

## Homework 16 - MATH 791

Will Thomas

Throughout this assignment,  $R$  is an integral domain. The first three problems show that we can construct a field containing  $R$  in the exact manner that the rational numbers are constructed from the integers. Recall, that formally speaking, the rational numbers are the set of equivalence classes of ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  of integers (with  $b \neq 0$ ) such that  $(a, b)$  is equivalent to  $(c, d)$  if and only if  $ad = bc$ . Of course, we denote the equivalence class of an ordered pair  $(a, b)$  as  $a/b$

### Problem 1:

Let  $Q$  denote the set of ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  with  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$ . For  $(a, b), (c, d) \in Q$ , define  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \iff ad = bc \in R$ . Show that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

#### Solution:

To show equivalence we need:

Reflexive:

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &\sim (a, b) \forall a, b \in R \\ (a, b) &\sim (a, b) \iff ab = ba\end{aligned}$$

We know that since all ID's are commutative rings that this must hold.

Symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall a, b \in R, (a, b) &\sim (b, a) \\ (a, b) &\sim (b, a) \iff ab = ab\end{aligned}$$

Trivially holds

Transitivity:

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &\sim (c, d) \wedge (c, d) \sim (e, f) \implies (a, b) \sim (e, f) \\ (a, b) &\sim (c, d) \implies ad = bc, (c, d) \sim (e, f) \implies cf = de\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $ef$  we get  $adef = bcef$  which using that fact that this is an ID, we can use commutativity and cancellation to reach

$$af(de) = be(cf) \implies af = be \iff (a, b) \sim (e, f)$$

$\therefore$  This is an equivalence relation

### Problem 2:

Let  $K$  denote the set of equivalence classes under the equivalence relation in 1.

Temporarily using  $[(a, b)]$  to denote the equivalence class of  $(a, b)$ , defined addition and multiplication of elements in  $K$  as follows:

$$[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] := [(ad + bc, bd)]; \quad [(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] = [(ac, bd)]$$

Show that addition and multiplication in  $K$  are well defined.

**Solution:**

Addition:

To show well-defined, let us take  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \in K$  and  $(e, f) \in K$  and show that

$$[(a, b)] + [(e, f)] \sim [(c, d)] + [(e, f)]$$

$$[(a, b)] + [(e, f)] = [(af + be, bf)], \quad [(c, d)] + [(e, f)] = [(cf + de, df)]$$

We want to show that  $[(af + be, bf)] \sim [(cf + de, df)]$

$$[(af + be, bf)] \sim [(cf + de, df)] \iff adf^2 + bdef = bcf^2 + bdef$$

We can cancel out the  $bdef$  and then apply the fact that  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \implies ad = bc$  to solve this problem.

$\therefore$  Addition is well-defined

Multiplication:

To show well-defined, let us take  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \in K$  and  $(e, f) \in K$  and show that

$$[(a, b)] \cdot [(e, f)] \sim [(c, d)] \cdot [(e, f)]$$

$$[(a, b)] \cdot [(e, f)] = [(ae, bf)], \quad [(c, d)] \cdot [(e, f)] = [(ce, df)]$$

We want to show that  $[(ae, bf)] \sim [(ce, df)]$

$$[(ae, bf)] \sim [(ce, df)] \iff adef = bcef$$

We can cancel out the  $ef$  and then apply the fact that  $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \implies ad = bc$  to solve this problem.

$\therefore$  Multiplication is well-defined

**Problem 3:**

Show that  $K$  is a field under the operations above and that the set of elements in  $K$  of the form  $[(a, 1)]$  is a subring of  $K$  isomorphic to  $R$ . The field  $K$  is called the *quotient field* of  $R$  or *fraction field* of  $R$ .

**Solution:**

To show  $K$  is a field, we need to show that  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and commutative, associative, have identities and inverses, and that  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$ .

The commutativity and associativity are fairly obvious from the definition and the fact that the underlying ring  $R$  is a ID.

The additive identity will be  $[(0, 1)] + [(a, b)] = [(a, b)]$  and the multiplicative identity will be  $[(1, 1)] \cdot [(a, b)] = [(a, b)]$

The additive inverses will be  $[(a, b)] + [(a, -b)] = [(-ab + ab = 0, 1)]$ , the multiplicative inverse will be  $[(a, b)] \cdot [(b, a)] = [(ab, ab)] \sim [(1, 1)]$  as  $[(ab, ab)] \sim [(1, 1)] \iff ab = ab$  which is obviously true, thus  $[(ab, ab)] \in [(1, 1)]$  so it is the identity.

