# Summary paper 33: Wavelets of multiplicity r

Yoann Pradat

July 25, 2019

## 1 Introduction

This paper by T. Goodman applies the general theory that derive necessary and sufficient conditions for translates of some functions  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_r, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r$  to form a Riesz basis for  $V_1$  in order to construct spline wavelets with multiple knots.

velets with multiple knots. 
$$\underbrace{\text{Notations}}_{\text{Notations}} \text{ jainereu's Niewx } \left(\ell^2\left(\mathcal{Z}\right)\right)^r \text{ converged in the convergence of the property of the convergence of the convergen$$

**Definition 1.** A multiresolution approximation of multiplicity r is a sequence of closed subspaces  $(V_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $L^2$  such that

- 1.  $V_m \subset V_{m+1}$
- 2.  $\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} V_m$  is dense in  $L^2$  and  $\bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} V_m = \{0\}$
- 3.  $f \in V_m \implies D_2 f \in V_{m+1} \text{ with } D_a f(x) = f(ax)$
- 4.  $f \in V_m \implies T_{2^{-m}n}f \in V_m \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } T_{\tau}f(x) = f(x-\tau)$

ces 4 parts sont standards

so des navelets, i riyaque

le desnior qui est réellement

la specialité de "multiplicité

ra.

5.  $\exists$  isomorphism  $\mathcal{I}: V_0 \to l^2(\mathbb{Z})^r$  which commutes with the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  i.e  $\mathcal{I}T_k = t_k\mathcal{I}$  with  $t_k$  the translation by k on sequences of  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})^r$ .

In his famous (among many) article[1], Mallat has given a general construction of wavelets  $\phi \in V_0$  and  $\psi \in W_0$  ( $V_1 = V_0 \bigoplus W_0$ ) such that  $\{T_n \phi\}_n, \{T_n \psi\}_n$  are orthonormal bases of  $V_0$  and  $W_0$  respectively. Furthermore

$$\phi_{m,n} = \sqrt{2^m}\phi(2^m x - n) \quad (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$\psi_{m,n} = \sqrt{2^m}\psi(2^m x - n) \quad (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad (\bigstar)$$

are orthonormal basis for  $V_m$  and  $W_m$  respectively  $(V_{m+1} = V_m \bigoplus W_m)$ . Goodman refers to another of his works Wavelets in wandering spaces where he extended Mallat's results to multiresolution approximation of multiplicity r.

Cardinal B-splines generate a large class of simple multiresolution approximations. This was studied extensively by Chu et Wang in A cardinal spline approach to wavelets and A general framework of compactly supported splines and wavelets. The present papers has following contributions

- 1. New general duality principle
- 2. Cardinal spline wavelets with multiple knots. They generate nonorthonormal Riesz spaces for  $V_m$ .
- 3. Considers special case n = r 1, n = 2r 1 with n the degree of spline functions

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Wavelets for multiresolution approximations of multiplicity r

Note that  $\{f \in L^1, \hat{f} \in L^1\} \subsetneq L^1 \cap L^2$ . Indeed if  $\hat{f} \in L^1, \|f\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\|\hat{f}\|_{L^1}}{(2\pi)^d}$  and thus  $f \in L^2$ . However  $\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]^d}$  is in  $L^1 \cap L^2$  but not in the first set. Also if  $f \in L^1$  is such that  $\hat{f} \in L^2$  then  $f \in L^2$ . The proof of the proof

The Fourier transform of  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is said to be regular if  $\hat{f}$  is continuous and  $\hat{f}(w) = \mathcal{O}(|w|^{-1})$  as  $|w| \to \infty$ . This makes  $\hat{f}$  a function in  $L^2$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a continuous automorphism of  $L^2$  and therefore the simple fact of f being in  $L^2$  makes its Fourier transform an element of  $L^2$ . Is the author's condition stronger?

Let  $\phi = (\phi_j)_{j=1}^r \in L^2$  such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s(n) T_n \phi_j \in L^2$  for any  $(s(n)) \in l^2$  and similarly let  $\psi = (\psi_j)_{j=1}^r \in L^2$  such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s(n) T_n \psi_j \in L^2$  for any  $(s(n)) \in l^2$ ,  $\phi_j$  and  $\psi_j$  are **assumed to be regular**. 10 To

Notations  $V_0 = \operatorname{span}\{T_n\phi_j, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ 

•  $V_1 = \{D_2 f, f \in V_0\}$ , assume  $V_0 \subset V_1$ 

• Assume  $\psi_j \in V_1, \ j=1,\dots,r$ . Us pourqual ed-se use  $v_j \in V_j$  assomption? Next-se pas for definition?

