

Prove the following in Leibnizian modal logic. In addition to all the standard inference rules of propositional logic, you have the following for Leibnizian modal logic.

<b>Duality (Dual)</b>	$\Box A \vdash \vdash \sim \Diamond \sim A$ and $\Diamond A \vdash \vdash \sim \Box \sim A$
<b>K rule (K)</b>	$\Box(A \rightarrow B) \vdash (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
<b>T rule (T)</b>	$\Box A \vdash A$
<b>S4 Rule (S4)</b>	$\Box A \vdash \Box \Box A$
<b>Brouwer Rule (B)</b>	$A \vdash \Box \Diamond A$
<b>N Rule (N)</b>	You may introduce any previously derived theorem of propositional logic as a necessary truth. In other words, if $\vdash A$ has been previously demonstrated, then you may state $\Box A$ .

Keep in mind that the key to doing some proofs is to use K in combination with N. To do these proofs, you may introduce anything that is transparently a theorem in propositional logic by the theorem introduction rule (TI). Additionally, you may introduce any such theorem as a necessity in accord with N. For example, since  $P \rightarrow (P \vee Q)$  is a theorem you may introduce  $\Box(P \rightarrow (P \vee Q))$  by N.

Philosophy 160  
Derivations in modal logic

1.  $\Box P \vdash \Diamond P$

- |                      |      |
|----------------------|------|
| 1. $\Box P$          | A    |
| 2. $P$               | 1, T |
| 3. $\Box \Diamond P$ | 2, B |
| 4. $\Diamond P$      | 3, T |

2.  $\Box P \vdash \Box(Q \rightarrow P)$

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. $\Box P$                                   | A  |
| 2. $\Box(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P))$    | N, theorem easily derived by $\rightarrow I$ |
| 3. $\Box P \rightarrow \Box(Q \rightarrow P)$ | 2, K   |
| 4. $\Box(Q \rightarrow P)$                    | 1,3 $\rightarrow E$                          |

3.  $\Diamond \Box S \vdash S$

- |                                     |              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. $\Diamond \Box S$                | A            |
| 2. $\sim S$                         | H            |
| 3. $\Box \Diamond \sim S$           | 2, B         |
| 4. $\Box \sim \Box \sim \sim S$     | 3, Dual      |
| 5. $\Box \sim \Box S$               | 4, DN        |
| 6. $\sim \Diamond \sim \sim \Box S$ | 5, Dual      |
| 7. $\sim \Diamond \Box S$           | 6, DN        |
| 8. $P \& \sim P$                    | 1,7 Con      |
| 9. $\sim \sim S$                    | 2-8 $\sim I$ |
| 10. $S$                             | 9, DN        |

To make this sort of proof shorter, you can combine DN and Dual in one step. Just be sure to cite both rules on the same line.

4.  $\Diamond \Box R \& \Diamond \Box(R \rightarrow M) \vdash \Box \Diamond M$  (Hint: use result from 3)

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. $\Diamond \Box R$  | A                   |
| 2. $\Diamond \Box(R \rightarrow M)$                               | A                   |
| 3. $\Diamond \Box R \rightarrow R$                                | TI                  |
| 4. $\Diamond \Box(R \rightarrow M) \rightarrow (R \rightarrow M)$ | TI                  |
| 5. $R$  | 1,3 $\rightarrow E$ |
| 6. $R \rightarrow M$  | 2,4 $\rightarrow E$ |
| 7. $M$  | 5,6 $\rightarrow E$ |
| 8. $\Box \Diamond M$  | 7, B                |

Notice that steps 3 and 4 are not using the N rule, but just the theorem introduction rule from propositional logic.

