Chapter 1

Library Curry_Howard

```
Require Import Setoid.
   First, let us start with a small definition of what it means for a "Set/Type"
to be inhabited.
   Let us also recall that we can hoist "Set/Type" to "Prop" (in some sense
the very essence of Curry-Howard) with the help of Setoid library
Definition inhabited (A : Set) := \exists a : A, True.
Example exists\_nat:
  inhabited nat.
eexists; eauto.
eapply 1.
Qed.
Example exists_string :
  inhabited bool.
eexists; eauto.
eapply false.
Qed.
Lemma not\_exists\_false:
  \neg inhabited False.
Proof.
  intros HC.
  inversion HC.
  eapply x.
Qed.
```

Here, we show how standard propositional logic holds for our inhabited

```
definition
Lemma both\_ways\_split\_inhabited : \forall (P Q : Prop),
  inhabited (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow
  inhabited P \wedge inhabited Q.
Proof.
  split; intros.
  - inversion H; destruct x; split; cbv; eauto.
  - destruct H. inversion H; inversion H0; cbv; eexists; eauto.
Qed.
Lemma either\_way\_split\_inhabited : \forall (P Q : Prop),
  inhabited (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow
  inhabited P \vee inhabited Q.
Proof.
  split; intros.
  - inversion H; destruct x; cbv; eauto.
  - destruct H; inversion H; cbv; eexists; eauto.
Qed.
\forall (P : Prop),
  \neg P \leftrightarrow
  inhabited (\tilde{P}).
Proof.
  split; intros.
  - cbv; eauto.
  - inversion H; eauto.
Qed.
Example inhabitation\_preservation:
  \forall (P \ C : Prop),
  (P \to C) \to
  inhabited P \rightarrow
  inhabited C.
Proof.
  intros. inversion H0.
  cbv.
  eexists; eauto.
Qed.
Example not\_inhabitation\_reverse:
```

```
\forall (P \ C : Prop),
     (P \rightarrow C) \rightarrow
     inhabited C \rightarrow
     inhabited P
  ).
Proof.
  intros HC.
  pose proof (HC False True).
  assert (False \rightarrow True). eauto.
  assert (inhabited True). cbv. eauto.
  intuition.
  inversion H. eauto.
Qed.
   Here is the big one, any inhabited prop must be true
Theorem curry\_howard : \forall (P : Prop),
  inhabited P \leftrightarrow P.
Proof.
  split; intros.
  - destruct H; eauto.
  - cbv; eauto.
Qed.
    See how much this can simplify our proofs that proposition logic holds
now that we have proven that inhabitation is equivalent to prop
Ltac CH :=
  split; repeat erewrite curry_howard; eauto.
Lemma both\_ways\_split\_inhabited': \forall (P Q : Prop),
  inhabited (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow
  inhabited P \wedge inhabited Q.
Proof.
  CH.
Qed.
Lemma either\_way\_split\_inhabited': \forall (P Q : Prop),
  inhabited (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow
  inhabited P \lor inhabited Q.
Proof.
  CH.
```

```
Qed.
{\tt Lemma}\ not\_exists\_inhabited\_not':
  \forall (P : Prop),
  \neg P \leftrightarrow
   inhabited (\tilde{P}).
Proof.
   CH.
Qed.
Example inhabitation\_preservation':
  \forall (P \ C : \mathtt{Prop}),
   (P \rightarrow C) \rightarrow
   inhabited P \rightarrow
   inhabited C.
Proof.
   intros; rewrite curry_howard in *; eauto.
Qed.
```