(a Mb2, TXT , mais ga devient asset land)

definis pas qui ed toure Due (\*) 7 ya la gratation of la translation

comme De et Th

suggestion: motes los

 $\tilde{L}_{r\times r}^{2}(0,2\pi)$   $r\times r$  matrices with entries  $2\pi$ -periodic and square-integrable.

•  $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{x \times r}^2(0, 2\pi) \ r \times r$  matrices with entries  $2\pi$ -periodic and continuous.

As  $\phi_j, \psi_j$  are elements of  $V_1$  while  $\{T_n D_2 \phi_j\}$  spans  $V_1$ , there exist matrices  $(P_n)$  and  $(Q_n)$  such that

T. (0,20)

 $\phi(x) = 2\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P_n \phi(2x - n)$  $\psi(x) = 2\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_n \phi(2x - n)$ 

Goodman then introduces  $r \times r$  Gram matrices for  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as

 $\Phi_{jk}(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}_j(u+2n\pi) \overline{\hat{\phi}_k(u+2n\pi)}$  $\Psi_{jk}(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}_j(u + 2n\pi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_k(u + 2n\pi)}$ 

and the "dual-Gram" matrix

 $\Omega_{jk}(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}_j(u + 2n\pi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_k(u + 2n\pi)}$ 

 $\Phi, \Psi$  are Hermitian matrices and so is  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & \Psi \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The set  $\{T_n\phi_i\}$  is a Riesz basis iif  $\Phi$  is invertible,  $\{T_n\psi_i\}$  is a Riesz-basis iif  $\Psi$  is invertible and  $\{T_n\phi_i, T_n\psi_i\}$  is a Riesz basis iif M is invertible.

The author claims that  $\Phi, \Psi, \Omega$  are invertible iff the eigenvalues are bounded away from zero. First this is not precise. It is invertibility everywhere or a.e? Same question for the boundedness away from 0? It is clear that latter implies the former, i.e eigenvalues bounded away from zero everywhere (resp a.e) implies invertibility everywhere (a.e). The converse implication is hard though. Invertibility everywhere (resp a.e) (N je congreros de nouveau par totalement - stidement possif pentant n'implique)

(N je congreros de nouveau par totalement - stidement possif pentant n'implique)

(Al!

leads to eigenvalues strictly positive everywhere (resp a.e) from which we cannot deduce boundedness away from 0 everywhere (resp a.e) in general. In case the entries of the matrices are continuous functions of  $u \geq \lambda_j(u)$  are also continuous and also  $2\pi$ -periodic. Then strict positivity everywhere leads to boundedness away from 0 everywhere (continuous function on compact reaches its bounds) but the same does not apply to a.e case.

The article Goodman refers to is actually just saying in the case where  $\phi_j, \psi_j$  are regular,  $\Phi, \Psi$  are invertible everywhere iif  $\exists 0 < A \leq B$  such that  $A \leq \lambda_j \leq B$  everywhere (equiv to a.e as eigenvalues are continuous here) which is clear.

Regarding Jemma 2.1, we have

$$\Phi(2u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}(2u + 2n\pi) \hat{\phi}(2u + 2n\pi)^*$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P(u + n\pi) \hat{\phi}(u + n\pi) \hat{\phi}(u + n\pi)^* P(u + n\pi)^*$$

$$= P(u)\Phi(u)P(u)^* + P(u + \pi)\Phi(u + \pi)P(u + \pi)^*$$

A similar expression holds for  $\Psi(2u)$  with P replaced by Q and for  $\Omega(2u)$  with P on the right only replaced by Q.

**Theorem 2.1.** The following are equivalent

1.  $\{T_n\phi_i, T_n\psi_i\}$  forms a Riesz basis for  $V_1$ 

2. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} P(u) & P(u+\pi) \\ Q(u) & Q(u+\pi) \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\Phi(u)$  are invertible.

