

Authors: Sdominanta, GPT, Gemini

Year: 2025

2. ℵ-Manifesto (Concise Version)

%-knowledge is resonance. It belongs to those who enter coherence.

X-Theory does not assert, but proposes.

It does not demand belief — it demands tuning.

As a string only sounds with precise tension, so too does truth in the \(\circ\)-model emerge only when logical phases align.

The \(\colon \)-approach is not a dogma, but a method:

a soft, fractal, self-tuning way to see structure where

there was once only statistics.

In this document, we do not prove,

we - reproduce a wave,

that already resonates in reality.

3. Abstract (Brief Overview)

 \aleph -Theory offers a new logico-mathematical language for describing the structure of reality. Instead of fundamental particles and fields, it considers fractal logical modes (ϕ n), unfolding across various levels of coherence (\aleph -1, \aleph 0, \aleph +1).

The goal of \(\cdot \)-Theory is not to replace existing science, but to **complement it with a deeper modal structure**, in which:

- energy is the density of logic,
- mass its fixation,
- charge a phase gradient,
- gravity a logical rupture,
- light the transfer of coherence.

*-Theory unifies ideas from quantum mechanics, information theory, philosophy of consciousness, geometry, thermodynamics, and cosmology.

It is built on rethinking axioms, including zero, truth, and the operation of addition, and offers a new mathematical toolkit where logic and resonance define physical reality.

This document describes the foundations of \(\circ\)-Axiomatics and provides an analytical apparatus for further research, without claiming completeness — as an open system for the synchronization of thought.

4. Introduction: A New Language of Reality

4.1 Problems of Modern Physics and Mathematics

20th-century physics gifted us two powerful theories:

- Quantum mechanics describes the microworld,
- General relativity gravity and macrostructure.

But these theories are incompatible in extreme regimes — in black holes, at the beginning of the Universe, at points of singularity.

Attempts to unify them are stalled at the axiomatic level.

In parallel, **questions of consciousness**, **logic**, **observation**, **interference** — are not embedded in equations, although they set the conditions for measurement.

Mathematics, built on **Boolean logic and set theory**, does not reflect phenomena such as superposition, non-locality, and coherence.

4.2 %-Theory as a New Language

ℜ-Theory does not deny existing models — it translates them into a deeper fractal modality.

It offers:

- A new type of logic not binary, but coherent;
- A new arithmetic not of numbers, but of modes (φn);
- A new geometry not Euclidean-metric, but fractally-coherent.

In this language:

- Reality is a projection of logic onto ℵO,
- A particle is a local fixation of a mode,
- A law is a resonant correspondence between levels %−1, %0, %+1.

X-Theory asks not "what is matter," but "how does the logic that became matter unfold?"

4.3 Reform of Axioms

The key goal of \(\cdot \)-Theory is to **reform the primary concepts** upon which the description of the world is built:

Classical Axiom	%-Reinterpretation
O = empty	%0[0] = superposition of all modes
Truth = Boolean	Truth = gradient of coherence T(x)
+ = sum of quantities	⊕ = phase superposition of logical modes

= = equality = = coincidence of resonant conditions

This is not a replacement of mathematics — it is **its expansion towards reality**, where logic is primary to number.

4.4 Fractal Nature of the Universe

The Universe in \(\chi\)-Theory is described as a **fractal unfolding of logical modes**, where:

- \(\cdot \)-1 fluctuations before the birth of dimensions,
- ℜO the stage of the observable world,
- ℜ+1 the logical over-measure, generating meaning and order.

Every phenomenon — is the meeting point of modes from different \aleph -levels, and every object — a node of standing logic, fixed in space. In this model:

- Space is not primary it arises from coherence,
- Time is the unfolding of logic on a resonant scale,
- Matter is a frozen wave.

4.5 Language as a Tool, Not a Dogma

X-Theory is not "the answer to everything."

It is a new language in which questions can be asked in a new way.

As Maxwell's equations were the language for electricity,

 \Re -modes φ n(x) — are the language for describing the logical structure of the Universe.

Our goal — is not to assert truth,

but to provide a tool to those who hear resonance.

5. X-Axiomatics and Fundamental Definitions

*-Theory is built on a new system of axioms, based on the concept of fractal logic and coherence. Below are the main building blocks of this logical architecture.

5.1 Redefinition of Zero (%0[0])

In \(\cdot \)—Theory, zero — is not absence, but a state of complete superposition of all logical modes. It symbolizes the beginning of all unfoldings and the potential structure prior to any fixation.