For distributivity,

$$[(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] + [(e, f)] = [(acf + ade, bdf)] = [(ad + bc, bd)] + [(af + be, bf)] \\ = [(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] + [(a, b)] \cdot [(e, f)]$$

To show that the subring is isomorphic, we can use the First Isomorphism theorem and define  $\phi : K \rightarrow R$  by  $\phi([(a, b)]) = a$ .

$$\ker(\phi) = \{[(a, b)] \in K \mid \phi([(a, b)]) = 0\}$$

$$\ker(\phi) = \{[(0, b)] \in K\}$$

To show this is a ring homomorphism

$$\forall [(a, b)], [(c, d)] \in K, \phi([(a, b)][(c, d)]) = \phi([(a, b)])\phi([(c, d)])$$

$$\phi([(a, b)][(c, d)]) = \phi([ac, bd]) = ac = \phi([(a, b)])\phi([(c, d)])$$

Similarly for addition

$$\forall [(a, b)], [(c, d)] \in K, \phi([(a, b)] + [(c, d)]) = \phi([(a, b)]) + \phi([(c, d)])$$

$$\phi([(a, b)] + [(c, d)]) = \phi([(ad + bc, bd)]) = ad + bc = a + c$$

And since we are only taking elements such that the second element is 1, that means  $a1 + 1c = a + c = \phi([(a, b)]) + \phi([(c, d)])$

It is fairly straightforward that  $\phi$  is surjective

$\therefore$  The subring of  $K$  formed by  $[(a, 1)]$  is isomorphic to  $R$

#### Remark:

Henceforth we will write the elements of  $K$  as  $a/b$ , rather than  $[(a, b)]$  and an element  $a \in R$  either as  $a$  or  $a/1$  and regard  $R$  as a subring of  $K$ . Note then that  $a/b + c/d = (ad + bc)/bd$  and  $a/b \cdot c/d = ac/bd$  as expected

#### Problem 4:

Let  $L$  be a field containing  $R$ . Show that  $L$  contains  $K$  (or at least an isomorphic copy of  $K$ ). Thus in this sense,  $K$  is the smallest field containing  $R$ .

#### Solution:

If  $L$  is a field containing  $R$ , let us assume for contradiction that  $\exists k_1/k_2 \in K$  that is not in  $L$

We have two cases, either  $k_1/k_2 \in R$  which means it is in  $L \rightarrow \leftarrow$

So we must be in the case where  $k_1/k_2 \notin R \iff k_2 \neq 1$ . If  $k_1/k_2 \in K \iff k_2/k_1 \in K$  also. Yet by a similar argument as above,  $k_2/k_1 \notin R \iff k_1 \neq 1$

Additionally, since  $K$  is made of equivalence classes, we know that  $k_1 \nmid k_2 \wedge k_2 \nmid k_1$ . This is to say that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are relatively prime.

Since we proved the Isomorphism earlier, we know that  $k_1/1 \in R \wedge k_2/1 \in R$ , and since  $L$  is a *field* containing  $R$ , that means it must have multiplicative inverses

$\implies 1/k_1 \in L \wedge 1/k_2 \in L$ . We can then show that  $k_1/1 \cdot 1/k_2 = k_1/k_2 \in L$

$\therefore$  No element in  $K$  cannot be in  $L$

$\therefore K$  is the smallest field containing  $R$

**Problem 5:**

Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries in  $R$  satisfying  $m < n$ . Set  $x := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  and

$0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Use standard facts from linear algebra to show that the homogeneous system

of equations  $A \cdot x = 0$  has infinitely many solutions over  $R$

**Solution:**

First let us enumerate  $A$  as  $A := (a_{ij})$  for  $i < m$  and  $n < j$

$$A \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Let us start by just finding the solutions for the top row,  $a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$ . If  $n = 1$  then that means that  $m < n \implies m = 0$  so that is ill-posed.

Instead  $n \geq 2$ , so  $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = 0$  then we know that  $a_{11}x_1 = -a_{12}x_2$ . We can then claim WLOG that  $a_{12} = a' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2^{-1}$

$$a_{11}x_1 = -a'x_1 \implies a_{11} = -a'$$

Since  $a_{11}$  is left arbitrary, this means that it can be any element in  $R$ , and  $R$  is infinite

$\therefore A \cdot x = 0$  has infinitely many solutions