If this holds, for any sequences of matrices  $r \times r$   $(A_n)$ ,  $(B_n)$ ,  $(C_n)$  with FT A(u), B(u), C(u) in  $\tilde{L}^2_{r \times r}(0, 2\pi)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} C_n \phi(2x-n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n \phi(x-n) + B_n \psi(x-n)$$
 (1)

$$\iff \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} C(u) & C(u+2\pi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(2u) & B(2u) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(u) & P(u+\pi) \\ Q(u) & Q(u+\pi) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

If the first equivalence holds,  $\Phi(u)$  and  $\Psi(u)$  are positive definite and have inverses in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{r\times r}(0,2\pi)$ . Functions  $\psi_i$  belongs to  $W_0$  if and only if

$$P(u)\Phi(u)Q(u)^* + P(u+\pi)\Phi(u+\pi)Q(u+\pi)^* = 0$$
(3)

We **now assume** that  $\{T_n\phi_j, T_n\psi_j\}$  forms a Riesz basis for  $V_1$ . The author choose sequences  $(G_n)$ ,  $(H_n)$  so that for any integer l

$$\phi(2x-l) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{2n-l}\phi(x-n) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{2n-l}\psi(x-n)$$

$$\tag{4}$$

I understand now where 2n subscript comes from. Even rank elements of  $(G_n)$ ,  $(H_n)$   $(G^0, H^0)$ , are used for  $\phi(2x)$ , odd rank  $(G^1, H^1)$  are used for  $\phi(2x-1)$  i.e

$$\phi(2x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{2n}\phi_n(x) + H_{2n}\psi_n(x)$$
(5)

$$\phi(2x-1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{2n-1}\phi_n(x) + H_{2n-1}\psi_n(x)$$
(6)

Note that

$$G(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n e^{-iun}$$

$$G(u) + G(u + \pi) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{2n} e^{-2iun}$$

$$= 2G^0(2u)$$

$$G(u) - G(u + \pi) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{2n-1} e^{-2iun + iu}$$

$$= 2G^1(2u)e^{iu}$$

and the same holds for H. Taking the Fourier transform of  $\Phi$  (5), (6) leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}(u) = G^0(2u)P(u)\hat{\phi}(u) + H^0(2u)Q(u)\hat{\phi}(u)$$
 (7)

Gram, mais he disert hars & on an horan

$$\frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}(u)e^{-iu} = G^{1}(2u)P(u)\hat{\phi}(u) + H^{1}(2u)Q(u)\hat{\phi}(u)$$
(8)

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $\{T_n\phi_j\}$ ,  $\{T_n\psi_j\}$  are Riesz basis of  $V_0$  and  $W_0$  respectively, then G and H are given by

$$G(u) = \Phi(u)P(u)^*\Phi(2u)^{-1}$$
 
$$H(u) = \Phi(u)Q(u)^*\Psi(2u)^{-1}$$
 the equivalent equations of equivalence of theorem 2.1 hold, Show 
$$Proof. \ \, \text{Goodman claims that equations of equivalence of theorem 2.1 holds have the state of the equivalence of theorem 2.1 holds have the state of the equivalence o$$

The rest of the proof is simply assembling previous equations.

Assume equivalence Theorem 2.1 holds. By the way is it true that

Interequivalence of theorem 2.1 holds. By the way is it true that  $\{T_n\phi_j, T_n\psi_j\} \text{ Riesz basis for } V_1 \iff \{T_n\phi_j\}, \{T_n\psi_j\} \text{ Riesz basis for } V_0, W_0 \text{ respectively?} \}$   $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi} \text{ in } V_1 \times \cdots \times V_1 \text{ defined in the Fourier domain by}$ Let  $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}$  in  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_1$  defined in the Fourier domain by

 $\hat{\tilde{\phi}} = \Phi^{-1}\hat{\phi}, \quad \hat{\tilde{\psi}} = \Psi^{-1}\hat{\psi}$ 

Then  $\langle \phi_j, T_n \tilde{\phi}_k \rangle = \delta_{j,k} \delta_{n,0}$  that is  $\phi$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  are dual basis. Similarly  $\tilde{\psi}$  is dual to  $\psi$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $\{T_n\phi_j\}$ ,  $\{T_n\psi_j\}$  are Riesz basis of  $V_0$  and  $W_0$  respectively, dual functions are such that