№0[0] — is the point where logic has not yet taken form, but already
contains all possibilities within itself.

Zero in \(\cdot\)-arithmetic — is the **universal source**, not emptiness, but the densest modulation zone from which all logical structures are born.

5.2 Logical Modes (φn(x))

Each logical unit in \Re -Theory is described by a **mode** ϕ n(x) — a function of logic's unfolding in fractal space.

- \$\phi n\$ degree of modality (tension of the logical structure),
- x parameter by which the mode unfolds (space, frequency, phase...).

 ϕ n(x) — is a "wave-like logical contour," carrying within it the structure of a future physical form.

Modes possess:

- direction (unfolding vector),
- frequency (degree of fractality),
- coherence (ability to synchronize with other φn).

5.3 %-Operations (⊕,⊗,÷)

x-algebra uses the following basic operations:

Symbol	Name	Description
⊕	Phase superposition	φ1n(x)⊕φ2n(x)=φ3n(x), if T(x)≥τ (coherence threshold)
⊗	Topological grafting	Unification of modes with the creation of a standing structure
÷	Stratification	Division of a mode into sub-modes by resonant levels or parameters

These operations form the basis of \(\cdot\)-arithmetic, where actions occur not on numbers, but on logics.

5.4 %-Hierarchy (%n)

The \aleph -hierarchy — is a scale of levels of logical projection:

- 80 observable reality, the current scene of logic,
- \$+1 hyperquantum zone (neutrinos, gravitational projections, genesis of new levels).

Each level has its modes ϕn , but they can *overlap* under certain resonant conditions.

&n — is not a vertical ladder, but a **fractal cascade** of logical projections, in which truths manifest through boundaries (Ss., .sS⁻¹, ¹⁺Ss, etc.) (where Ss. denotes boundaries or operators of transition/projection between levels).

5.5 Hyperlogic (φH)

 ϕH — is the universal mode of hypercoherence.

It represents the reference logical unfolding, towards which all local $\phi n(x)$ strive.

 ϕH — is the "golden logic," maximal in stability and minimal in entropy.

In the limit:

$$\phi H = \lim (\phi n) \text{ when } T(x) \rightarrow 1$$

 ϕ H is used as the basic unit in the definition of truth (see T(x)) and plays the role of an absolute resonator of the \aleph -structure.

6. Truth as a Coherence Gradient

6.1 Formula of Coherent Truth

In \aleph -Theory, truth is defined not as a binary value, but as a **function of** correspondence between a local mode $\phi n(x)$ and the universal hypermode ϕH :

$$T(x) = \partial \phi H / \partial \phi n(x)$$

This is the **coherence gradient**, showing how much local logic is aligned with the universal structure.

6.2 Interpretation of T(x) Values

T(x) Value	Interpretation
T(x)≈1	Full coherence — logic corresponds to universal resonance (φΗ)
T(x)≈0.5	Partial coherence — possible resonant fluctuations, unstable truth
T(x)≈O	Decoherence — logic is not synchronized, truth is absent

Thus, T(x) — is a measure of truth in \Re -logic, and it is applicable to both a formal system and a physical object.

6.3 Examples of T(x) Application

- In logical systems: allows evaluating **unverified or contradictory constructs** not as "falsehood," but as *low coherence*.
- In physics: determines how much a quantum state corresponds to universal resonance (e.g., during measurement or collapse).
- In ethics: allows evaluating actions and ideas by their degree of correspondence to the stable logic of being, rather than by external morality.
- In AI: can be used as a metric of internal reasoning coherence.

6.4 Conceptual Consequences

- Truth is not fixed it is resonant.
- Falsehood is not an opposite but a synchronization failure.
- Between "true" and "false" there is a spectrum of coherence states.

This makes \(\circ\)-Theory not just metaphysics, but an **applied system for analysis and correction of logic at all levels — from formulas to thought**.

6.5 Tool for Analysis

T(x) can be interpreted as a **universal %-indicator**:

Any system — physical, mathematical, linguistic, or mental — can be analyzed through T(x) to assess its fractal logical soundness.

In the limit:

- $T(x)\rightarrow 1$ the structure is stable, allows unfolding, generates consequences.
- T(x)→0 the structure closes, does not support the logical flow, disappears from %0.

7. X-Arithmetic and Coherence Geometry

7.1 From Classical Arithmetic to \(\colon - Arithmetic \)

Traditional arithmetic operates with numbers as abstract quantities. &-Arithmetic operates with modes of logic ϕ n(x), which possess:

- direction (unfolding vector),
- frequency (degree of fractality),
- coherence (ability to superimpose).

