

# Notes for Chapter 12

## Logic Programming

- The AI War
- Basic Concepts of Logic Programming
- Prolog
- Review questions

# The AI War

- How machines should learn: **inductive or deductive?**
- **Deductive:** Expert  $\Rightarrow$  rules  $\Rightarrow$  knowledge, top-down approach, expert systems used LISP, Prolog, and shell languages CLIPS and JESS; programs suffered from: brittle and expensive to maintain.
- **Inductive:** knowledge  $\Leftarrow$  rules  $\Leftarrow$  Data, bottom-up, machine learning and data mining – extracts patterns from data and learns from examples, such as Decision Tree, Artificial NN, Genetic Algorithm; starting from 1980's.

# Logic Programming: Motivation

- *Logic* is used to represent program
- *Deductions* are used as computation
- *A higher level language* does more automatically – we can concentrate more on what is to be done and less on how to do it
- *Ideal*: Algorithm = logic (what) + Control (how) – only specify logic and let system take care of control

# Logic Programming: Theoretical foundation

- *predicate calculus, Horn Clauses* – knowledge representations
- *Refutation system, unification, instantiation* – auto deduction methods
- *resolution principle* – inference engine behind Prolog

# Differences between Procedural P. and Logic P.

- *Architecture*: Von Neumann machine (sequential steps)
- *Syntax*: Sequence of statements (a, s, I)
- *Computation*: Sequential statements execution
- *Control*: Logic and control are mixed together
- Abstract model (dealing with objects and their relationships)
- Logic formulas (Horn Clauses)
- Deduction of the clauses
- Logic and control can be separated

# Basic Concepts

- A clause is a formula consisting of a disjunction of literals
- Any formula can be converted into a set of clauses, for example:
  - $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \sim P \vee Q$
- Empty clause denoted by [], always false.

# Resolution

- An important rule of inference that can applied to
  - clauses (consisting of disjunction of literals)
  - a *refutation system*: prove by contradiction
- Idea: given two clauses, we can infer a new clause by taking the disjunction of the two clause & eliminating the complementary pair of literals

# Resolution as A refutation system

Given a set of clauses  $S$  & and goal  $G$ ,

- \* negate the goal  $G$

- \*  $\{S\} \cup \{\neg G\}$

- \* existence of contradiction  $\Rightarrow$  derivation of empty clause

Based on  $\{S\} \cup \{\neg G\}$  is inconsistent if

$\{S\} \cup \{G\}$  is consistent



# Resolution in a nutshell

- Represent knowledge and questions in terms of *Horn Clause* form of predicate logic
- Inconsistence checking: *refutation*
- The heart of the rule is the *unification* algorithm (the process of finding substitutions for variables to make arguments match – finding answers to questions)

# Programming in Prolog

- Asserting some *facts* about objects and their relationships
- Representing general knowledge in terms of *rules*
- Asking *questions* about objects and their relations.

# Forward/backward chaining

- A group of multiple inferences that connect a problem with its solution is called a **chain**
- **Forward chaining:** inference starts from facts/rules
- **Backward chaining:** inference starts from given problems

# Backtracking technique

- Inference backtracks to a previous step when a failure occurs.
- **Naïve backtracking:** backtracks mechanically to the most recent step when a failure occurs
- **Intelligent backtracking:** analyze the cause of a failure & backtracks to the source of values causing the failure

# Prolog: sequence control

- Given a query, Prolog uses *unification* with *backtracking*.
- All rules have local context
- A query such as:  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$
- *Unification implementation*: first evaluates  $q_1$ , then  $q_2$ , and so on (from *left to right*); database search (*top down*)

# Deficiencies of Prolog

- Resolution order control
  - Ordering of pattern matching during resolution
  - Cut operator
- Closed world assumption
  - It has only the knowledge of its database
  - A true/fail system rather than a true/false
- The negation Problem
  - Prolog not operator is not equivalent to logical NOT operator

# More on the negation problem

- The fundamental reason why logical NOT cannot be an integral part of Prolog is the form of the Horn clause.
- If all the B propositions are true  $\Rightarrow$  A is true. But it cannot be concluded that is false otherwise.

# Negation as failure

- Example of page 565
  - `parent(amy, bob).`
  - `?- not(mother(amy, bob)).`
  - The answer is yes, since the system does not know that amy is female and the female parents are mothers.
  - If we are to add these facts to our program, `not(mother(amy, bob))` would no longer be true.



# Concept Questions

## (1)

- What is backward chaining inference method?
- What is forward chaining inference method?
- Which inference method does each of the following languages use: Prolog, Clips?

# Concept questions

## (2)

- What are the motivations for logic programming?
- What are the differences between procedural programming and logic programming?
- Execution of a Prolog program: knowledge representation and computation

# Concept questions

## (3)

- What is deductive analysis? Illustrate with an example.
- What is inductive analysis? Illustrate with an example.
- What is an expert system/rule based system? How does it work?

# Concept Questions

## (4)

- Use set notation to describe resolution as a refutation system.
- Construction of deduction tree of resolution.
- Programming in Prolog:
  - asserting **facts**,
  - representing knowledge in **rules**,
  - asking **questions** about objects and relations