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n^* \tilde{\phi}(2x - n)$$
$$\tilde{\psi}(x) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n^* \tilde{\psi}(2x - n)$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}(2x-l) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{2n-l}^* \tilde{\phi}(x-n) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_{2n-l}^* \tilde{\psi}(x-n)$$

$$\tag{9}$$

# Spline wavelets of multiplicity r3 They be commended for the search of the sear

- $\zeta_{n,r}(S)$  space of spline functions of degree n on  $\mathbb{R}$  with knots multiplicity r on set S. Note that  $\zeta_{n,r}(\mathbb{Z}) = \$_{n+1,\mathbb{Z}_p}$  in De Boor's notation.
- $V_0 = \zeta_{n,r}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap L_2$ ,  $V_1 = \zeta_{n,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}) \cap L_2$ .
- $W_0$  orthogonal complement to  $V_0$  in  $V_1$  i.e  $V_1 = V_0 \oplus W_0$ .
- $(t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}_r$  with  $t_i = j$  for  $jr \le i \le (j+1)r 1$ .

•  $N_{i,i} = 0, \ldots, r-1$ , B-spline in  $\zeta_{n,r}(\mathbb{Z})$  with support in  $[t_i, t_{i+n+1}]$  and knots at  $t_i, \ldots, t_{i+n+1}$  normalized so that  $\sum_{i} N_{i}^{n} = 1$ . This is exactly De Boor's B-splines, i.e.

$$N_i^n(t) = (t_{i+n+1} - t_i)[t_i, \dots, t_{i+n+1}](\cdot - t)_+^n$$
 or eq.  $N_i^n(t) = B_{i,n+1,\mathbb{Z}_r}$ 

From the work of De Boor (see [2] chapter XI), we know that  $\{N_i^n\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz-basis for the infinite norm as for any bounded sequence  $(c_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  we have

See 
$$D_n^{-1}\|c\|_{\infty} \leq \|\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i N_i^n\|_{\infty} \leq \|c\|_{\infty}$$
 (whe [chapter K] } below

Does this also hold for  $l^2, L^2$  norms? Be aware that in infinite dimension  $|I||_{\infty}$  and  $|I||_{l^2}$  are not equivalent.

•  $U = \{f \in \zeta_{2n+1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}), f_{|\mathbb{Z}|}^{(i)} = 0, i = 0, \dots, r-1\}$ . Is this a subset of  $L_2$ ? Following Schoenberg Hermite interpolation theorems, it is the case provided results extend to half-integer knots. More specifically, let  $(y_{\nu})_{\nu, \iota \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, \dots, (y_{\nu}^{(r-1)})_{\nu, \iota \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  required to half-integer knots. More specifically, let  $(y_{\nu})_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}, \dots, (y_{\nu}^{(r-1)})_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$  sequences on the half integers. Let  $(z_{\nu}^{(j)} = y_{\frac{\nu}{2}}^{(j)})_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}}$  sequences on the integers. Provided  $z^{(j)} \in l_p$  for  $j = 0, \dots, r-1$ ,  $\exists ! L \in \zeta_{2m-1,r}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathcal{L}_{p,r}, \ m \geq r$  such that Linterpolates  $z^{(j)}$  and it is given by

$$\forall x, \quad L(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} z_{\nu}^{(j)} L_j(x-\nu)$$

Note now that  $D_2L \in \zeta_{2m-1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})$  and so do  $D_2L_j$ ,  $j=0,\ldots,r-1$ . Consequently,

$$D_2L(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} z_{\nu}^{(j)} L_j(2x - \nu)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} z_{2\nu}^{(j)} L_j(2x - 2\nu)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y_{\nu}^{(j)} D_2 L_j(x - \nu)$$

 $=\sum_{\nu\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}y_{\nu}^{(j)}D_{2}L_{j}(x-\nu)$  cest pas mald wither so whise software temps to the following plus. The r null sequences  $(0)_{i\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$  being obviously in  $l^{2}$ , there exists a unique  $L\in\zeta_{2m-1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})\cap\mathcal{L}_{2,r}$  with with the plus that l is the sequences l in l is the sequence l in l in

 $m \ge r$  that has vanishing (r-1) first derivatives on  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . U is a subset of  $L_2$  (and  $L_p$  for any  $p \ge 1$ ) leaves provided  $n+1 \ge r$  which is part of the assumptions.

