

Progression of acoustic, phonemic, lexical and sentential neural features emerge during speech listening



I.M Dushyanthi Karunathilake¹, Christian Brodbeck², Shohini Bhattasali¹, Philip Resnik¹, Jonathan Z. Simon¹ ¹University of Maryland, College Park, ²University of Connecticut

INTRODUCTION

requires analyzing the acoustic waveform via intermediate abstract representations including phonemes, words and ultimately meaning along with other cognitive operations. While recent neurophysiological studies have reported that the brain tracks acoustic and linguistically meaningful units, the impact of different kinds of speech information and how these feature responses are modulated by top-down mechanisms is not well understood.


Motivation

- How are different speech features driven by bottom-up and top-down mechanisms (and when)?
- Investigate the progression and representation of different speech features along the speech and language hierarchy.
- How the speech features emerge for different speech conditions?

METHODS

30 younger adults (18-30 years), Native English speakers Neural Recording - Magnetoencephalography (MEG)

Task - Listening to 1-min-long continuous speech, 4 passage types



non-words ~300 ms

Non-words LH \approx RH

Scrambled ≈ Non-word

Non-words > Narrative

No difference between speech

Speech > Noise

Acoustic driven

conditions

Noise lacks second peak ~350 ms


Phoneme Surprisal

Words LH > RH

MEG data was band passed 1-10 Hz

Source localization using MNE, Temporal Lobe

Analysis - Temporal Response Functions (TRFs) including different speech representations along the speech and linguistic hierarchy



Gammatone envelope Gammatone envelope onset Phoneme surprisal Cohort entropy Unigram surprisal

GPT2 surprisal


- Acoustic power in logarithmically spaced 8 bands - Rising slope of acoustic power in the same bands

- How surprising the current phoneme is given the previous phoneme sequence

- Lexical competition among words that are compatible with the phoneme sequence

- Context independent word surprisal calculated using SUBTLEX database - Context based word surprisal measured using GPT2 language model





Envelope Onset

 Acoustic features are encoded for both non-speech and speech stimuli

- (Sub)-lexical features are encoded only when (sub)-lexical boundaries are intelligible
- Context based word surprisal emerges for narrative passage
- When context supports, context based surprisal is better tracked compared to unigram surprisal

Left Lateralized

0.0001(***), 0.001(**), 0.01(*)

Surprisa

Unigram

Word Onset

Cohort Entropy

Surprisal

Phoneme Onset

Envelope

Envelope Onset


Late processing ~450 ms

reduction in surprisal due

(N400 like response,

to context)

LH > RH



• Non-words processing mostly bi-lateral (Lateralization may be task dependent)

Bilateral

Speech feature processing hemispheric lateralization

• Cortical response time-locks to emergent features from acoustics to context as incremental steps in the processing of speech input occur

CONCLUSION

- Lower-level acoustic feature responses are right lateralized whereas, context based responses are left lateralized
- Linguistic features are processed when the linguistic boundaries are intelligible
- Higher level processing/top-down mechanisms in addition to lower level processing/ bottom up mechanisms

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants R01-DC019394 and National Science Foundation SMA 1734892.

References

- [1]. Xu, J. et al. (2005) 'Language in Context: Emergent Features of Word, Sentence, and Narrative Comprehension'. NeuroImage, 25(3), pp. 1002–1015.
- [2]. Brodbeck, C., Hong, L.E. and Simon, J.Z. (2018) 'Rapid Transformation from Auditory to Linguistic Representations of Continuous Speech'. Current Biology, 28(24), pp. 3976-3983.e5.
- [3]. Gillis, M. et al. (2021) 'Neural Markers of Speech Comprehension: Measuring EEG Tracking of Linguistic Speech Representations, Controlling the Speech Acoustics'. The Journal of Neuroscience, 41(50), pp. 10316–10329.
- [4]. Brodbeck, C. et al. (2022) 'Parallel Processing in Speech Perception with Local and Global Representations of Linguistic Context'. ELife, 11, p. e72056.
- [5]. Schrimpf, M. et al. (2021) 'The Neural Architecture of Language: Integrative Modeling Converges on Predictive Processing'. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(45), p. e2105646118.

RESULTS