Philosophy 160  
Derivations in modal logic

5.  $\Box(P \rightarrow Q) \vdash \sim\Diamond\sim P \rightarrow \sim\Diamond\sim Q$

1. $\Box(P \rightarrow Q)$	A
2. $\Box P \rightarrow \Box Q$	K
3. $\sim\Diamond\sim P$	H $\rightarrow$ I
4. $\Box P$	3, Dual
5. $\Box Q$	2,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\sim\Diamond\sim Q$	5, Dual
7. $\sim\Diamond\sim P \rightarrow \sim\Diamond\sim Q$	3-6, $\rightarrow$ I

6.  $\Box(\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P), \Box P \vdash \Diamond Q$

1. $\Box(\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P)$	A
2. $\Box P$	A
3. $\Box\sim Q \rightarrow \Box\sim P$	1, K
4. $\Box\sim Q$	H $\sim$ I
5. $\Box\sim P$	3,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\sim P$	T
7. $P$	2, T
8. $P \& \sim P$	6,7 &I
9. $\sim\Box\sim Q$	4-8, $\sim$ I
10. $\Diamond Q$	9. Dual

This is  
equivalent to  
the  $\sim\Diamond Q$  and  
saves a step.

7.  $\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q) \vdash \Box Q \rightarrow \Box P$

1. $\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q)$	A
2. $\Box Q$	H
3. $\Box((\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P))$	N (Trans equivalence)
4. $\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q) \rightarrow \Box(Q \rightarrow P)$	3, K
5. $\Box(Q \rightarrow P)$	1,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\Box Q \rightarrow \Box P$	5, K
7. $\Box P$	2,6 $\rightarrow$ E
8. $\Box Q \rightarrow \Box P$	2-7, $\rightarrow$ E

Philosophy 160  
Derivations in modal logic

8.  $\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q), \Diamond \sim P \vdash \Diamond \sim Q$  (Hint: use result from 7)

1.	$\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q)$	A
2.	$\Diamond \sim P$	A
3.	$\Box Q$	H $\sim$ I
4.	$\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q) \rightarrow \Box Q \rightarrow \Box P$	TI, proven in 7 above
5.	$\Box Q \rightarrow \Box P$	1,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6.	$\Box P$	3,5 $\rightarrow$ E
7.	$\sim \Diamond \sim P$	6, Dual
8.	K & $\sim$ K	2,6 Con
9.	$\sim \Box Q$	3-7, $\sim$ I
10.	$\Diamond \sim Q$	8, Dual, DN

9.  $\Diamond(P \& Q) \vdash \Diamond P$

1.	$\Diamond(P \& Q)$	A
2.	$\Box \sim P$	H
3.	$\Box(\sim P \rightarrow \sim(P \& Q))$	N (easily derived theorem)
4.	$\Box \sim P \rightarrow \Box \sim(P \& Q)$	3, K
5.	$\Box \sim(P \& Q)$	2,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6.	$\sim \Diamond(P \& Q)$	5, DN, Dual
7.	K & $\sim$ K	1,6 Con
8.	$\sim \Box \sim P$	2-7, $\sim$ I
9.	$\Diamond P$	8, Dual

10.  $\Box(P \vee Q) \vdash \Box \sim P \rightarrow \Box Q$

1.	$\Box(P \vee Q)$	A
2.	$\Box((P \vee Q) \rightarrow (\sim P \rightarrow Q))$	N (material implication equivalence)
3.	$\Box(P \vee Q) \rightarrow \Box(\sim P \rightarrow Q)$	2, K
4.	$\Box(\sim P \rightarrow Q)$	1,3 $\rightarrow$ E
5.	$\Box \sim P \rightarrow \Box Q$	4, K

Philosophy 160  
Derivations in modal logic

11.  $\Diamond P \vdash \Diamond(K \rightarrow P)$

1. $\Diamond P$	A
2. $\Box \sim (K \rightarrow P)$	H $\sim$ I
3. $\Box (\sim (K \rightarrow P) \rightarrow \sim P)$	N (easily derived theorem)
4. $\Box \sim (K \rightarrow P) \rightarrow \Box \sim P$	3, K
5. $\Box \sim P$	2,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\sim \Diamond P$	5, Dual, DN
7. $\Diamond P \ \& \ \sim \Diamond P$	1,6 $\&$ I
8. $\sim \Box \sim (K \rightarrow P)$	2-7, $\sim$ I
9. $\Diamond(K \rightarrow P)$	8, Dual