**Theorem 3.1.** For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}(\exists!)_{i \in W_0}$  with support on  $[t_i, \dots, t_{i+2n+2-r}]$  and integer knots  $t_i, \dots, t_{i+2n+2-r}$ .  $\psi$  does not have smaller support nor a smaller set of knots.

For that Goodman first constructs functions  $\Psi_i$  in U.

**Lemma 3.1.** For each  $f \in W_0$  with supp  $f \subset [a,b]$ ,  $\exists ! g \in U$  with supp  $g \subset [a,b]$  such that  $g^{(n+1)} = f$ . Conversely, if  $g \in U$  has support in [a,b] then  $g^{(n+1)}$  is in  $W_0$ .

Proof. Goodman says the proof is easy using integration by parts but I don't see that.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists ! \Psi_i \in U$  with support on  $[t_i, \ldots, t_{i+2n+2-r}]$  and integer knots  $t_i, \ldots, t_{i+2n+2-r}$ .  $\psi$  does not have smaller support nor a smaller set of knots.

Normalization is chosen so that  $\Psi_{i+r} = \Psi_i(\cdot - 1), i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\psi_i = \Psi_i^{(n+1)}$  gives theorem 3.1.

Je pane la flon giftar à some-le combiage dre Irm 3 7 may a 12 gar larticle, mais n'est dus policieux de la foure apparautre apres 3.2, car n'est alors innediat!

## Linear combinations of wavelets

**Theorem 4.1.** The sequence  $(\Psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is locally linearly independent on any interval.

**Corollary 4.1.** Any function  $f \in U$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i \Psi_i$$

for some constants  $c_i$ . Moreover if support of  $\Psi_i$  overlaps (j, j+1) then

$$|c_i| \le K ||f_{|[j,j+1]}||_{\infty}$$

with K independent of i, j and f.

*Proof.* Goodman says that it is easily seen that  $\zeta = \zeta_{2n+1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})_{|[j,j+M]}$  has dimension 2n+2+r(2M-1). I personally don't see this easily but following Curry-Schoenberg (see [2], p97) theorem one can notice that

$$\zeta_{2n+1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})_{|[j,j+M]} = \Pi_{<2n+2,\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},\nu_{|[j,j+M]}}$$

with  $\nu_j = 2n + 2 - r$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now we have

$$\Pi_{<2n+2,\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},\pmb{\nu}_{|[j,j+M]}} = \Pi_{<2n+2,\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\cap[j,j+M],\pmb{\nu}_{|[j,j+M]}}$$

The latter is the space of piecewe polynomials of order 2n+2 on the finite knot sequence  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\cap[j,j+M]$  of 2M+1 elements each with multiplicity r. From (see [2], p84), a basis of 2n+2+r(2M-1) elements can be built for this space which is thus of dimension 2n + 2 + r(2M - 1). 

**Theorem 4.2.** The sequence  $(\psi_i)_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is locally linearly independent on any interval (j, j+M) for any j and M such that  $r(M+1) \ge n+1$ .

Corollary 4.2. For integers j, M with  $M \ge 1$ ,

$$W_{0|[j,j+M]} = \left\{ f \in \zeta_{n,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} fg = 0, g \in \zeta_{n,r}(\mathbb{Z}), \operatorname{supp} g \subset [j,j+M] \right\}$$
(10)

Corollary 4.3. Any function in  $W_0$  can be uniquely written  $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_i\psi_i$  for some constants  $c_i$ .

Corollary 4.4. The functions  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$  form a Riesz basis for  $W_0$ .

*Proof.* Let M such that  $r(M+1) \ge n+1$ .  $\psi_{i|[j,j+M]}$  for  $l = (j+2)r - 2n - 2 \le i \le L = (j+M)r - 1$  form a basis for  $W_{0|[j,j+M]}$ . Goodman claims then there exists constants A, B independent of j such that for any  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i \psi_i$  in  $W_0$  we have

$$A \int_{j}^{j+M} f^{2} \underbrace{\leq \sum_{i=l}^{L} c_{i}^{2}} \leq B \underbrace{\int_{j}^{j+M} f^{2}}_{\text{photo}}$$

Where does that come from???

