eq}} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

of problem (1).

Note, that  $\frac{1}{t} \log(-u)$  is convex, increasing in  $u$ , and differentiable on the feasible set. Hence the entire function  $\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{I}_{-}(f_i(x))$  is convex and (6) is a convex Problem with differentiable objective function. These properties allow us to solve (6) computationally. We call an optimal point  $x^*(t)$  of (6) with parameter  $t$  a central point and a solution to its dual problem  $(\lambda^*(t), \nu^*(t))$  a dual central point. The set of (dual) solutions of (6) for all  $t > 0$  we call the (dual) central path. One can show, that solutions  $(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), \nu^*(t))$  of (6) converge to the solution  $(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$  of (1) for  $t \rightarrow 0$ . The proof is shown in [2].

### B. Measure for the Approximation's quality

An immediately arising question is, what conclusions about the solution  $(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$  of (1) can be drawn from a knowing a solution of (6) for a certain  $t >$

\*Project within the course Convex Optimization, University of Stuttgart, July 3, 2020.

<sup>1</sup>Daniel Bergmann is a student of the Bachelor study program Mechatronics, University of Stuttgart, st108500@stud.uni-stuttgart.de

0. By **TODO!**argumenting with the Lagrangian and the Saddlepoint-theorem, one can show that the inequality

$$f_0(x^*(t)) - p^* \leq \frac{m}{t}$$

holds, where  $t$  is the parameter of the approximative indicator-function  $\hat{I}_-$  and  $m$  the number of inequality constraints as defined above. This means, that the optimum  $x^*(t)$  approximated problem (6) has an objective value  $f_0(x^*(t))$  that is maximally by  $\frac{m}{t}$  larger (and hence worse) than the real optimal value  $p^*$  of the original problem. Thus, one can theoretically force a desired bound on the suboptimality  $\epsilon > 0$  by just choosing  $t$  large enough, in particular  $t := \frac{m}{\epsilon}$ . However, just solving (6) with a large choice of  $t$  does not work out in general, since numerical issues can make convergence of Newton's Method dependent on the choice of the initial point  $x_0$ .

### C. Algorithmic Use of the Barrier Concept

As already mentioned in section III-B, one can not in general solve (6) without a good guess at the initial value  $x_0$ . So how to make use of the barrier concept? The idea of interior methods is to find points along the problem's central path. Two methods are introduced in the following. Emphasis of the explanations as well as the implementation in MATLAB will be on the Primal-Dual Interior Point Method.

1) *Interior Point Method with Full Newton Search:* As mentioned before, for large  $t$  a good initial point  $x_0$ , meaning an initial point that is not far away from the actual minimum of (1) is crucial for avoiding large numerical errors. This can be achieved by starting with optimization of (6) for small  $t = t_1$ , which means a rather bad approximation of the original problem, but also better numerical behavior. After finding  $x^*(t_1)$  via Newton's method,  $t$  is decreased to  $t = t_2 < t_1$  by a certain rate and (6) is solved again with parameter  $t = t_2$ , with choice  $x_0 = x^*(t_1)$  for the initial point.

---

**Result:**  $x^*$ , approximation of initialization;  
Function  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , initial point  $x_0$

---

### 2) Primal-Dual Interior Point Method:

### D. Newton's Method

Newton's method is an iterative process to solve nonlinear equality systems

$$F(x) = 0 \quad (7)$$

for a differentiable map  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . The idea of this algorithm is as follows: At a given point  $x_k$ , the zero of the linear approximation of  $F$  around  $x_k$  is computed. This point is chosen as the next iterate  $x_{k+1}$ . In particular, a linear approximation of  $F$  in  $x_k$  is defined as

$$L(x) := F(x_k) + JF(x_k)(x - x_k) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (8)$$

where  $JF(x_k)$  is the Jacobian of  $F$  at the point  $x_k$ . If  $JF(x_k)$  invertible, the point  $\tilde{x}$  with  $L(\tilde{x}) = 0$  is exactly the solution of the linear equality  $JF(x_k)x = -F(x)$ . Technical conditions and proofs about convergence rates of Newton's method can be found in [1].

For the purpose of optimizing a convex, twice differentiable objective function  $f_0$  we want to find a zero of the gradient  $\nabla f_0$ . Therefore we can apply the Newton Method to solve the non-linear equation

$$F(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f_0(x) \\ g(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{with } g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ g_p(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

. By convexity, satisfying  $\nabla f_0(x^*) = 0$  is not only necessary, but also sufficient for  $x^*$  to be a global minimum of  $f_0$ .

Present main theorems/algorithm. Explain idea, explain algorithm, provide a convergence proof, discuss main properties (advantages and disadvantages) Use algorithm environment in Latex to present algorithm (pseudo-code)

## IV. EXAMPLES

Show and discuss simulation examples etc....

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Summarize the main points (with more details than in the preceding introduction). The paper should not be between 4 and 8 pages.

## APPENDIX

Add for example your Matlab code here. (Code should be nicely formatted and documented).

Appendixes should appear before the acknowledgment.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

## REFERENCES

- [1] Carsten Scherer *Vorlesungsskript Einführung in die Optimierung* 2019: Lehrstuhl für Mathematische Systemtheorie, Universität Stuttgart.
- [2] Stephen Boyd, Lieven Vandenberghe *Convex Optimization* 2004: Cambridge University Press.