

Profiling report

28.4.2022

Team Memory Leak (xduric06, xmahut01, xsluka00, xpagan00)

10 samples:

Function Name	Number of Calls	Elapsed Inclusive Time %▼	Elapsed Exclusive Time %	Avg Elapsed Inclusive Time	Avg Elapsed Exclusive Time
	0	100,00 %	0,00 %	0,00	0,00
MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.plus(valuetype System.D	20	49,41 %	49,41 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
$\ \ \triangleright MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.power(valuetype System.D$	11	27,17 %	27,17 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
	2	4,94 %	4,94 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
	2	4,94 %	4,94 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
$\ \ \triangleright StandardDeviation.Program.calcSDeviation(class Syste$		3,65 %	3,65 %	4 546,72	4 546,72
StandardDeviation.Program.genRandNum(int32)	1	2,48 %	2,48 %	3 079,29	3 079,29
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.root(valuetype System.De	1	2,47 %	2,47 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.multiply(valuetype System		2,47 %	2,47 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
StandardDeviation.Program.Main(string[])		2,47 %	2,47 %	3 067,73	3 067,73

100 samples:

Function Name	Number of Calls	Elapsed Inclusive Time %▼	Elapsed Exclusive Time %	Avg Elapsed Inclusive Time	Avg Elapsed Exclusive Time
∠ StandardDeviation.exe	0	100,00 %	0,00 %	0,00	0,00
MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.plus(valu	200	64,42 %	64,42 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.power(valu	101	32,53 %	32,53 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.minus(valu	2	0,64 %	0,64 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.divide(valu	2	0,64 %	0,64 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
$\ \ \triangleright StandardDeviation.Program.calcSDevia$	1	0,48 %	0,48 %	4 546,72	4 546,72
> Standard Deviation. Program.gen Rand N	1	0,32 %	0,32 %	3 079,29	3 079,29
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.root(valuet	1	0,32 %	0,32 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.multiply(val	1	0,32 %	0,32 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> StandardDeviation.Program.Main(strin	1	0,32 %	0,32 %	3 067,73	3 067,73

1000 samples:

Function Name	Number of Calls	Elapsed Inclusive Time %▼	Elapsed Exclusive Time %	Avg Elapsed Inclusive Time	Avg Elapsed Exclusive Time
∠ StandardDeviation.exe	0	100,00 %	0,00 %	0,00	0,00
MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.plus(valu	2 000	66,44 %	66,44 %	3 072,22	3 072,22
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.power(valu	1 001	33,24 %	33,24 %	3 070,93	3 070,93
	2	0,07 %	0,07 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
	2	0,07 %	0,07 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
StandardDeviation.Program.calcSDevia	1	0,05 %	0,05 %	4 546,72	4 546,72
⇒ StandardDeviation.Program.genRandN	1	0,03 %	0,03 %	3 079,29	3 079,29
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.root(valuet	1	0,03 %	0,03 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
> MathematicaLibraryIVS.ML.multiply(val	1	0,03 %	0,03 %	3 073,51	3 073,51
⇒ StandardDeviation.Program.Main(strin	1	0,03 %	0,03 %	3 067,73	3 067,73

Evaluation of profiling

To collect data was used Visual Studio built-in Performance Profiler (Instrumentation tool). Majority of time is consuming "plus" function, which was called 2000 times in profiling with 1000 samples. Second most time consuming function is "power" function which was called 1001 times (with 1000 samples). In conclusion, optimizing code of Mathematical Library should be focused mainly on "plus" and "power" function.