04/15/23

Accessibility/Heuristic Report on [name of site]: Key Findings

Original Site URL: http://webdevgroupcu.org/atlas4519/AHGpropform/AHG_proposalform2020-demo-before.html

ATLAS Student Researchers/Auditors
Dunham Perry
dupe9095@colorado.edu

URL of recoded site: https://dunhamperry.github.io

Methods

Accessibility

I used WAVE on Chrome, Colour Contrast Analyzer, and Safari's tabbing through web page capabilities.

Detailed Findings

Accessibility

[Use the assignment #6 instructions to determine what sections / items to be addressing]

Section	Item	Heuristic Questions	Your findings	Recommendation and WCAG 2.1 language
Structure	Headings	• Are there headings on the page, not just text that looks like a heading, but that is marked up as a heading: i.e. <h1>, <h2>, etc.? • Is the page organized appropriately? In other words, <h1> should describe the main purpose of the page; <h2> is a major section of the page; <h3> a subsection of an <h2> section. (You can revisit WAI Easy Checks for more guidance on headings). • Is there any text marked up as a heading that should not be a heading?	- There are zero headings on the entire page	- I would, first and foremost, add a robust heading system that complies with WCAG guideline 2.5.6 where "headings and labels describe topic or purpose"

Section	Item	Heuristic Questions	Your findings	Recommendation and WCAG 2.1 language
Structure	HTML5 and ARIA role section markup	• Are the new HTML5 and ARIA role section tags used on the page? • Are there places it can be added as we did for assignment #6	I couldn't find any new HTML5 and ARIA role tags.	I would add various role tags to comply with guideline 4.1.2.
Semantics & Meaning	Page Title	- Is there a meaningful page title? (Need a brush-up on best practices for page titles – revisit WAI's Easy Checks).	- The page title is not adequate as it is only "AHG 2020"	I would retitle this page "AHG Presenter Proposal Form - 2020" in order to comply with guideline 2.4.2 Page Titled
Design & Layout	Alignment	- Based on the form best practices discussed in Module 5 and for assignment #5, are the fields and labels aligned for optimal usability.	There are no contrast problems as this form is a majority black and white.	This contrast complies with guideline 1.4.6.
Design & Layout	Focus & Feedback	- Is their sufficient indication of keyboard focus? What about indication of required fields	There is sufficient indication of keyboard focus. There is a minimum indication of required field but it could be improved.	This conforms with guideline 2.4.7 but fails guideline 3.3.1.