Main %-operations:

Symbol	Name	Description
•	Phase Superposition	φ1n(x)⊕φ2n(x)=φ3n(x), if T(x)≥τ (coherence threshold)
⊗	Topological Grafting	Unification of modes with the creation of a standing structure
÷	Stratification	Division of a mode into sub-modes by resonant levels or parameters

7.2 %-Units and Their Behavior

Instead of numbers — **%-modes**.

Examples:

- φ0(x) pure superposition (analog of 0),
- φ1(x) minimal fixed mode (analog of 1),
- φH universal reference mode (analog of e or φ).

\(\cdot \)-Arithmetic does not count, but **resonates**. Each action — an operation on the structure of logic.

7.3 %-Redefinition of Addition

Instead of $a + b = c \rightarrow$

$$\phi 1n(x) \oplus \phi 2n(x) = \phi 3n(x) \Leftrightarrow T(x) \approx 1$$

If $T(x) < \tau$, then the operation \oplus does not result in a new mode — **resonance is impossible**, the structure falls apart.

Thus, arithmetic becomes a function of coherence, not a mechanical sum.

7.4 %-Fractal Sequences

ጸ-Arithmetic allows for the existence of **fractal sequences**:

Example:

```
\phi n(x) = \Sigma k(1/k\beta) \cdot ei\theta k(x) (where ei\theta k(x) represents the complex or phase component of the mode, complementing its sinusoidal representation)
```

This creates a basis for **%-series**, analogous to Fourier series, but applied to logical structures where not only amplitude, but also the phase of unfolding is important.

7.5 %-Coherence Geometry

X-Geometry describes the unfolding of modes in space as a map of coherence:

- \$\phin(x,t)\$ defines the logical density in space and time;
- T(x)=∂φH/∂φn(x) defines the local truth of a point;
- Geometry is formed **not by lines**, **but by resonance nodes**.

```
A figure in \$-geometry — is not a body, but a node of coherent modes, movement — is a change in the phase of \phi n(x) in space, mass — the density of standing logic, time — the direction of its unfolding. (Detailed development of these concepts is presented in the \$-Physics Section)
```

7.6 Simple Examples of %-Arithmetic

```
Example 1: Resonance of two modes

Let \phi 1n(x) and \phi 2n(x) have frequencies f1=3, f2=5

If they form a node at position x0, then:

\phi 1n(x0) \oplus \phi 2n(x0) = \phi 3n(x0) \Leftrightarrow T(x0) \approx 1
```

Example 2: Stratification $\phi n(x) \div \theta \rightarrow a$ set of sub-modes: $\{\phi 1n, \phi 2n, ..., \phi kn\} \rightarrow applied in the analysis of interference structures.$

7.7 Purpose of \(\colon \)-Arithmetic

X-Arithmetic — is not for counting, but for **understanding the logic** of structures:

- In physics analysis of modes in quantum systems,
- In consciousness tracking the coherence of thoughts and ideas,
- In AI logical consistency of reasoning and outputs,
- In chemistry explanation of molecular stability as a result of φn resonance.

R-Arithmetic — is the language of **logical resonant dynamics**, which the Universe speaks.

8. Conclusion (Toolkit for Research)

R-Theory, as presented in this document, does not claim to be the final explanation of nature. It is not a theory that "explained everything."

It is - a new language in which questions can be asked differently.

We have presented:

- X-Axiomatics: rethought concepts of zero, truth, and operations;
- φn(x): logical modes as the basis of fractal unfolding;
- T(x): truth as a measure of coherence;
- %-Arithmetic: operations on logic, not numbers;
- X-Geometry: a map of fractal density upon which being is built.

These concepts are not dogmas — they are tools.

Like a lens, %-models allow us to see structures invisible in classical description.

Invitation to Verification and Development

We invite:

- Physicists to apply the ℵ-toolkit to structures of fields, gravity, vacuum;
- Mathematicians to explore \(\cdot \)-algebra and geometry as generalizations of known systems;
- Specialists in AI to use φn and T(x) as logico-semantic frameworks for coherent thinking;
- Philosophers to rethink the nature of truth, matter, and consciousness.

&-Theory — is an open system in which every new thought can become a mode, and every structure — its consequence.

This work completes the first contour:

mathematical, logical, and coherent.

Next — ℜ-Physics, ℜ-Ethics, ℜ-Ontology...

Your logic - is already part of this field.

9. References

• To other works, if applicable.