

Quality and performance in application

Quality Review (using codeclimate)	1
Performance Review (using Blackfire)	2

Quality Review (using codeclimate)

We use CodeClimate.com for analyse a code quality. if the quality of the code is not good enough for our needs and for the improvement of the project, we refactor our code.

This is useful for

- the Sustainability of application
- easy development
- Performance (duplicate code)
- security breaches
- clean code architecture

CodeClimate assigns a score between A and D according to the code quality of the project.

In this project, we started from minimum note D. We arrived at note A.

We basically reduced the duplication of the code, and updated the obsolete code, then we factored the code by reducing the lines, and removing the unnecessary functions.

Here is the codeClimate report of the TodoList project

Breakdown

65 FILES



MAINTAINABILITY



TEST COVERAGE

Codebase summary

MAINTAINABILITY



0 mins

TEST COVERAGE



Repository stats

CODE SMELLS

0

DUPLICATION

0

OTHER ISSUES

0

However, we have voluntarily hidden 2 errors messages: messages due to migrations and fixtures, which are not reproducible in a production environment.

Performance Review (using Blackfire)

We use blackfire because it is a very important utility which works well with the symfony framework.

Blackfire is able to analyze all the routes of our application and give a very detailed report of the performance of the application

Here is a rapport for homepage

<https://blackfire.io/profiles/4721b95f-8e93-4f78-9628-ef7bcda98871/graph>

For homepage, Here is a basic information:

Wall Time	106 ms
I/O Wait	n/a
CPU Time	n/a
Memory	4.49MB
Network	n/a n/a n/a
SQL	n/a n/a

we can get more precise data and diagram. let's take a closer look at the performance of our Todo List website. Let's look at the loading time of our classes

Function calls	% Excl. ▾	% Incl.	Calls
file_exists	<div></div>		433
...r\DebugClassLoader::loadClass	<div></div>		154
...ebugClassLoader::loadClass@1	<div></div>		72
...vDebugContainer.php/98-387	<div></div>		1
glob	<div></div>		42
...g\WrappedListener::__invoke	<div></div>		29
filemtime	<div></div>		66
file_put_contents	<div></div>		1
...r\Cloner\VarCloner::castObject	<div></div>		310
file_get_contents	<div></div>		23
...sLoader::findFileWithExtension	<div></div>		292
...ebugClassLoader::loadClass@2	<div></div>		39
...lDevDebugContainer::closure	<div></div>		95
...gContainer::getProfilerService	<div></div>		1
...rce\GlobResource::getIterator	<div></div>		49
is_file	<div></div>		36
...per\Cloner\VarCloner::doClone	<div></div>		10

Here is the memory allocated to load the application



The screenshot shows a PHP profiler interface with a dark theme. At the top, it displays 'Untitled', a clock icon with '106 ms', and a memory icon with '4.71 MB'. Below this is a search bar. The main part of the interface is a table with four columns: 'Function calls', '% Excl.', '% Incl.', and 'Calls'. The table lists various function calls with corresponding horizontal bar charts and call counts. The calls include file operations, class loading, serialization, and service container management.

Function calls	% Excl.	% Incl.	Calls
...vDebugContainer.php/98-387			1
...r\DebugClassLoader::loadClass			154
... \Cloner\VarCloner::addCasters			18
serialize			2
...ebugClassLoader::loadClass@1			72
unserialize			4
file_get_contents			23
...ebugClassLoader::loadClass@2			39
...IDevDebugContainer::({closure})			95
explode			72
...KernelDevDebugContainer.php			1
...per\Cloner\VarCloner::doClone			10
...g\WrappedListener::__invoke			29
...r\Cloner\VarCloner::castObject			310
...II_Map_Context_MainService			1
...gContainer::getProfilerService			1
...EventDispatcher::sortListeners			27
...per\Caster\Caster::castObject			310
...ebugClassLoader::loadClass@4			9
...etSecurity_AccessMapService			1
ReflectionClass::__construct			54

We can also look at the loading diagram of the website