12.  $\Box P, \Box Q \vdash \Box(P \ \& \ Q)$

1. $\Box P$	A
2. $\Box Q$	A
3. $\Box(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow (P \ \& \ Q)))$	N
4. $\Box P \rightarrow \Box(Q \rightarrow (P \ \& \ Q))$	3, K
5. $\Box(Q \rightarrow (P \ \& \ Q))$	1,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\Box Q \rightarrow \Box(P \ \& \ Q)$	5, K
7. $\Box(P \ \& \ Q)$	2,6 $\&$ I

13.  $\Box(\Box P \vee \Box Q), \sim P \vdash \Box Q$

1. $\Box(\Box P \vee \Box Q)$	A
2. $\sim P$	A
3. $\Box((\Box P \vee \Box Q) \rightarrow (\sim \Box P \rightarrow \Box Q))$	N (disjunctive syllogism)
4. $\Box(\Box P \vee \Box Q) \rightarrow \Box(\sim \Box P \rightarrow \Box Q)$	3, K
5. $\Box(\sim \Box P \rightarrow \Box Q)$	1,4 $\rightarrow$ E
6. $\Box \sim \Box P \rightarrow \Box \Box Q$	5, K
7. $\Box \Diamond \sim P$	2, B
8. $\Box \sim \Box P$	7, Dual, DN
9. $\Box \Box Q$	6,8 $\rightarrow$ E
10. $\Box Q$	9, T

Philosophy 160  
Derivations in modal logic

14.  $\Box(P \rightarrow \sim P) \vdash \Box \sim P$

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. $\Box(P \rightarrow \sim P)$                         | A                   |
| 2. $\Box((P \rightarrow \sim P) \rightarrow \sim P)$    | N                   |
| 3. $\Box(P \rightarrow \sim P) \rightarrow \Box \sim P$ | 2, K                |
| 4. $\Box \sim P$  | 1,3 $\rightarrow$ E |

15.  $\Box P \vee \Box Q, \Box(P \rightarrow R), \Box(Q \rightarrow S) \vdash \Box(R \vee S)$

- |   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| 1. $\Box P \vee \Box Q$   | A                      |
| 2. $\Box(P \rightarrow R)$  | A                      |
| 3. $\Box(Q \rightarrow S)$  | A                      |
| 4. $\Box P$   | H                      |
| 5. $\Box(P \rightarrow ((P \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (R \vee S)))$     | N                      |
| 6. $\Box P \rightarrow \Box((P \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (R \vee S))$  | 5, K                   |
| 7. $\Box((P \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (R \vee S))$                     | 4,6 $\rightarrow$ E    |
| 8. $\Box(P \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \Box(R \vee S)$                   | 7, K                   |
| 9. $\Box(R \vee S)$   | 2,8 $\rightarrow$ E    |
| 10. $\Box P \rightarrow \Box(R \vee S)$                                 | 4-9, $\rightarrow$ E   |
| 11. $\Box Q$  | H                      |
| 12. $\Box(Q \rightarrow ((Q \rightarrow S) \rightarrow (R \vee S)))$    | N                      |
| 13. $\Box Q \rightarrow \Box((Q \rightarrow S) \rightarrow (R \vee S))$ | 12, K                  |
| 14. $\Box((Q \rightarrow S) \rightarrow (R \vee S))$                    | 11,13 $\rightarrow$ E  |
| 15. $\Box(Q \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \Box(R \vee S)$                  | 14, K                  |
| 16. $\Box(R \vee S)$  | 3,15 $\rightarrow$ E   |
| 17. $\Box Q \rightarrow \Box(R \vee S)$                                 | 11-16, $\rightarrow$ E |
| 18. $\Box(R \vee S)$  | 1,10,17 $\vee$ E       |