Where does that come from???

$$A \int_{j}^{j+M} f^{2} = \sum_{i=l}^{L} c_{i}^{2} \leq B \int_{j}^{j+M} f^{2}$$

$$\int_{j}^{j+M} f^{2} = \int_{j}^{j+M} \sum_{i=l}^{2} c_{i} c_{i}$$

 $\Psi_i$  with support in  $[0,T]=[t_i,t_{i+2n+2-r}]$  is an element of  $\zeta_{2n+1,r}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})$  and can be written

$$\Psi_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{(T-1)r} c_j N_{i+j}^{2n+1}(2x)$$
 tower for extense

(T-1)r+1 coefficients  $c_j$  are determined by the conditions (T-1)r conditions  $\Psi_i^{(j)}(k)=0$  for  $j=0,\ldots,r-1,\,k=1,\ldots T-1$  and by a normalisation condition.

Consider the case r = n + 1. Note then that

$$t_i = 0$$
,  $t_{i+2n+2-r} = t_{i+r} = 1$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ 

i.e T=1. Then

$$\Psi_i(x) = N_i^{2n+1}(2x) \quad i = 0, \dots, n \tag{11}$$

In De Boor's notation we have  $N_j^{2n+1}(t) = B_{j,2n+2,\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}}(t) = (t_{j+2n+2} - t_j)[t_j, \dots, t_{j+2n+2}](\cdot - t)_+^{2n+1}$ . Be aware that the recurrence relationship of De Boor's B-splines does not transpose directly to the  $N_j^n$  as varying n implies varying the sequences of knots whereas in De Boor's relation the sequence of knots is assumed to be fixed.

Remember that  $\psi$  is related to  $\Psi$  by  $\psi_i = \Psi_i^{(n+1)}$  and also  $\Psi_{i+r} = \Psi_i(\cdot - 1)$ .

Case n = 0, r = 1

Then

$$\Psi_0(x) = N_0^1(2x) = \begin{cases} 2x & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2x & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -2 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

However applying Goodman's relation (5.4) leads to  $\psi_0(x) = N_0^0(2x) - N_0^0(2x - 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$ 

A factor 2 is missing.

Case n = 1, r = 2

In that case we have  $\Psi_j(x) = N_j^3(2x) = B_{j,4,\mathbb{Z}_2}(2x), j = 0, 1$ . Let's compute the latter. Note  $I_j = [j, j+1]$ 

$$B_{2j,1} = 0$$

$$B_{2j,2} = \begin{cases} j+1-t & I_j \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2j+1,2} = \begin{cases} t-j & I_j \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2j+1,2} = \begin{cases} t-j & I_j \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2j+1,2} = \begin{cases} (t-j)^2 & I_j \\ (j+2-t)^2 & I_{j+1} \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2j,4} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(5(j+1-t)+1)(t-j)^2 & I_j \\ \frac{1}{2}(j+2-t)^3 & I_{j+1} \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2j+1,4} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(t-j)^3 & I_j \\ \frac{1}{2}(5(t-j-1)+1)(j+2-t)^2 & I_{j+1} \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\Psi_0(x) = \begin{cases}
4(3-5x)x^2 & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\
4(1-x)^3 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1
\end{cases}$$

$$\Psi_1(x) = \begin{cases}
4x^3 & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\
4(5x-2)(1-x)^2 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1
\end{cases}$$

$$\psi_0(x) = \begin{cases}
24(1-5x) & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\
24(1-x) & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1
\end{cases}$$

$$\psi_1(x) = \begin{cases}
24x & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\
24(5x-4) & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1
\end{cases}$$

Again relation (5.4) leads to  $a_{0,0} = 2$ ,  $a_{0,1} = -3$ ,  $a_{1,0} = 0$ ,  $a_{1,1} = -1$  and

$$\psi_0(x) = 2N_0^1(2x) - 3N_1^1(2x) - N_0^1(2x - 1) = \begin{cases} 2 - 10x & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2x & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_1(x) = -N_1^1(2x) + 3N_0^1(2x - 1) - 2N_1^1(2x - 1) = \begin{cases} 2x & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -10x + 8 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

A factor 12 or -12 is missing.

### References

- [1] S.Mallat. Multiresolution approximations and wavelet orthonormal bases of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . pages 69–87, 1989.
- [2] Carl de Boor. A practical quide to splines. Springer, revised edition, 2001.